

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: March 3, 2015

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: A resolution authorizing the DPRC to conduct a feasibility analysis for creating a Milwaukee County Youth Chess Program

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)
<input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
|--|--|

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
 - A. Approval of this resolution will authorize the Department of Parks, Recreation and Culture (DPRC) to conduct a feasibility analysis on the creation of a Milwaukee County Youth Chess Program within the parks system beginning in the summer of 2015. The program would initially focus on five potential sites including Washington, McGovern, Mitchell, Lincoln, and Sherman Parks, and be geared towards youth in kindergarten through eighth grade. The DPRC would conduct an RFI as part of the analysis to identify possible coaches and instructors for the program, and the department would also do outreach to locate and secure funding donations from partners and sponsors to implement the program and purchase chess equipment. The DPRC shall report the results of the analysis to the Committee on Parks, Energy and Environment during the May 2015 cycle.
 - B. Because this resolution requests the DPRC to conduct an analysis of the feasibility of creating a chess program, only existing staff time will be required at this time and will therefore have no additional fiscal impact. If this analysis results in the implementation of a chess program in the future, a new resolution would need to be submitted to the County Board for approval, which would include the anticipated direct costs and fiscal impacts.
 - C. This resolution will have no budgetary impact for 2015 or subsequent years because only existing staff time is required to conduct the analysis.
 - D. No assumptions or interpretations were used.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

Department/Prepared By Jessica Janz-McKnight, Research and Policy Analyst, Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature  _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

Did CDBP Review?² Yes No Not Required