#### **County of Milwaukee**

#### Inter-Office Communication

**Date:** March 1, 2013

**To:** Supervisor Dimitrijevic, County Board Chair

From: John Barrett, Clerk of Circuit Court / Register in Probate

**Subject:** Capital Improvement Committee Process

<u>Issue</u> – Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all departments to submit five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) requests to their respective standing committees. Standing committees shall then submit the CIP along with recommendations to the new created Capital Improvements Committee (CIC).

<u>Background</u> – The purpose of the CIC is to develop a CIP for the entire County and establish criteria on how each capital project will be evaluated. The ordinance also required departments to submit CIPs to their respective committees, which will then forward their recommendation to the CIC.

<u>Request</u> -- Combined Courts Operations has evaluated its anticipated maintenance and facility needs. The attached includes the department's outstanding capital needs, listed in priority order. Capital project requests assume current operations.

### C: Chris Abele, County Executive

Amber Moreen, Chief of Staff, County Executive's Office

Kelly Bablitch, Chief of Staff, County Board

Michael Mayo, Sr., Chair, Transportation, Public Works, and Transit Committee

Willie Johnson, Jr., Co-Chair, Finance, Personnel, and Audit Committee

David Cullen, Co-Chair, Finance, Personnel, and Audit Committee

Mark Borkowski, Chair, Judiciary, Safety, and General Services Committee

Janelle Jensen, Clerk, Judiciary, Safety, and General Services Committee

Chair, Capital Improvements Committee

CEX appointee #1, Capital Improvement Committee

CEX appointee #2, Capital Improvement Committee

Craig Kammholz, Fiscal & Budget Director, Department of Administrative Services

Brian Dranzik, Interim Director, Department of Transportation

Scott Manske, Comptroller

Vince Masterson, Strategic Asset Coordinator, Department of Administrative Services

Chris Lindberg, CIO, IMSD

Laurie Panella, Deputy CIO, IMSD

Pamela Bryant, Capital Finance Manager, Comptroller's Office

Justin Rodriquez, Capital Finance Analyst, Comptroller's Office

Gregory High, Director, AE&ES-FM-DAS

2	
3	(ITEM ) From the Clerk of Circuit Court, a resolution to authorize the
4	attached Five Year Capital Improvement Program for the Combined Courts
5	Operations to be recommended to the Capital Improvement Committee
6	(CIC)::
7	A DECOLUTION
8	A RESOLUTION
9	MUEDEAS the 2012 adepted Capital Improvement Pudget includes the
10 11	WHEREAS, the 2013 adopted Capital Improvement Budget includes the creation of a Capital Improvement Committee (CIC), and
12	creation of a capital improvement committee (cic), and
13	WHEREAS, Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 was also approved in 2013
14	which codified the creation, composition, duties, reports, and staffing of the
15	CIC, and
16	
17	WHEREAS, the purpose of the CIC is to develop a Five Year Capital
18	Improvement Program for the entire County and establish criteria on how each
19	capital project will be evaluated, and
20	
21	WHEREAS, the ordinance also requires departments to submit Five Year
22	Capital Improvement Programs to their respective standing committees, which
23	will then forward their recommendations to the CIC, and
24	
25	WHEREAS, the attached Five Year Capital Program includes the
26	department's outstanding capital needs, listed in priority order,
27	NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the attached Five Year Capital
28 29	Improvement Program is recommended to the CIC.
30	improvement rogiam is recommended to the cie.
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	

1

File No. 13-\_\_\_\_

### Combined Courts Operations 2014

	Project			Reimbursement		Project Description/Annual Operating
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Impact
						Continue IMSD scanning project begun
1	W020504	Scanning Project	\$674,304	\$0	\$674,304	with 2013 budget
						Renovation/re-organization of
2	WC01801	SB 419 renovation	\$254,457		\$254,457	file/exhibit room in Safety Building 419
3	WC05101	Courts exhibit/case records	\$50,000		\$50,000	As per current five year capital plan
4	WC05201	Jury management PA & AV	\$113,400		\$113,400	As per current five year capital plan
						All areas within Family Court are in need
5		Family Court painting project	To be determined		To be determined	of re-painting
						Continue replacing aging copiers, no
6		Copier replacement project	\$15,000		\$15,000	increase from 2013 budgeted amount
						Replace five air conditioning units for
						exhibit and file rooms within the
7		Criminal exhibit rooms A/C	To be determined		To be determined	Criminal Division
						Replace high volume copier/fax machine
8		Copier replacement project (FCC)	\$7,000		\$7,000	and related wiring run
9					\$0	
10					\$0	
Total			To be determined	\$0	To be determined	

# Combined Courts Operations 2015

	Project			Reimbursement		
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
						Continue IMSD scanning project begun
1	W020504	Scanning Project	\$674,304	\$0	\$674,304	with 2013 budget
2	WC08301	Flooring replacement SB 115-116	\$42,000			Flooring replacment due to safety hazard in Safety Building rooms 115&116. NOTE THAT THE ESTIMATED COST IS FOR A CONSULTANT ONLY.
3	WC08401	Lighting upgrades SB 115-116	To be determined		To be determined	Internal and external lighting upgrades in Safety Building rooms 115&116.  NOTE THAT THE CURRENT LISTED COST ON THE FIVE YEAR PLAN RELATES TO SHELVING COSTS, NOT LIGHTING.
4	WC05701	Courtroom bulletproof glass wall	\$343,200		\$343,200	As per current five year capital plan
5		Probate front counter	To be determined		To be determined	"Remodel" the front counter area to have a platform built so that two cashiers can sit at the windows, move related desks/computers, and related wiring.
3		Probate from counter	To be determined		To be determined	New sound system for eight courtrooms
6		Children's Division sound system	To be determined		To be determined	at the Children's Facility on Watertown Plank Road
7		Criminal Division speakers	To be determined		To be determined	New speaker system for customer service windows at the Criminal Division in the Safety Building
8		6th Floor hallway carpeting	To be determined		To be determined	Replace carpeting in the Courthouse on the 6th floor hallways
9		7th Floor hallway carpeting	To be determined		To be determined	Replace carpeting in the Courthouse on the 7th floor hallways
10		Courtroom carpeting projects	To be determined		To be determined	Replacment of carpeting within several courtrooms in the Courthouse
11		Judicial chambers alert buttons	To be determined		To be determined	Install "alert" buttons for security purposes within all judicial chambers

	Project			Reimbursement		Project Description/Annual Operating
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Impact
12		Copier replacement project	\$15,000		\$15,000	Continue replacing aging copiers, no increase from 2013 budgeted amount
13		Carpeting project Courthouse 703	To be determined		To be determined	Replace worn and stained carpeting in Courthouse Room 703 that may become a trip hazard in a year or two
14 15 16		Courthouse 707A project	To be determined		To be determined \$0	
Total			To be determined	\$0	To be determined	

# Combined Courts Operations 2016

	Project			Reimbursement		
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
						Continue IMSD scanning project begun
1	W020504	Scanning Project	\$674,304	\$0	\$674,304	with 2013 budget
						Replace the static case file shelving
						within Safety Building room 213. Cost as
2		Case File Shelving SB 223	\$90,240		\$90,240	per 3/20/07 cost estimate
3	WC05701	Courtroom bulletproof glass wall	\$343,200		\$343,200	As per current five year capital plan
						The Family Court Registration area is not currently ADA compliant. Litigants in
4		FCC Registration Area Remodel	To be determined		To be determined	wheelchairs cannot currently be seen.
5		Copier replacement project	\$15,000			Continue replacing aging copiers, no increase from 2013 budgeted amount
6		Copier replacement project (FCC)	\$7,000		\$7,000	Replace high volume copier/fax machine and related wiring run
7					\$0	
8					\$0	
Total			To be determined	\$0	To be determined	

### **Combined Courts Operations**

2017

	Project			Reimbursement		
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
						Continue IMSD scanning project begun
1	W020504	Scanning Project	\$674,304	\$0	\$674,304	with 2013 budget
2	WC05701	Courtroom bulletproof glass wall	\$343,200		\$343,200	As per current five year capital plan
						Continue replacing aging copiers, no
3		Copier replacement project	\$15,000		\$15,000	increase from 2013 budgeted amount
4					\$0	
5					\$0	
Total			\$1,032,504	\$0	\$1,032,504	

### **Combined Courts Operations**

2018

	Project			Reimbursement		
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
						Continue IMSD scanning project begun
1	W020504	Scanning Project	\$674,304	\$0	\$674,304	with 2013 budget
2	WC05701	Courtroom bulletproof glass wall	\$343,200		\$343,200	As per current five year capital plan
3		Copier replacement project	\$15,000			Continue replacing aging copiers, no increase from 2013 budgeted amount

	Project			Reimbursement		Project Description/Annual Operating
Rank	Number	Project Name	Total Cost	Revenue	County Financing	Impact
						Replace non-energy efficient single pane windows in Courthouse Room 707. This may already be included as part of
4		Courthouse 707 window project	To be determined		To be determined	WC02701
5					\$0	
6					\$0	
					\$0	
Total			To be determined	\$0	To be determined	

### MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	<b>E:</b> March 1, 2013	Origin	nal Fiscal Note						
		Subst	titute Fiscal Note						
	SUBJECT: Submission of the Clerk of Circuit Court 5 Year (2014-2018) Capital Improvement Program Plan								
FISC	CAL EFFECT:								
$\boxtimes$	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures						
	Existing Staff Time Required	П	Decrease Capital Expenditures						
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues						
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues						
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget								
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds						
	Increase Operating Revenues								
	Decrease Operating Revenues								

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	0
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

#### **DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT**

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
  - A. Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all departments to submit Five Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) requests to their respective standing committees. The standing committee shall then submit the CIP along with its recommendations to the Capital Improvements Committee (CIC). This fiscal note is for the initial submission of the Combined Courts Operations 2014-2018 CIP.
  - B. There are no direct costs or savings associated with the Five Year CIP at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this Five Year CIP would occur as part of the 2014 capital budget process.
  - C. There are no budgetary costs or savings associated with the Five Year CIP at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this Five Year CIP would occur in the future as part of the 2014 capital budget process.
  - D. The projects included in the Five Year CIP are estimated upon information that is currently available. The projects proposed and the final projects adopted as part of the 2014 capital budget process may vary. Refer to Items B & C for additional assumptions regarding formal appropriation of the projects proposed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Department/Prepared By						
Authorized Signature						
David P. Ehlinger, CPA Fiscal Operations Administrator Courts						
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?		Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		
Did CBDP Review?		Yes		No 🖂	Not required	