

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 8/7/2018

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: MCTS Service Coverage for Route 61 Passengers

FISCAL EFFECT:

No Direct County Fiscal Impact Increase Capital Expenditures

Existing Staff Time Required

Decrease Capital Expenditures

Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

Increase Capital Revenues

Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

Decrease Capital Revenues

Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

Decrease Operating Expenditures

Use of Contingent Funds

Increase Operating Revenues

Decrease Operating Revenues

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	n/a	n/a
	Revenue	n/a	n/a
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
 - A. MCTS seeks to continue to provide transit services to about 800 passengers that currently use Route 61 within Milwaukee County. Both Route 61 and Route 6 are funded with zoo litigation settlement funds that are projected to be exhausted in December 2018. The adopted 2018 Transit budget authorizes discontinuation of these routes when the funding ends; however, MCTS has determined that it can cover a portion of Route 61 within Milwaukee County by extending/deviating Route 57. Subsequently, Route 22 would also be extended/deviated to cover a portion of Route 57.
 - B. Costs associated with the route change are controlled by MCTS by using the same level of service that currently exists on Routes 57 and 22 for the new combination of service that is proposed. Using the same number of buses for the same number of hours each day results in no new net costs.
 - C. The proposed change is consistent with the adopted 2018 Transit Budget. The proposed change is consistent with the recently completed 2019 recommended Transit Budget.
 - D. It is assumed that minor fluctuations in ridership will cause changes in passenger revenue that are within the tolerances of annual variability in passenger revenue; therefore, the recommendation for this change is not dependent upon new net passenger revenue.

Department/Prepared by: MCDOT / MCTS

Authorized Signature



¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?

Yes

No

Did CBDP Review?²

Yes No

Not Required