COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE:

February 3, 2011

TO:

Supervisor Lee Holloway, Chairperson, County Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Michael Mayo, County Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Jack Takerian, Director of Transportation and Public Works

SUBJECT:

2012-2016 Milwaukee County Land and Water Resource Management Plan

POLICY

In 1997, the State Legislature, through Wisconsin Act 27, amended Chapter 92 of the Wisconsin Statutes, requiring that all counties develop a land and water resource management plan that must be updated every five years to remain eligible to receive conservation staff funding and cost-share grant funding from the State.

The DTPW Director is requesting that the County Board of Supervisors approve the 2012-2016 Milwaukee County Land and Water Resource Management Plan.

BACKGROUND

In 2001, and again in 2006, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors approved the Milwaukee County Land & Water Resource Management Plan.

Milwaukee County has completed the most recent update, which will cover the 2012-2016 period. Pending approval of the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors, the plan will go before the State Land and Water Conservation Board for final State approval in April 2011.

A State-approved land and water resource management plan is needed to remain eligible for many State resource management grants.

RECOMMENDATION

The Director of Transportation and Public Works requests that the County Board of Supervisors approve Milwaukee County's 2012-2016 Land and Water Resource Management Plan.

Prepared by: Timothy Detzer, P.E., Environmental Engineer

Approved by:

Jack Takerian, Director

Transportation and Public Works

Greg High, P.E., Director

Transportation and Public Works -AE&ES

cc: County Executive Marvin Pratt

Terry Cooley, Chief of Staff

Supervisor Gerry Broderick, Parks, Energy & Environment Committee

Chairman

Part of 3.

Workplan Objective	Planned Actions	Status of Planned Actions	Agencies	Priority			
Goal 2: Protect, Maintain, and Restore Land and Water Resources in Milwaukee County							
Manage Contaminated Sediments for Water Quality Benefit	Support efforts to determine best strategies for managing contaminated sediments	Ongoing	US EPA, WDNR, MMSD	M			
	Support efforts to implement best strategies for managing contaminated sediments	Ongoing	US EPA, WDNR, MMSD	M			

From the Director of Transportation and Public Works requesting that the 1 County Board of Supervisors approve Milwaukee County's 2012-2016 Land 2 and Water Resource Management Plan, by recommending adoption of the 3 following: 4 5 6 7 **A RESOLUTION** 8 WHEREAS, the State of Wisconsin in Chapter 92 of the State Statutes 9 requires counties to develop land and water resource management plans and 10 update these plans every five years to receive State conservation funding; 11 and 12 13 WHEREAS, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors has 14 previously approved Milwaukee County's Land and Water Resource 15 Management Plans in 2001 and 2006; and 16 17 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County has completed an update for the Land 18 and Water Resource Management Plan for the period 2012 through 2016; 19 and 20 21 WHEREAS, the Milwaukee County Board must approve the plan 22 before it goes to the State Land and Water Conservation Board for approval; 23 and 24 25 26 WHEREAS, a State-approved Land and Water Resource Management Plan is required for many State resource management grants; now therefore 27 28

BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Supervisors does hereby

approve Milwaukee County's 2012-2016 Land and Water Resource

29

30 31

32

Management Plan.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	E: January 26, 2011	Origin	nal Fiscal Note					
		Subst	itute Fiscal Note					
SUBJECT: 2012 DATCP Staffing and Cost-share Grant for Land Conservation Activities								
FISCAL EFFECT:								
	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures					
			Decrease Capital Expenditures					
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues					
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues					
	☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget							
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds					
	Increase Operating Revenues							
	Decrease Operating Revenues							
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.								

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	0
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. Adoption of the resolution would constitute County Board approval of the 2012-2016 Land and Water Resource Management Plan and would qualify it for State approval.

B. County Departments will absorb costs associated with implementation of this plan through staff costs and current operating expenditures that are earmarked for land and water management objectives. Approval of the plan will allow us to continue to receive staffing grants of approximately \$85,000 annually to offset the costs of the plan. These funds are already accounted for in the operating budget.

C. No impacts

D. None

_

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Department/Prepared By <u>DTPW-Environmental Services, Tim Detzer, P.E.</u>				
Approved by:				
Jack Takerian, D Transportation &		Greg High, Director DTPW-AE&ES		
	•	N		
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	w? ∐ Yes	⊠ No		
	F	Reviewed With:		