

## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** November 21, 2022

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

**SUBJECT:** A resolution calling upon the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) to reconstruction Interstate 94 (I-94) between 70<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> Streets within its current footprint with six lanes, or “Fix at Six,” and opposing the eight-lane alternative

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures<br>(If checked, check one of two boxes below)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency’s Budget<br><input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency’s Budget<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
|--|--|

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
<b>Operating Budget</b>	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
<b>Capital Improvement Budget</b>	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
  - B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
  - C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
  - D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- 
- A. Approval of this resolution will maintain the Milwaukee County policy established in [File No. 15-426](#) relating to opposing any expansion or lane mile capacity increase of the Interstate 94 East-West Corridor between 70<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> Streets, but favoring the idea of the diverging diamond structure at the Stadium Interchange. The resolution further expresses disapproval of displacing businesses, residents, and the destruction of the County's property tax base. The resolution would direct the Office of Government Affairs to communicate the Board's disposition with various State of Wisconsin and federal officials.
  - B. There are no direct costs associated with this resolution. Existing staff time would be needed to communicate the contents of the resolution to State policymakers and the Wisconsin Counties Association.
  - C. No budgetary impacts are expected in this or subsequent years. The resolution would not authorize the expenditure of any additional funds.
  - D. No assumptions were made.

Department/Prepared By Ken Smith, Research Services Division, Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature

*Ken Smith*

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?  Yes  No

Did CBDP Review?<sup>2</sup>  Yes  No  Not Required

<sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

<sup>2</sup> Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.