## **COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE**

INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE:

April 21, 2017

TO:

Supervisor Theo Lipscomb, Chair, County Board of Supervisors

FROM:

James Tarantino, Economic Development Director, Department of

Administrative Services

SUBJECT: A Resolution Deleting a Portion of County Highway K, aka "Crystal Ridge

Dr.", in Franklin from the County Trunk System Map

### REQUEST

Accepting a request from the City of Franklin to delete the portion of County Highway K ("CTH K") from the County Trunk System Map.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Previous Legislation -

• File 13-727 - transfer of jurisdiction of County-owned land adjacent to the Root River Parkway to the Parks Department from MCDOT

A portion of County Highway K runs through the future development site of Ballpark Commons in the City of Franklin. Through a jurisdictional transfer in 2013, a portion of CTH K became the responsibility of the Department of Parks, Recreation, and Culture while a portion remained within the jurisdiction of the Milwaukee County Department of Transportation. It is anticipated that the current CTH K will be vacated and a new road will be built in a different location on the site, which will no longer need to be on the County Trunk Highway system map.

Pursuant to State Statute 83.025 (1)(a) -

"The systems of county trunk highways heretofore selected by county boards and approved by the department are hereby validated. Changes may be made in the county trunk system by the county board as provided in this section. The county board in making the changes may order the county highway committee to lay out new highways and acquire the interests necessary by the procedures under s. 83.08. A county board may not make additions to a county trunk system from a city or village street or town road without the consent of the department and of the governing body of the city, village or town in which the proposed addition is located. A county board may not make deletions from a county trunk system without the approval of the department, and, except as provided in this paragraph and par. (d), without the approval of the governing body of the city, village or town in which the proposed deletion is located or, in the case of a proposed deletion affecting more than one city, village or town, without the approval of a majority of the governing bodies of such cities, villages or towns. (Emphasis added)

The City of Franklin, through an action of the Common Council on April 18, 2017, has requested that Milwaukee County exit the portion of CTH K from the County highway system map. Attached please find a copy of that request and a map of the portion of CTH K to be exited. Deleting this portion of road from this map is the first step in transferring the jurisdiction to another entity, such as the City of Franklin.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the County Board approve the deletion of a portion of County Highway K ("CTH K") from the County Trunk System Map.

**James Tarantino** 

Economic Development Director, Department of Administrative Services

Attachments (3):

Resolution

Fiscal Note

Map

Request from City of Franklin

cc: Chris Abele, County Executive

Economic and Community Development Committee Members
Parks, Energy, and Environment Committee Members
John Dargle, Director, Department of Parks, Recreation and Culture
Brian Dranzik, Director, Department of Transportation
Teig Whaley-Smith, Director, Department of Administrative Services
Raisa Koltun, Chief of Staff, Office of the County Executive
Kelly Bablitch, Chief of Staff, County Board of Supervisors
Eric Peterson, Government Affairs, Office of the County Executive
Steve Cady, Research & Policy Director

Shanin Brown, Committee Coordinator

# **MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM**

<b>DATE:</b> April 21, 2017		Original Fiscal Note									
		Subst	itute Fiscal Note								
<b>SUBJECT:</b> Accepting a request from the City of Franklin to delete the portion of County Highway K ("CTH K") from the County Trunk System Map.											
FISCAL EFFECT:											
	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures								
	☐ Existing Staff Time Required	$\boxtimes$	Dooroogo Capital Evanaditures								
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Decrease Capital Expenditures Increase Capital Revenues								
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	venues							
	□ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget										
$\boxtimes$	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent fur	nds							
	Increase Operating Revenues										
☐ Decrease Operating Revenues											
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.											

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	-20,000
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	-1,000,000
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

#### **DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT**

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
  - A. Removing a portion of County Highway K from the County Trunk System Map. If this occurs, Milwaukee County would no longer be obligated for the lifecycle costs of this stretch of road.
  - B. Capital replacement costs and annual operating costs would be avoided by Milwaukee County if this resolution is approved. The figures of 1,000,000 and 20,000 are based upon the 2013 fiscal note submitted with the prior file referenced in the report.
  - C. None, no immediate improvements are planned for this road though it has been discussed for multiple recent budget cycles
  - D. Basing current expenses off of the 2013 report.

Department/Prepared By	James Tarantino, Economic Development Director					
Authorized Signature	The					
D:   DAG 5:		.,	N			
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	? 📙	Yes	⊠ No			
Did CBDP Review? <sup>2</sup>		Yes	☐ No	Not Required     ■		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.