

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: August 4, 2011

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: A resolution/ordinance to reform the role of County Board Supervisors and legislative operations beginning in the 2012 term of office

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures | <input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | |

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	-572,000
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	-572,000
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Approval of this resolution will authorize new rates of compensation and fringe benefits for County Board of Supervisors beginning with the 2012 legislative term.

Specifically, pay is reduced by \$37,090, from \$52,090 to \$15,000 annually for County Board Supervisors and by \$55,902, from \$73,402 to \$17,500 for the County Board Chairman position. In addition, no pension service credit would be provided to any Supervisor after the Spring 2012 election on April 3, 2012. Health insurance coverage would still be provided.

Savings from the change in salary and pension benefits would be approximately \$608,900 in 2012 and \$906,170 in 2013. This reflects eight months of savings in 2012 and a full-year in 2013 including the adjustment in the number of Supervisors to 18 beginning in the next term.

This fiscal note also provides an estimate to keep the Courthouse Security in place after 5:00 p.m. to accommodate evening meetings. Department of Transportation and Public Works officials estimate that two screening stations would need to be in operation; the 9th Street entrance and the 10th Street entrance (mostly for staff). The extra cost is approximately \$410 per day. For the purposes of this estimate, it is expected that evening meetings would occur on 110 days per year based on the current number/frequency of policy committees. Courthouse security costs are estimated at \$36,900 for 2012 and \$49,200 in 2013.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Courthouse Security costs offset the salary and fringe benefit savings to provide a total savings of \$572,000 in 2012 and \$856,970 in 2013. There is no fiscal impact for 2011 since the effective date is after the 2012 supervisory elections.

Department/Prepared By Steve Cady, Fiscal and Budget Analyst, County Board_

Authorized Signature Stephen J. Cady

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No