MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	E: February 22, 2022	February 22, 2022Original Fiscal N					
		Substi	tute Fiscal Note				
SUBJECT: A resolution requesting the Superintendent, House of Correction and the Office of the Sheriff study restoring visitation at the House of Correction (HOC) and Milwaukee County Jail/Criminal Justice Facility (CJF)							
FISC	CAL EFFECT:						
\boxtimes	No Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures				
	 Existing Staff Time Required Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below) Absorbed Within Agency's Budget Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget 		Decrease Capital Expenditures Increase Capital Revenues Decrease Capital Revenues				
	Decrease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent funds				
	Increase Operating Revenues						

Decrease Operating Revenues

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year	
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$0	\$0	
	Revenue	\$0	\$0	
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0	
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	\$0	\$0	
Budget	Revenue	\$0	\$0	
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0	

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- A. Approval of this resolution would express a County Board position expressing interest in a return to in-person visitation at the House of Correction (HOC) and Milwaukee County Jail/Criminal Justice Facility (CJF) and would further request the Office of the Sheriff study the issue and report back to the County Board no later than July 2022. This resolution does not restore in-person visitation at the HOC or CJF. And the County Board cannot, under the Wisconsin Constitution, legally mandate the independently elected Office of the Sheriff, which directs the CJF, to undertake any executive policies.
- B. There are no direct costs associated with this resolution as it only asks the Office of the Sheriff to study in-person visitation. Existing staff time would be needed to study the matter at hand and report back to the County Board of Supervisors. Any future action to restore inperson visitation at the HOC and CJF may require capital investment and additional staffing costs.
- C. No budgetary impacts are expected in this or subsequent years. The resolution would not authorize the expenditure of any additional funds.
- D. No assumptions were made.

Department/Prepared By	Ken Smith	Research Services Division	Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature	Ken	Sm	ith			
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	v?		Yes	\boxtimes	No	
Did CBDP Review?2			Yes		No	🛛 Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.