MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

| DAT | E: | 8/22/11 | | Original Fiscal Note | | ote 🖂 |
|-----------|---|------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Subst | itute Fiscal I | Note |
| CON | | | AUTHORIZATION TO AN KRALY SOLUTIONS, LLC ISD) | | | |
| FISC | CAL E | FFECT: | | | | |
| | No D | irect County Fis | scal Impact | | Increase C | Capital Expenditures |
| \bowtie | Inoro | • | Time Required | | Decrease | Capital Expenditures |
| | Increase Operating (If checked, check o | | ne of two boxes below) | | Increase Capital Revenues | |
| | \boxtimes | Absorbed Wit | thin Agency's Budget | | Decrease | Capital Revenues |
| | | Not Absorbed | d Within Agency's Budget | | | |
| | Decr | ease Operating | Expenditures | | Use of cor | ntingent funds |
| | Incre | ase Operating I | Revenues | | | |
| | Decr | ease Operating | Revenues | | | |
| | | | change from budget for enditures or revenues in t | • | | is projected to result in |
| | | | Expenditure or | Curren | Current Year Subsequent Year | |

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Operating Budget | Expenditure | 0 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |
| Capital Improvement | Expenditure | | |
| Budget | Revenue | | |
| | Net Cost | | |

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

- A. IMSD is requesting the authority to amend the professional services contract between Kraly Solutions, LLC and the Information Management Services Division (IMSD) of Milwaukee County. Adoption of the resolution will provide standardization of all aspects of information technology (IT) project management ensuring that IT projects are consistently delivered on time, on budget and with minimal risk. Currently, IMSD is working on approximately 85 IT related projects. The PMO continues to be a critical function within IMSD due to the volume of IT projects and initiatives that continue to be requested by all departments county-wide Approval of the requested amendment to extend the professional services contract between Kraly Solutions, LLC and the Information Management Services Division (IMSD) of Milwaukee County will result in an increased cost of \$20,000 bringing the value of the current contract from \$61,200 to \$81,200.
- B. The cost related to the proposed contract amendment is an additional \$20,000 during the remainder of 2011. However, the additional cost will be absorbed into IMSD's budget and therefore the proposed contract extension will have no fiscal impact.
- C. No fiscal impacts are anticipated for current or the subsequent fiscal year. See above.
- D. It is assumed that this position needs to be filled to ensure critical business continuity within the IMSD.

| Department/Prepared By | Laurie Panella, Interim CIC | D, IMSD | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|
| Authorized Cianature | | | |
| Authorized Signature | - | | |
| Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Revie | w? 🛛 Yes | ⊠ No | |