## McKinley Flushing Channel Alternatives Analysis

## Section 2

			Expected Useful Life		
<u>Alternative</u>	Construction C	<u>Cost</u>	<u>(yrs)</u>	Other considerations	Preferred (Y/N)
				Most cost effective, lowest maintenance, facilitates	
				future water access, common construction familiar	Y
New Steel Sheet Pile Bulkhead	\$ 2,318,	453	100	) to contractors	
				More demolition, reduction of available parkland,	
				lifespan subject to wave action/water levels,	Ν
New Rip Rap Revetment	\$ 2,055,	444	50	) potential to limit vessels using the channel	
Section 4 & 6					
Alternative	Construction (	Cost	Expected Useful Life	Other considerations	Preferred (Y/N)
				Common construction materials/techniques,	
				requires temporary relocation of MYC asphalt	Y
New Steel Sheet Pile Bulkhead	\$ 1,971,	847	100	) paths, patio and outdoor bar area	
				Less disruptive to MYC, specialty contractor	Ν
New Steel Sheet Pile Wall and Grouted Anchors	\$ 1,914,	688	100	) required, requires barge - higher construction costs	
Section 5					
Alternative	Construction (	Cost	Expected Useful Life	Other considerations	Preferred (Y/N)
				Common construction materials/techniques,	
				requires temporary relocation of MYC pavement	Ν
New Steel Sheet Pile Wall (w/deadman tieback)	\$ 858,	244	100	) and boat crane	
				Less disruptive to MYC, specialty contractor	
				required, requires barge, modification of existing	N
New Steel Sheet Pile Wall (w/grouted anchors)	\$ 962.	007	100	) steel sheeting - higher construction costs,	
	,			Less disruptive to MYC, no crane relocation, lower	
Rehabilitation of Existing Sheet Pile Wall (Fiber				construction costs, limited case use history,	Y
reinforced panels & grout)	\$ 370,	050	25	seasonal temperature limits on installation	