MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	TE: June 20, 2016		al Fiscal Note	\boxtimes		
		Subst	itute Fiscal Note			
SUBJECT: A resolution by the Committee on Finance and Audit, relating to an informational report from the Office of the Sheriff, regarding an amendment with the Law Offices of Michael A.I. Whitcomb, in the amount of \$50,000, from \$99,999 to \$149,999, to serve as legal counsel to Sheriff David A. Clarke, Jr.						
FISC	CAL EFFECT:					
\boxtimes	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Exp	enditures		
	Existing Staff Time Required Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Decrease Capital Ex	penditures		
			Increase Capital Revenues			
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	evenues		
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget					
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent fu	nds		
	Increase Operating Revenues					
	Decrease Operating Revenues					
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.						

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- A. Approval of this resolution will **reject** the request from the Office of the Sheriff to increase a professional services contract by \$50,000, from \$99,999 to \$149,999, with Attorney Michael A.I. Whitcomb to provide legal services for Sheriff David A. Clarke, Jr. The item was reviewed by the Committee on Finance and Audit at its meeting on June 16, 2016, as a "passive review" item and the Committee voted to reject the proposed increase.
- B. The funding for the contract increase was to be absorbed within the Office of the Sheriff's 2016 Adopted Budget.
- C. By rejecting the increase, the Office of the Sheriff will not spend \$50,000 for the legal services. These monies will remain in the budget to help offset projected shortfalls elsewhere in the department's 2016 budget.

D	- 1	N	^	n	0

Department/Prepared By	Steve	Cady,	Research ar	nd Pol	icy Dir	ector, Office of the Comptrolle
Authorized Signature	1	Tuni	m1.	200	41	
,		1	9		4	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	w?		Yes		No	7
Did CBDP Review? ²			Yes		No	■ Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.