## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	E: February 23, 2011		Original Fiscal Note		
			Substitute Fiscal Note		
SER\ 2013	JECT: FROM THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF THE DEF VICES, REQUESTING AUTHORIZATION TO SIGN COOPE 3 AND 2014 DESIGNATING MILWAUKEE COUNTY AS A ELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)	RATION	AGREEMENTS FOR YEARS 20		
FISC	AL EFFECT:				
	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditure	es	
	Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Expenditur	es	
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues		
	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues		
	Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget				
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds		
	Increase Operating Revenues				
	Decrease Operating Revenues				
	cate below the dollar change from budget for an eased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the curr			esult in	

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	1,800,000	1,800,000
	Revenue	1,800,000	1,800,000
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure		
Budget	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

## **DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT**

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. The Interim Director of the Department of Health and Human Services is requesting authorization to sign Cooperation Agreements with area municipalities for years 2012, 2013 and 2014 designating Milwaukee County as an Urban County for the Federal Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Home Programs.

B. Through designation as an Urban County, Milwaukee County has annually received approximately \$1.8 million in Federal Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant dollars, which are shared with the participating municipalities. Milwaukee County also assumes responsibility to administer, coordinate, and oversee the community development program to ensure that the program is carried out in accordance with national policies and objectives.

C. While recognizing that future Federal allocations of grant awards are always uncertain, it is anticipated that the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) will provide approximately \$1.8 million in grant revenue in each of the years covered by the Cooperation Agreement. In no case will program expenditures exceed available revenue. As a result, there is no tax levy impact associated with approval of this request.

D. No further assumptions are made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Department/Prepared By: Th	nomas F. Lewandowski, Fiscal & Management Analyst	
Authorized Signature	Lei X. Sydoy	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	