COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE Inter-Office Communication



DATE:

February 18, 2013

TO:

Supervisor Dimitrijevic, County Board Chairwoman

FROM:

Don Natzke, Director, DAS-Office for Persons with Disabilities

SUBJECT:

Capital Improvement Committee Process - 5 Yr Program Submission (2014 - 2018) for the

DAS-Office for Persons with Disabilities

Issue

Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all Departments to submit five-year capital improvement program (Program) requests to their respective standing committees. Standing committees shall then submit Programs along with recommendations to the newly created Capital Improvements Committee (CIC).

Background

The purpose of the CIC is to develop a Program for the entire County and establish criteria on how each capital project will be evaluated. The ordinance also requires Departments to submit Programs to their respective standing committees, which will then forward their recommendations to the CIC.

Request

The DAS-Office for Persons with Disabilities has evaluated its anticipated capital needs. The attached includes the Department's outstanding capital needs prioritized within each program area. Requested capital projects assume current operations.

Don Natzke

Director, DAS-Office for Persons with Disabilities

Cc:

Chris Abele, County Executive

Amber Moreen, Chief of Staff, County Executive's Office

Kelly Bablitch, Chief of Staff, County Board

Michael Mayo, Sr., Chair, Transportation, Public Works, and Transit Committee

Willie Johnson, Jr., Co-Chair, Finance Personnel, and Audit Committee

David Cullen, Co-Chair, Finance Personnel, and Audit Committee

TBD, Chair, Capital Improvements Committee

TBD, CEX Appointee #1, Capital Improvements Committee

TBD, CEX Appointee #2, Capital Improvements Committee

Craig Kammholz, Fiscal & Budget Director, DAS

Brian Dranzik, Interim Director, Department of Transportation

Scott Manske, Comptroller

Vince Masterson, Strategic Asset Coordinator, DAS

Chris Lindberg, CIO, IMSD

Laurie Panella, Deputy CIO, IMSD

Pamela Bryant, Capital Finance Manager, Comptroller's Office

Justin Rodriguez, Capital Finance Analyst, Comptroller's Office

Gregory High, Director, AE&ES-FM-DAS



(ITEM *) A resolution to authorize the attached Five Year Capital Improvements Program for the Department of Administrative Services-Office For Persons with Disabilities to be recommended to the Capital Improvement Committee (CIC):

A RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the 2013 Adopted Capital Improvements Budget includes the creation of a Capital Improvements Committee (CIC); and

WHEREAS, ordinance 36.04 was also approved in 2013, which codified the creation, composition, duties, reports, and staffing of the CIC; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the CIC is to develop a Five Year Program for the entire County and establish criteria on how each capital project will be evaluated; and

WHEREAS, the ordinance also requires Departments to submit Five Year Programs to their respective standing committees, which will then forward their recommendations to the CIC; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Department of Administrative Services-Office For Persons with Disabilities has evaluated its anticipated maintenance and facility needs; and

WHEREAS, the attached Five Year Program includes the department's outstanding capital needs, listed in priority order; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, the attached Five Year Program (Exhibit A) is recommended to the CIC.

Attachment A

AS-C 2014	ffice For Person	s with Disabilities				
	Project Numbe	Project Name	Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue		Project Description/Annual Operating Impact
	Maru	Wil-O-Way Grant Recreation Center Window Replacement	\$49,900	\$0	\$49,900	Replace existing single pane windows with insulating units.
2	Naw	Wil-O-Way Underwood Exterior Wall Rehabilitation	\$44,872	\$0	\$44,872	Replace deteriorated exterior wall with new construction and insulation at the Wil-O-Way Underwood building
otal			\$94,772	\$0	\$94,772	
2015		Droject Name	Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
	Project Number	Project Name Example	\$0			
otal	XXXXX	Example	\$0			
2010						
			E. 16	Dei-hussenet Pavanus	County Financing	Project Description
	Project Numbe		Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
Rank	Project Numbe	Project Name Example	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Rank	Project Numbe			\$0	\$0	
Total Depa	Project Number XXXXX	Example	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	
Total Depa	Project Number XXXXX rtment Name Project Number	Example Project Name	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	Project Description
Rank Fotal Depa 201	Project Number XXXXX	Example	So S	\$0 \$0	So \$0 County Financing	Project Description
Rank Fotal Depa 201	Project Number XXXXX rtment Name Project Number	Example Project Name	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	So \$0 County Financing	Project Description
Rank Depa 201 Rank Total	Project Number XXXXX rtment Name Project Number XXXXX Attract Name	Example Project Name	So S	\$0 \$0	So \$0 County Financing	Project Description
Total Depa 201 Rank Total Depa 201	Project Number XXXXX rtment Name Project Number XXXXX Axxiv Axiv A	Project Name Example	Total Cost SO	Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing	Project Description
Rank Depa 201 Rank Total Depa 201 Rank	Project Number XXXXX rtment Name Project Number XXXXX Project Number XXXXX	Project Name Example Project Name	Total Cost Total Cost	Reimbursement Revenue \$0 \$0 Reimbursement Revenue	County Financing County Financing	Project Description Project Description
Rank Depa 201 Rank Total Depa 201 Rank	Project Number Project Number Project Number EXXXXX Project Number Project Number Project Number	Project Name Example	Total Cost SO	Reimbursement Revenue \$0 \$0 Reimbursement Revenue \$0 \$0	County Financing County Financing County Financing	Project Description Project Description

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	E: 2/18/13		Origina	al Fiscal Note				
			Substi	tute Fiscal N	ote 🗌			
	JECT: <u>Submission</u> tal Improvement Prog	of the DAS-Office For Pe ram	ersons with (Disabilities 5	<u>Year (2014 – 2018)</u>			
FISC	CAL EFFECT:							
\boxtimes	No Direct County Fis	cal Impact		Increase Ca	pital Expenditures			
	Existing Staff	Time Required		Decrease C	apital Expenditures			
	Increase Operating E	ncrease Operating Expenditures If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues				
	Absorbed Wit	thin Agency's Budget		Decrease C	apital Revenues			
	☐ Not Absorbed	d Within Agency's Budget						
	Decrease Operating	Expenditures		Use of cont	ingent funds			
	Increase Operating I	Revenues						
Decrease Operating Revenues								
Indic incre	cate below the dollar eased/decreased exp	change from budget for enditures or revenues in t	r any subm the current y	ission that is ⁄ear.	projected to result in			
		Expenditure or Revenue Category	Curre	nt Year	Subsequent Year			

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
 - A. Milwaukee County Ordinance 36.04 requires all Departments to submit 5 Year Capital Improvement Program requests to their respective standing committee. The standing committee shall then submit the Program along with its recommendations to the Capital Improvements Committee (CIC).

This fiscal note is for initial submission of the Milwaukee County Department of Transportation's 5 Year (2014 – 2018) Capital Improvement Program.

- B. There are no direct costs or savings associated with the 5 Yr. Capital Improvement Program at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this 5 Year Program would occur in the future as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process.
- C. There are no budgetary costs or savings associated with the 5 Yr. Capital Improvement Program at this time as this item is only proposed for initial policymaker consideration. Any formal appropriation related to this 5 Year Program would occur in the future as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process.
- D. The projects included in the 5 Year Program are estimated based upon information that is currently available. The projects proposed and the final projects adopted as part of the 2014 Capital Budget process may vary. Refer to Items B and C for additional assumptions regarding formal appropriation of the projects proposed.

Department/Prepared By Don Natzke, Director, DAS-Office For Persons with Disabilities

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

Authorized Signature	ecold Nollo				
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?		Yes	× N	No	
Did CBDP Review? ²		Yes	□ N	No 🛛 Not Required	