1 2	By Supervisors Weishan, Jr., and Martin	File No. 21-76
3 4	A RESOLUTION	
5		
6 7 8 9	Requesting an opinion from the Milwaukee County Offic whether 2013 Wisconsin Act 14 violates the Voti	•
9 10	WHEREAS, in 2013, the State of Wisconsin adopte	ad 2013 Wisconsin Act 1/
10 11 12	("Act 14"), which altered the pay structure, term length, an Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors, including limiting	d pension options of the
13 14	into certain agreements; and	
15 16 17 18	WHEREAS, Act 14 limits the total appropriations fo including salaries of County Board Supervisors and Count percent of the total County tax levy budget, making Milwar in Wisconsin with a cap on spending for its legislative bod	ty Board employees, to 0.4 ukee County the only County
19 20	WHEREAS, the report in File No. 20-679 from the I	Milwaukoo County Offico of
20	the Comptroller and the Department of Administrative Ser	,
22	restrictions on the portion of the tax levy that the County B	•
23	the number of supervisory districts in Milwaukee County is	
24	reasonably provide salaries to Supervisors, salaries and b	
25	commodities and services and that an increase in supervision	
26 27	less spending on departmental salaries or other departme	ental accounts; and
28	WHEREAS, continued reductions to departmental	salaries and other
29	departmental accounts is not feasible to maintain the staff	ing necessary to effectuate
30	the services provided by the County Board or provide othe	er services to constituents,
31	including mailings and communications, outreach related	to the County's services and
32	budget, community events, amongst other expenditures; a	and
33		
34	WHEREAS, the report in File No. 20-670 from the I	•
35	the Comptroller and Department of Administrative Service	
36	County Board continues at a baseline scenario over the ne	
37	Board budget will have increasingly less funds for services	s to constituents or to perform
38	its core duties; and	
39	WILLEDEAS, continuing in a baseling approximit is no	t feasible sives the continued
40 41	WHEREAS, continuing in a baseline scenario is no increase in the cost of living every year, which could lead	
41 42	a result, a decrease in services that may be provided to co	

43 WHEREAS, Act 14 also permits the County Executive to introduce resolutions to 44 the County Board, in essence making his position a "Super Supervisor" that is elected countywide and allows him to serve as the day-to-day administrator and as a legislator; 45 46 and 47 48 WHEREAS, Act 14 does not place any limits as to the portion of the County tax 49 levy that the Office of the County Executive can comprise; and 50 51 WHEREAS, Act 14 applies only to counties with a population of more than 52 750,000, of which Milwaukee County is the sole county to which this applies; and 53 54 WHEREAS, according to the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, Black 55 residents compose 6.1 percent of the state's total population, while Milwaukee County is 56 home to 69.4 percent of Wisconsin's Black residents; and 57 58 WHEREAS, the City of Milwaukee makes up 63 percent of the County's total 59 population, including 96 percent of the County's Black residents and 81 percent of the 60 County's Latino population; and 61 62 WHEREAS, therefore, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors represent the 63 majority of the people of color in the State of Wisconsin; and 64 65 WHEREAS, on May 25, 2019, Milwaukee County adopted File No. 19-416 and File No. 19-397, supporting the Office on African American Affairs' long-term racial 66 67 equity action plan and Milwaukee County's commitment to achieve racial equity, 68 respectively; and 69 70 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County adopted File No. 20-174 in April 2020 71 establishing Chapter 108 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances, which 72 codifies Milwaukee County's commitment to becoming the healthiest County in the 73 State of Wisconsin by achieving racial equity and aligning its priorities and practices to 74 align with that goal; and 75 76 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County's commitment to achieve racial equity includes 77 addressing racial bias in organizational culture and decision-making and aims to 78 improve racial equity in operations, fiscal appropriations, IS systems, policies and 79 programs, legislation, workforce, organizational culture, and community engagement; 80 and 81 82 WHEREAS, the Voting Rights Act of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in voting. 83 prevents "any denial or abridgement of the right to vote...on account of race", and enforces the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution; and 84

85 WHEREAS, Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 prohibits voting practices 86 or procedures that discriminate on the basis of race, color, or membership in one of the 87 language minority groups and, in 1982, the U.S. Congress determined that the intention 88 of a practice or procedure was not relevant to whether or not a practice or procedure 89 was discriminatory and that violations were to be evaluated solely based on the results 90 of a practice or procedure; and

91

WHEREAS, guidance provided by the U.S. Congress in 1982 includes that
evaluation of a violation should include analysis of the extent to which voting in the
election of a state or political subdivision is racially polarized and the extent to which
minority group members bear the effects of discrimination in areas such as education,
employment, and health, which hinder their ability to participate effectively in the political
process, amongst other provisions; and

98

WHEREAS, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the provisions of the Voting Rights
Act of 1965 in many cases since its passage, including Thornburg v. Gingles (1986),
Johnson v. DeGrandy (1994), and Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing
Development Corporation (1977), and others; and

104 WHEREAS, Act 14 targets the representative body of Milwaukee County, which
105 is home to a majority of the people of color in the State of Wisconsin; and
106

107 WHEREAS, Act 14 may constitute an unequal application of the law based on
108 race, color, or membership in one of the language minority groups; and
109

WHEREAS, the Committee on Audit, at its meeting of January 27, 2021,
recommended adoption of File No. 21-76 (vote 5-0); now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that 2013 Wisconsin Act 14 exclusively limits the power and
 authority of the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors, which dilutes the
 representation of Milwaukee County constituents; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965
prohibits practices or procedures that discriminate based upon race, color, or
membership in one of the language minority groups, which the provisions of 2013
Wisconsin Act 14 may constitute; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors requests that the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation Counsel review and issue an opinion by the March 2021 cycle to determine whether 2013 Wisconsin Act 14 violates Voting Rights Act of 1965; and

126

- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, upon submission of a written opinion to the 127 128 Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors, the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation 129 Counsel shall request an opinion on the constitutionality of 2013 Wisconsin Act 14 in 130 light of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 from the State of Wisconsin Attorney General; and 131 132 BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Office of Corporation 133 Counsel shall provide regular updates to the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors
- 134 on the status of the opinion requested from the State of Wisconsin Attorney General
- 135 and shall submit the Attorney General's opinion upon receipt.
- 136
- 137 138 139 01/27/21
- s:\committees\2021\jan\audit\resolutions\21-76 act 14 weishan resolution.docx