\square

 \square

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 2/7/12 **Original Fiscal Note** Substitute Fiscal Note SUBJECT: Report from the Director, Department of Health and Human Services, requesting authorization to amend various 2012 Purchase of Service Contracts for programs within the Delinquency and Court Services Division.

FISCAL EFFECT:

\boxtimes	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures				
	Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Expenditures				
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Decrease Capital Experiorities				
			Increase Capital Revenues				
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues				
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget						
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds				
	Increase Operating Revenues						
	Decrease Operating Revenues						

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure		
Budget	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. The Director of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) is requesting authorization to amend various 2012 Delinquency and Court Services Division (DCSD) Purchase of Service contracts that have a 2012 term that ends on June 30th.

In December 2011, the County Board approved the Department's recommendation to enter into six-month contracts due to the uncertainty of the extent of further cuts by the State to Youth Aids, with initial estimates projecting a 2012 tax levy deficit ranging between \$1 million and \$2.7 million. At that time, the Department indicated that authorization to extend some of the six-month contracts would be requested in Spring 2012, once the actual fiscal impact of the State reduction became clear. DHHS has since received the 2012 Contract from the State Department of Corrections (DOC), and the actual variance to DCSD's 2012 Adopted Budget results in a budgeted revenue shortfall of \$789,447.

DHHS believes that this reduction by the State can be absorbed within the DHHS budget in 2012, based on revised DOC projections showing a 2012 surplus exceeding \$600,000, as well as from savings due to changes to certain purchase of service contracts and other emerging initiatives. Accordingly, the Department is now requesting permission to extend the terms and increase the amounts of these contracts to reflect the provision of services through December 31, 2012.

Approval of this request will allow the Director of DHHS to extend purchase of service contracts to continue provision of contracted Re-entry Coordination, Level 2 In-Home Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring and Day Treatment services for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

B.Total 2012 expenditures included in this request are \$3,984,046, representing an increase of \$1,992,023 over the amount approved In December 2011.

C. There is no tax levy impact associated with approval of this request in 2012 as funds sufficient to cover the expenditures associated with these contracts were included as part of DCSD's 2012 Budget, and because DHHS believes that the additional State reduction in Youth Aids can be absorbed within the 2012 DHHS budget.

D. No assumptions are made.

Department/Prepared By	Thomas F. Lewandowski, Fiscal & Management Analyst						
Authorized Signature	A	A	Ciloi				
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	v?	Yes	\boxtimes	No			