## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

<b>DATE</b> : 11/13/2017	Original Fiscal Note	$\boxtimes$		
	Substitute Fiscal Note			
SUBJECT: Coordinated Review Process of ERS Plan Administration Changes				
FISCAL EFFECT:				
☐ No Direct County Fiscal Impact	☐ Increase Capital Expenditures			
☐Existing Staff Time Required	☐ Decrease Capital Expen	ditures		
	☐ Increase Capital Revenues			
Absorbed Within Agency's Budget	☐ Decrease Capital Rever	nues		
☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget				
☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures	☐ Use of Contingent Funds	6		
☐ Decrease Operating Revenues				
Indicate helow the dollar change from hudget for any	submission that is projecte	d to result in		

maicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in			
increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.			

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$295,694
	Revenue	\$0	\$190,784
	Net Cost	\$0	\$104,910
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

## **DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT**

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.

  Human Resources is requesting the re-implementation of recruitment incentives for certain difficult-to-fill classified positions in DOT-Highway Maintenance, DOT-Airport, House of Corrections and Office of the Sheriff. These incentives have been active in the 2017 from these departments, and have led to success in filling critical positions.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
  - The requested changes have increased subsequent year operating expenditures by \$295,694, and current year operating revenues by \$190,784. This fiscal impact considers a number of assumptions detailed in section D.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.

The fiscal impacts of this resolution will be absorbed by the agency budgets. The Sheriff's Office and House of Corrections will only use Shift Differential incentives in 2018, and not include monthly bonuses. The total operating expense changes (\$295,694) includes \$118,230 from DOT-Highway Maintenance which is 80% offset by state revenues, and \$96,200 in DOT-Airport which is 100% offset by airport revenues. Therefore, the combined fiscal impact on operating expenditures is 65% offset by external revenues.

D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

The analysis for this fiscal note assumes that all budgeted CO/HWM/AMW positions would be filled and eligible for these incentives.

Department/Prepared by:	HR/Jonathan Schatz
Authorized Signature	Lenand L. Pareny
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	v? ⊠ Yes □ No
Did CBDP Review? <sup>2</sup>	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.