By Supervisors Dimitrijevic, Lipscomb, Harris, Broderick and Weishan

A RESOLUTION

Creating an Office of Sustainability under the Office of the County Executive's and a new, full-time position of Director of Sustainability that would directly report to the County Executive, retitling the existing position of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer to Managing Environmental Engineer and funding the new position with funds from the Appropriation for Contingencies account.

WHEREAS, on July 26, 2007 the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors voted unanimously to approve a resolution creating the "Green Print" environmental and conservation initiative for Milwaukee County government; and

7

WHEREAS, a provision of the Green Print authorized the Director of Human Resources to develop a position of Director of Sustainability and report back to the Personnel and Finance and Audit Committees in September of 2007; and

WHEREAS, instead, the 2008 adopted budget for the Architectural, Engineering and Environmental Services division (AE&ES) abolished the vacant position of Director of Energy and Environmental Services and created the position of Director of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer; and

WHEREAS, the job duties associated with the Director of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer position that relate to sustainability include providing effective, rational and cost conscious leadership on environmental sustainability issues, working closely with county departments to identify opportunities to apply "green" building concepts, performing cost benefit and/or life cycle analysis on proposed major maintenance and capital public works projects and monitoring results on implemented projects; and

WHEREAS, the job duties associated with the Director's position that relate to environmental services responsibilities include providing environmental services assistance to county departments, overseeing Milwaukee County's NR216 county-wide stormwater permit, management of landfill gas control systems and groundwater monitoring, serving as the County land conservationist and overseeing environmental services section staff; and

 WHEREAS, given the diverse workload of the Sustainability Director's position, the County Board adopted a resolution (File No. 08-238) in June of 2008 requesting that the Green Print Workgroup recommend changes to the position based upon the existing and evolving job duties and report back in September of 2009, in anticipation of the 2010 budget deliberations; and

WHEREAS, the Green Print Workgroup submitted a report (dated September 15, 2009) recommending the creation of a "Director of Sustainability" position as a new department head reporting directly to the County Executive and retitling of the existing position of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer to "Environmental Engineer"; and

WHEREAS, the recommendation was based on several advantages including the allowance of full-time dedication to both sets of priorities, the appropriate elevation of the Director of Sustainability position to provide more countywide visibility and to increase the likelihood of securing more grants for sustainability and environmental services of the existing position; and

7

WHEREAS, the County Executive's recommended budget for 2010 did not include the Green Print Workgroup's recommendations and an amendment to create an Office of Sustainability within the County Board failed; and

WHEREAS, the duties of the existing position of Director of Sustainability and Environmental Engineering are extensive and the combined position does not allow for many opportunities to expand sustainability efforts; therefore, creating a new position of Director of Sustainability is warranted; and

WHEREAS, the City of Milwaukee has an Office of Environmental Sustainability managed by a full-time position and the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District also funds full-time position for environmental sustainability efforts; and

WHEREAS, the position of Director of Sustainability for Milwaukee County will be funded by the Appropriation for Contingencies Account to be reimbursed by grants and other outside revenues; and

WHEREAS, the considerable amount of savings already achieved through implementation of the Green Print program would increase significantly more by dedicating a full-time position to these efforts; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors hereby creates a new Office of Sustainability within the Office of the County Executive and a new full-time position of Director of Sustainability that will directly report to the County Executive; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Board authorizes and directs the Director of the Division of Human Resources to retitle the existing position of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer to Managing Environmental Engineer; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Board authorizes and directs the Director of the Department of Administrative Services to initiate a fund transfer in the

amount of \$129,000 for salary, fringe benefits and supplies from Org. Unit 1945-88 89 Appropriation for Contingencies Account to the new Office of Sustainability; and 90 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Board authorizes and directs the 91 Sustainability Director to seek and receive grants or other revenues that would offset the 92 93 cost of the position. 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 JΕ January 7, 2011 H:\Shared\Research Analysts\Esch\Pending Supervisor Approval\Creation of Director of Sustability Position -Dimitrijevic.doc

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DAT	TE: January 7, 2011		Origin	al Fiscal Note							
			Subst	itute Fiscal Note							
SUBJECT: Creating an Office of Sustainability and a new, full-time position of Director of Sustainability that would directly report to the County Executive, retitling the existing position of Sustainability and Environmental Engineer to Managing Environmental Engineer and funding the new position with funds from the Appropriation for Contingencies account.											
FISCAL EFFECT:											
	No D	irect County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures							
		Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Canital Ev	nandituras						
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)			Decrease Capital Expenditures Increase Capital Revenues							
		Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Re	evenues						
		Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget									
	Decr	ease Operating Expenditures		Use of contingent fur	nds						
	Increase Operating Revenues										
	Decrease Operating Revenues										
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year											

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	129,000	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	129,000	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	0	0
Budget	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
 - A. Adoption of the resolution would result in the creation of a new position, Director of Sustainability, to carry out the Green Print program.
 - B. The Director of Sustainability position is anticipated to be created at the mid-point of 902 ECP range, at a total personnel cost (salary and benefits) of \$124,000 and an additional \$5,000 for office supplies and services for a total cost of \$129,000. Retitling of the existing position will have no fiscal effect.
 - C. The position will be funded through a fund transfer from the Appropriation for Contingencies Account. Any grant revenues or other outside revenues received for the purpose of implementing the Green Print program will be applied to the Appropriation for Contingencies Account to offset the cost of the position.

Department/Prepared By	Julie Esch, Legislative Research Analyst					
Authorized Signature						
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	v?		Yes		No	

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.