MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE	Ξ:	04/19/2019		Origina	al Fiscal Note	\boxtimes						
				Substi	tute Fiscal No	te 🗌						
SUBJECT: A resolution By the Committee relating to an action report requesting adoption of the Correctional Health Care Department as Responsible Health Authority model for assignment of the Responsible Health Authority designation required for National Commission on Correctional Health Care accreditation of the County's correctional health care services, when self-operated.												
FISC	AL I	FFECT:										
\boxtimes	No	Direct County Fisc	al Impact		Increase Cap	oital Expenditures						
	\boxtimes	Existing Staff T	ime Required		Decrease Ca	apital Expenditures						
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)				Increase Capital Revenues							
		Absorbed With	in Agency's Budget		Decrease Ca	apital Revenues						
		Not Absorbed	Within Agency's Budget									
	Dec	rease Operating E	xpenditures		Use of contir	ngent funds						
	Increase Operating Revenues											
	Dec	rease Operating R	Revenues									
			change from budget for aditures or revenues in the			projected to result in						
			Expenditure or	Curre	nt Year	Subsequent Year						

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.

Approval of this By the Committee resolution supports the adoption of a model of Responsible Health Authority (RHA) designation. The RHA designation assigns an entity or an individual to be responsible, under the National Commission on Correctional Health Care's 2018 Standards for Health Services in Jails, Standard J-A-02, for efficient and effective patient care. Establishment of the RHA as the Correctional Health Care Department is proposed by this resolution.

B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.

This resolution does not allocate or obligate any funds. It endorses a preferred Responsible Health Authority designation model.

C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.

This resolution has no budgetary impact, since it does not require the expenditure of funds. It should be noted that significant staff time is required to continue to develop a plan to self-perform correctional health care services.

D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

No assumptions or interpretations were made.

Department/Prepared By: Erin Schaffer, Contracts Manager, Procurement									
Authorized Signature		7							
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?		∠ ∐Yes ⊠N	lo	٠					
Did CBDP Review? ²		Yes		No	⊠ Not Required				

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.