COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE:

December 5, 2011

TO:

Supervisor Lee Holloway, Chairman, County Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Pamela Bryant, Interim Fiscal and Budget Administrator

SUBJECT:

Airport Reimbursement Resolution

Background

The 2012 Adopted Capital Improvements Budget included two projects that were to be at least partially financed by general airport revenue bonds (GARBs).

The budget included an appropriation of \$7,405,000 for the construction phase of the Redundant Main Electric Service Feed at General Mitchell International Airport (GMIA). Financing is provided from \$3,702,500 in PFC pay as you go revenue and \$3,702,500 in GARBs.

The budget also included an appropriation of \$2,415,000 for the construction phase of the Training Facility at GMIA. Financing is provided from GARBs.

This reimbursement resolution will allow spending to occur prior to the issuance of bonds at a later time.

The maximum amount of the reimbursement resolution (\$6,240,000) is greater than the total bond amounts budgeted for the projects (\$6,117,500) because the reimbursement resolution amount includes the cost of issuance.

Since the Airport will need to proceed with these projects, the County will have to reimburse itself with bond proceeds for expenditures that are incurred prior to the issuance of the bonds.

U.S. Treasury Regulation Compliance

U.S. Treasury Regulation Section 1.150-2 describes the conditions under which the County may expend County funds on a project currently and later reimburse itself with bond proceeds. The first step in providing for such reimbursement to occur is an expression of intent by the County to reimburse itself for expenditures incurred prior to issuing the bonds. Therefore, the attached resolution expresses that intent.

Expressing this intent will allow the County to reimburse itself for expenditures incurred as far back as 60 days prior to the approval of the attached reimbursement resolution.

Recommendation

The DAS recommends that the Finance and Audit Committee approve the accompanying resolution that expresses the County's intent, in accordance with U.S. Treasury Regulation Section 1.150-2, to reimburse itself for expenditures that will occur prior to the issuance of the bonds. It is anticipated that there will be an issuance of airport debt in either 2012 or 2013.

Pamela Bryant

Interim Fiscal and Budget Administrator

Attachment

pc: Chris Abele, County Executive

Supervisor Thomas, Chairman, Finance and Audit Committee Pat Farley, Director, Department of Administrative Services

Steve Cady, Fiscal & Budget Analyst, County Board Carol Mueller, Committee Clerk, County Board Justin Rodriguez, Interim Capital Finance Manager

Chuck Jarik, Chapman and Cutler

Emile Banks, Emile Banks and Associates

1 2 3	File No. (Journal,)							
4 5 6 7	(ITEM *) RESOLUTION expressing official intent regarding certain capital expenditures to be reimbursed from the proceeds of an obligation.							
8 9 10	WHEREAS Milwaukee County, Wisconsin (the "Issuer") now wishes to advance moneys from available funds to pay the costs of certain capital projects described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Projects"); and							
11 12 13	WHEREAS all or a portion of the expenditures relating to the Projects and to be made from such funds (the "Expenditures") (i) have been paid within the sixty (60) days prior to the passage of this Resolution, or (ii) will be paid on or after the passage of this Resolution; and							
14 15	WHEREAS the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse itself or pay for the Expenditures with the proceeds of airport revenue bonds;							
16 17	Now Therefore Be It Resolved by the County Board of Supervisors of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, as follows:							
18 19	Section 1. The Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse or pay the Expenditures with proceeds of airport revenue bonds.							
20 21 22	Section 2. The maximum principal amount of the obligations expected to be issued for the Projects (including issuance expenses) and to be made from the funds is \$6,240,000 in airport revenue bonds.							
23 24	Section 3. Any costs paid prior to 60 days before the adoption of the reimbursement resolution will be financed by cash from the Airport Reserve Account							
25 26 27	Section 4. All actions of the officers, agents and employees of the Issuer that are in conformity with the purposes and intent of this Resolution, whether taken before or after the adoption hereof, are hereby ratified, confirmed and approved.							
28 29	Section 5. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its adoption.							

EXHIBIT A

PROJECT	AIRPORT	COST
WA139	REDUNDANT MAIN ELECTRIC SERVICE FEED	\$3,702,500
WA141	TRAINING FACILITY	\$2,415,000

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE : 12/5/11	Original Fiscal Note							
	Substitute Fiscal Note							
SUBJECT: Airport Replacement Reimbursement Re	esolution							
FISCAL EFFECT:								
No Direct County Fiscal Impact	☐ Increase Capital Expenditures							
Existing Staff Time Required								
☐ Increase Operating Expenditures	Decrease Capital Expenditures							
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)	Increase Capital Revenues							
Absorbed Within Agency's Budget	☐ Decrease Capital Revenues							
Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget								
Decrease Operating Expenditures	Use of contingent funds							
☐ Increase Operating Revenues								
☐ Decrease Operating Revenues								
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.								

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	MACCO	
Budget	Revenue		
	Net Cost	,	

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. The 2012 Adopted Capital Improvements Budget included two projects that were to be at least partially financed by general airport revenue bonds.

The budget included an appropriation of \$7,405,000 for the construction phase of the Redundant Main Electric Service Feed at General Mitchell International Airport (GMIA). Financing is provided from \$3,702,500 in PFC pay as you go revenue and \$3,702,500 in GARBs.

The budget also included an appropriation of \$2,415,000 for the construction phase of the Training Facility at GMIA. Financing is provided from GARBs.

The maximum amount of the reimbursement resolution (\$6,240,000) is greater than the total bond amounts budgeted for the projects (\$6,117,500) because the reimbursement resolution amount includes the cost of issuance

	ludes the cost of issuance.
В.	There are no additional costs.

-	•	,,,	•••	 . ~	 •	~~	~	 1	~	,,,	

C. N/A

D. N/a

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Department/Prepared by	JUSTIT TOGET	uuez		
·		0		
	h	D W	Burnen	
	A Dul	la Du.	. <i>1</i> 8	
Authorized Signature	- Jam	of yo		
-				
	ľ			
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Revie	w?	Yes	□ No	
	<u> </u>		Lipina de la constante de la c	