COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE Inter-Office Communication

Date:

March 4, 2013

To:

Marina Dimitrijevic, Chairwoman, Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors

From:

Brian L. Peterson, M.D., Medical Examiner

Subject:

Capital Improvement Committee Process

Issue

Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all Departments to submit five-year capital improvement program (Program) requests to their respective standing committees. Standing committees shall then submit Programs along with recommendations to the newly created Capital Improvements Committee (CIC).

Background

The purpose of the CIC is to develop a Program for the entire County and establish criteria on how each capital project will be evaluated. The ordinance also requires Departments to submit Programs to their respective standing committees, which will then forward their recommendations to the CIC.

Request

The Medical Examiner's Office has evaluated its anticipated maintenance and facility needs. The attached includes the Department's outstanding capital needs, listed in priority order. Capital project requests assume current operations.

Brian L. Peterson, M.D., Medical Examiner

cc:

Chris Abele, County Executive

Amber Moreen, Chief of Staff, County Executive's Office

Kelly Bablitch, Chief of Staff, County Board

Mark Borkowski, Chair, Judiciary, Safety and General Services Committee

Willie Johnson, Co-Chair, Finance Personnel, and Audit Committee

David Cullen, Co-Chair, Finance, Personnel and Audit Committee

TBD, Chair, Capital Improvements Committee

TBD, CEX Appointee #1, Capital Improvements Committee

TBD, CEX Appointee #2, Capital Improvements Committee

Craig Kammholz, Fiscal & Budget Director, DAS

Brian Dranzik, Director, Department of Transportation

Vince Masterson, Strategic Asset Coordinator, DAS

Pamela Bryant, Capital Finance Manager, Comptroller's Office Justin Rodriguez, Capital Finance Analyst, Comptroller's Office Gregory High, Director, AE&ES-FM-DAS

1 2 3	File No. (Journal,)
3 4 5	(ITEM *) , by recommending adoption of the following:
6 7	A RESOLUTION
8 9 10	WHEREAS, the 2013 Adopted Capital Improvements Budget includes the creation of a Capital Improvements Committee (CIC); and
11 12 13	WHEREAS, ordinance 36.04 was also approved in 2013 which codified the creation, composition, duties, reports and staffing of the CIC; and
14 15 16	WHEREAS, the purpose of the CIC is to develop a Five Year Program for the entire County and establish criteria on how each capital project will be evaluated; and
17 18 19	WHEREAS, the ordinance also requires Departments to submit Five year Programs to their respective standing committees, which will then forward their recommendations to the CIC; and
20 21 22 23	WHEREAS, The Medical Examiner's Office has evaluated its anticipated maintenance and facility needs, and
24 25 26	WHEREAS, the attached Five Year Program includes the department's outstanding capital needs, listed in priority order; now, therefore,
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	BE IT RESOLVED, the attached Five Year Program (Exhibit A) is recommended to CIC.

Department Name 2014

Medical Examiner

_			r .			
						Project Description/Annual Operating
Rank	Project Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing	kmpact
						CT Scanner to diagnose anomates.
ı						Annual operating costs would be \$70,000
1	W/OOX	CT Scanner	\$275,000	şo şo	\$275,000	for service contract
Total			\$275,000	\$0	\$275,000	

Department Name 2015 Medical Examiner

Rank	Project Number		Total Cost		County Financing	Project Description
1		No request		l so	\$0	-
Total			\$0	\$0	\$0	

Department Name 2016

Medical Examiner

Rank	Project Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue		Project Description
1		No request		\$0	\$0	
Total	-		\$0	\$0	\$0	

Department Name 2017

Medical Examiner

Rank	Project Number Project Name	Total Cost			Project Description
1	No request		\$0	\$0	
Total		\$0	l śo	śo	

Department Name 2018

Medical Examiner

Rank	Project Number		Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
1		No request		\$0	\$0	
Total	T		\$0	\$0	\$0	

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	DATE: 03/04/2013		Original Fiscal Note				
		Subs	titute Fiscal Note				
	BJECT: <u>Submission of the Milwaukee County Mital Imrovements Program</u>	ledical E	xaminer's 5 year (2014	<u>1-2018)</u>			
FISC	CAL EFFECT:						
	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Exp	enditures			
	Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Expenditures Increase Capital Revenues				
Ш	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)						
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	evenues			
	☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget			·			
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent fur	nds			
	Increase Operating Revenues						
	Decrease Operating Revenues						
	cate below the dollar change from budget for a eased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the			d to result in			

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	0
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	. 0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
 - A. Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all Departments to submit 5 Year Capital Improvements Program requests to their respective standing committee. The standing committee shall then submit the Program along with its recommendation to the Capital Improvements Committee (CIC).
 - This fiscal note is for initial submission of the Milwaukee County Medical Examiner's office 5 year (2014-2018) Capital Improvements Program.
 - B. There are no direct costs or savings associated with the 5 Year Capital Improvements Program at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this 5 Year Program would occur in the future as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process.
 - C. There are no budgetary costs or savings associated with the 5 Year Capital Improvements Program at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this 5 Year Program would occur in the future as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process
 - D. The project included in the 5 Year Program is estimated based upon information that is currently available. The project proposed and the final projects adopted as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process may vary. Refer to Items B and C for additional assumptions regarding formal appropriation of the projects proposed.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

Department/Prepared By <u>Karer</u>	<u>Doma</u>	agalski⁄/			
Authorized Signature) Mhì	Milan	er fle	2	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?		Yes	X	No	
Did CBDP Review? ²		Yes		No	⊠ Not Required
		٠			