## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DA	ΓE:	October 26, 2015		nal Fiscal Note	$\boxtimes$		
			Subs	titute Fiscal Note			
	BJECT vaukee ials	: A resolution/ordinance to amend Section E County Code of General Ordinances related : A resolution/ordinance to amend Section : A resolution : A resolution	on 17.98 ating to	3 and Section 17.99 o salaries for certain ele	f the ected		
FISC	CAL E	FFECT:					
$\boxtimes$	No Direct County Fiscal Impact			Increase Capital Exp	enditures		
	Existing Staff Time Required  Increase Operating Expenditures			Decrease Capital Expenditures			
		ecked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues			
		Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	venues		
		Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget					
	Decrease Operating Expenditures			Use of contingent fur	nds		
	Increase Operating Revenues						
	Decre	ase Operating Revenues					
Indic	ate be	low the dollar change from budget for an	y submi	ission that is projected	d to result in		

increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year	
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0	
	Revenue	\$0	\$0	
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0	
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0	
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0	
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0	

## **DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT**

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
  - A. Approval of this resolution will set the salaries for certain elected officials in advance of the new term of office beginning in April 2016. The affected elected offices includes County Board Supervisor (including additional compensation for Chairperson of the Board and Committee on Finance, Personnel, and Audit), County Executive, and County Comptroller.

The ordinance sets the annual salary for the County Executive and Comptroller at \$129,114.44 and \$125,000.00, respectively. This reflects no change from the 2012-2016 term of office.

For County Board Supervisor, this ordinance sets the pay at an amount that equals the annual per capita income of Milwaukee County as most recently determined by the U.S. bureau of the census, which is currently \$24,295.00. Salary for the chairperson shall be an amount of 150 percent of the salary of a supervisor, and salary for the chairperson of the board's finance committee shall be an amount of 125 percent of the salary of a supervisor, or \$36,442.50 and \$30,368.75, respectively.

B. These changes to County Board Supervisor result in no costs/savings to the current fiscal year (2015). For 2016, the savings are less due to the delayed implementation of the new salaries. For 2016, the salary savings are \$336,593; for 2017, the first full year of implementation, the savings (from 2015 expenditures) are \$477,428. Although not specific to this ordinance change, there will also be a direct savings due to the loss of health and pension benefits for County Board Supervisor. For 2016, the fringe savings is \$249,710 based on the 2016 recommended budget; for 2017, assuming the same rates as the 2016 recommended budget, the full-year savings is \$364,729.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

- C. For 2015, there are no budgetary impacts. For 2016, based on the current recommended budget, savings of \$336,593 for salaries were budgeted for. Additional savings of \$249,710 were budgeted for related to fringe benefits.
- D. This fiscal note is based on the assumption that the County Board will approve salaries at the maximum amount for supervisors in 2016. Since no recommendation is being put forward by the Department of Human Resources with respect to the pay of the County Executive and County Comptroller, this fiscal notes assumes that the County Board will not increase the salaries of those positions. Fringe estimates within this fiscal note are also based off of the 2016 recommended budget, and may or may not reflect the 2016 adopted budget.

Department/Prepared By 0	CJ Pahl/Ste	ve Cady, C	Office of the Cor	mptroller	
Authorized Signature _	93	ton	1. C	ney	
	_		$\leq$	J	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	? []	Yes	⊠ No		
Did CBDP Review? <sup>2</sup>		Yes	☐ No		