

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 4/21/17

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: Department of Parks, Recreation & Culture - Request for funding for 12.0 FTE approved in File 17-129

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | |

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	582,246	674,070
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	582,246	674,070
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.


A. The Milwaukee County Parks Department is requesting funding from the Appropriation for Contingencies for 12 positions approved in File 17-129

B. The direct costs of the requested action involve salaries and benefits. The requested positions were included in the recommended 2017 budget and eliminated by the County Board via amendment 1A045 with the funding transferred to the Appropriations for Contingencies. The Milwaukee County Parks Department requested the position authority for the positions in March of 2017 and was granted that authority in File 17-129. The position authority was not accompanied by additional funding.

C. The requested funding requires a transfer from the Appropriation for Contingencies. Position authority granted in March has resulted in a reduction of the cost for the positions for the remainder of 2017 in the amount of \$47,629.81 from the original funding request in the 2017 Budget.

D. This analysis includes updated healthcare and pension costs for 2018 budget as well as reclassification actions executed since the formulation of the 2017 budget.

Department/Prepared By Jeremy Lucas, Budget & Management Analyst DAS-PSB

Authorized Signature 

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Did CBDP Review?²

Yes

No

Not Required

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

