

MILWAUKEE COUNTY OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY

VEHICLE ELECTRIFICATION GUIDEBOOK

Table of Contents

Introduction
Acronym List4
Background5
Vehicle Types5
Charger Types and Connectors7
Current E-Mobility Landscape and Predictions10
Finding and Access to Public EVSE12
Legislation (Senate Bill 791)13
Funding14
Environmental and Health Impacts15
Reduced GHG Emissions
Reduced Noise Pollution16
Climate Change Impacts17
Air Pollution19
Gaps and Barriers to EVSE Implementation
Access
Vehicle Cost and Affordability24
Infrastructure Implementation Costs24
Safety Concerns25
Consumer-Awareness and Education25
Recommendations27
EV Charger Standards
Case Studies29
Public EV Charging Infrastructure Locations
Public-Private Partnerships
Design of Public EV Charging Infrastructure
Construction Considerations
ADA Recommendations40
Education and Outreach41
Progress and Outcome Metrics43
Future Goals45
Appendix A46

Introduction

This comprehensive guidebook on vehicle electrification has been prepared by the Milwaukee County Office of Sustainability, as of May 2024. As Milwaukee County navigates the evolving landscape of transportation, embracing electric vehicles (**EVs**) stands as a pivotal step towards sustainable and equitable mobility solutions. This guidebook serves as a roadmap for departments within Milwaukee County and other local organizations, offering insights into various facets of vehicle electrification.

With a profound focus on environmental stewardship, public health, and racial equity, this guidebook delves into critical aspects such as the types of electric vehicles, access to EV chargers within Milwaukee County, existing infrastructure, relevant legislative frameworks, and funding opportunities. Moreover, it explores the profound environmental and health impacts associated with vehicle electrification, ranging from reduced greenhouse gas emissions to mitigating air and noise pollution. Recognizing the barriers and gaps in Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (**EVSE**) implementation, the guidebook provides recommendations tailored to address access, affordability, safety, consumer-awareness, construction, design, and accessibility concerns. By incorporating case studies for potential EV charger locations, public-private partnerships, and performance metrics, the guidebook aims to empower stakeholders in realizing the full potential of EV adoption while fostering a healthier and more sustainable Milwaukee County for all.

This guidebook aims to pave the way for a future where electrified transportation not only transforms Milwaukee County's landscape but also enriches the lives of all its residents, fostering a legacy of sustainability, equity, and health.

Acronym List

ABA	Architectural Barriers Act			
ADA	American Disabilities Act			
APIs	Application Programming Interfaces			
BECP	Building Energy Codes Program			
BEV	Battery Electric Vehicle			
BIL	Bipartisan Infrastructure Law			
BIPOC	Black, Indigenous, People of Color			
CO	Carbon Monoxide			
CO2	Carbon Dioxide			
COPD	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease			
CRC	Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center			
DC	Direct Current			
EV	Electric Vehicle			
EVSE	Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment			
FCEV	Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle			
GHG	Greenhouse Gas			
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine			
IECC	International Energy Conservation Code			
ISA	International Symbol of Accessibility			
KPI	Key Performance Indicator			
LDV	Light-duty Vehicle			
LED	Light Emitting Diode			
MCDOT	Milwaukee County Department of Transportation			
MCSO	Milwaukee County Sherriff's Office			
MCTS	Milwaukee County Transit System			
MKE	Milwaukee Mitchell International Airport			
MUTCD	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices			
NEVI	National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure			
NO2	Nitrogen Dioxide			
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides			
OCPP	Open Charge Point Protocol			
PEV	Plug-In Electric Vehicle			
PHEV	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle			
PM	Particulate Matter			
PM2.5 and PM10	Fine Particulate Matter			
PPPs	Public-Private Partnerships			
PTSD	Post Traumatic Stress Disorder			
R&D	Ride and Drive			
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds			
WEVI	Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure			
WisDOT	Wisconsin Department of Transportation			

Background

Vehicle Types

Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVS):

Description: BEVs, commonly known as "all-electric vehicles", operate exclusively on electricity and are replenished from an external power source.¹ They are propelled by one or more electric motors powered by rechargeable battery packs.² They do not have an internal combustion engine (**ICE**), making them emissions-free during operation.³

Range: Nearly all new BEVs offer a significant all-electric range, typically exceeding 100 miles on a single charge.⁴ While technology in continuing to advance, some new models entering the market provide ranges of 200 to 300 miles or more.⁵

Charging: BEVs are recharged by plugging them into an external power source. Charging times depend on the charger type, with Level 1 being the slowest and direct current (**DC**) fast charging being the fastest.⁶




Figure 1 – Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV)

Alternative Fuels Data Center: All-Electric Vehicles (energy.gov)

¹ U.S. Department of Transportation. (2020). Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure. Charging Forward: A Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure <u>Charging Forward</u>

² Ibid.

³ California Center for Sustainable Energy; San Diego Association of Governments. (2014). San Diego Regional Plug-In Electric Vehicle (PEV) Readiness Plan Preparing the San Diego Region for Plug-In Electric Vehicles. San Diego PEV Readiness Planning Guide-2013.pdf (energycenter.org)

⁴ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure

⁵ U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Alternative fuel and advanced vehicle search. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Vehicle Search. <u>Alternative Fuels Data Center: Vehicle Search (energy.gov)</u>


⁶ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure

Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs):

Description: PHEVs also utilize batteries to drive an electric motor and can be recharged externally. However, they incorporate a smaller ICE, which serves to recharge the battery or, in certain models, directly power the wheels for further driving ranges.⁷ This means that PHEVs can operate in an all-electric mode, drawing power from the battery, and switch to the ICE when the battery becomes exhausted, offering flexibility and extended range.⁸ This feature significantly reduces gasoline consumption and emissions during shorter trips.⁹ PHEVs demonstrate a fuel efficiency improvement of 14 to 47 percent compared to conventional vehicles when their batteries are fully charged.¹⁰

Range: PHEVs typically have a shorter all-electric range compared to BEVs, ranging from 20 to 50 miles on average.¹¹ The ICE provides additional range for longer trips.

Charging: PHEVs can be charged from an external power source, and their charging times are influenced by factors such as the battery size within the vehicle and the type of charger being used.¹²





Alternative Fuels Data Center: Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (energy.gov)

⁷ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Popular Vehicle Trips Statistics. U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. (2024). National Household Travel Survey (ornl.gov)

¹⁰ Plötz, P., Moll, C., Bieker, G., Mock, P., Li, Y. (2020). Real-World Usage of Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles Fuel Consumption, Electric Driving, and CO2 Emissions. The International Council on Clean Transportation. Real-world usage of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles: Fuel consumption, electric driving, and CO2 emissions. <u>International Council on Clean Transportation (theicct.org)</u> ¹¹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Alternative fuel and advanced vehicle search. Alternative Fuels Data Center:

¹¹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Alternative fuel and advanced vehicle search. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Vehicle Search. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Vehicle Search <u>Alternative Fuels Data Center: Plug-In Hybrid Electric</u> <u>Vehicles (energy.gov)</u>

¹² Plug-In Electric Vehicle (PEV) Readiness Plan

Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles (FCEVs):

Description: Employing a highly effective electrochemical process, FCEVs use a fuel cell to convert hydrogen into electricity to drive an electric motor.¹³ FCEVs do not have a traditional battery that is recharged externally. Rather, these vehicles store compressed hydrogen gas in a tank and generate electricity on demand.¹⁴

Range: FCEVs can fuel in about 5 minutes, similar to the amount of time to fill the gas tank of conventional vehicles with ICEs.¹⁵ FCEVs can carry enough hydrogen fuel for a range of more than 300 miles.¹⁶

Charging: FCEVs do not plug in for recharging like BEVs and PHEVs.¹⁷ They are refueled with compressed hydrogen gas, and the fuel cell generates electricity for the vehicle's operation.¹⁸




Figure 3 – Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle (FCEV)

Charger Types and Connectors

Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (**EVSE**) plays a crucial role in facilitating the transfer of energy from a power source to a Plug-In Electric Vehicle (**PEV**). It ensures a safe and appropriate flow of electricity to the vehicle's battery, allowing for efficient charging. EVSE comes in various types, each designed with distinct charging capabilities and applications.

¹³ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure ¹⁴ Ibid.


¹⁵ U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles (energy.gov)

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure

¹⁸ Ibid.

Figure 4 – Types of EV Chargers



Level 1 Charger:

Level 1 charging utilizes a standard wall outlet (120 Volt) and provides relatively slow rate of charging, with a charging speed typically providing 2 to 5 miles of range per hour of charging.¹⁹ Charging through a standard residential 120-Volt AC outlet, Level 1 charging equipment can require 40 to 50+ hours to charge a BEV to 80 percent from empty and 5 to 6 hours for a PHEV.²⁰ With no installation required, every EV comes equipped with a Level 1 charger, making it ideal for overnight charging and low-mileage daily driving, especially suitable for PHEVs. The connector options include J1772 or Tesla connectors specific to the vehicle, offering convenience for users.²¹

Figure 5 – Level 1 EV Charger



Electric Vehicle Charging Guide - Solar United Neighbors

²⁰ Charger Types and Speeds. U.S. Department of Transportation. (2023). <u>Charger Types and Speeds | US</u> <u>Department of Transportation</u>

¹⁹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2012). Plug-In Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts. <u>Plug-In</u> <u>Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts (Brochure), NREL (National Renewable Energy</u> <u>Laboratory)</u>

²¹ Plug-In Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts

Level 2 Charger:

With Level 2 charging, a 240-Volt or a 208-Volt AC power source is employed, requiring dedicated charging equipment.²² This results in a faster charging rate, delivering about 15 to 35 miles of range per hour of charging.²³ Providing higher-rate AC charging through either a 240-Volt (for residential use) or 208-Volt (for commercial applications) electric services, Level 2 chargers can charge a BEV to 80 precent from empty in 4 to10 hours and a PHEV in 1 to 2 hours.²⁴ Level 2 chargers are most commonly installed as public charging stations, encompassing charging locations such as workplaces, retail venues, and community spaces, accommodating users who require a quicker charge during the day.²⁵ This type of charging using the same J1772 connector as in level 1 charging unless the vehicle is a Tesla model in which it would use its own model-specific connector.²⁶

Figure 6 – Level 2 EV Charger



ChargePoint CT4021-GW1 Gateway Unit (smartchargeamerica.com)

Direct Current (DC) Fast Charging:

Level 3, commonly known as DC Fast Charging, utilizes a direct current power source, significantly reducing charging times. DC Fast Charging stands out as the fastest EV charging option, offering 250 miles of range per hour of change, depending on the vehicle and the charging equipment.²⁷ DC Fast Charging equipment can charge a BEV from empty to 80 percent in just 20 minutes to an hour, making it ideal for facilitating long-distance driving, road trips, or quick recharges.²⁸ DC Fast Charging equipment are often located along heavy-traffic corridors and at strategic points such as public fueling stations.²⁹ These charging stations are strategically positioned to facilitate rapid charging for EVs, particularly during longer journeys. While most non-Tesla chargers utilize the CCS/SAE Combo connector, it is important to note that Tesla DC Fast Chargers are exclusive to Tesla vehicles.³⁰

²² Plug-In Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts

²³ Electric car charging overview. DriveCleanCA.gov. (2024). <u>Electric Car Charging Overview | DriveClean</u>

²⁴ Charger Types and Speeds

²⁵ Ibid.

²⁶ Plug-In Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts

²⁷ Types of electric vehicle chargers. Duke Energy. (2023). <u>Types of Electric Vehicle Chargers - Duke Energy (duke-energy.com)</u>

²⁸ Charger Types and Speeds

²⁹ Charging Forward a Toolkit for Planning and Funding Rural Electric Mobility Infrastructure

³⁰ Types of electric vehicle chargers

Figure 7 – DC Fast Charger



https://smartchargeamerica.com/electric-car-chargers/commercial/tritium-veefil-pk350/

Current E-Mobility Landscape and Predictions

Charging Stations Distribution: Currently, Wisconsin has a total of 600 public electric vehicle (**EV**) charging locations, comprising of 1,444 charging ports, distributed throughout the state according to the U.S. Department of Energy Alternative Fuel Data Center.³¹ The EVSE in Milwaukee County encompasses a network of 91 public charging stations, as of April 2024.³² These charging stations are distributed throughout the region, with 51 charging stations located within the City of Milwaukee and an additional 40 spread across other areas within Milwaukee County.³³ There is a concentration of charging stations in key locations such as the central business district, near the interstate system, and at the Milwaukee Mitchell International (**MKE**) Airport.³⁴ Notably, the 3 EV chargers located on the property of the MKE Airport are currently the only charging stations are designed for places of interest and destinations where individuals are expected to spend a considerable amount of their day.

³¹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Alternative Fueling Station Locator. Alternative Fuels Data Center: Alternative Fuels Data Center: Alternative Fueling Station Locator <u>Alternative Fuels Data</u> <u>Center: Alternative Fueling Station Locator (energy.gov)</u>

³² Ibid.

³³ Ibid.

 ³⁴ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan (Project No. 31000432.003). (2023). City of Milwaukee Environmental Collaboration Office. (<u>City of Milwaukee Preliminary EV Readiness Plan</u>)
 ³⁵ U.S. Department of Energy. Alternative Fueling Station Locator




Figure 8 – Map of Existing Public EV Chargers in Milwaukee County

Alternative Fuels Data Center: Electric Vehicle Charging Station Locations (energy.gov)

Charging Station Types: The existing public charging stations in Milwaukee County offer a variety of charging options to accommodate different EV models and charging needs. It is important to note that while these chargers are located within Milwaukee County, they are not owned and operated by Milwaukee County or located on county-owned properties, excluding the 3 publicly accessible chargers at MKE Airport.³⁶ The infrastructure within the county primarily consists of Level 2 chargers, supplemented by additional options such as Level 3 fast charging plugs, Level 1 chargers, and Tesla chargers.³⁷ Each type of charger is designed to provide varying charging speeds and range capabilities, catering to the diverse requirements of EV users in the area.

Current Capacity and EV Adoption: In less than a decade, Wisconsin has witnessed a surge in the number of EV and hybrid vehicles, doubling from 44,178 registrations in 2013 to 102,492 in 2021 – a remarkable 132% increase, as reported by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (**WisDOT**).³⁸ Although these vehicles still constitute as less than 2% of total registered vehicles in the state, their exceptional growth is evident in both urban counties, such as Dane and Milwaukee, and in rural counties.³⁹ The hybrid-electric trend in Wisconsin is primarily driven by hybrid vehicle registrations, outnumbering EVs by over tenfold. Hybrid

³⁶ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

³⁷ U.S. Department of Energy. Alternative Fueling Station Locator

³⁸ Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan. (2023). Wisconsin Department of Transportation. Wisconsin Electrification Initiative. Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan Update 2023. <u>Wisconsin Electric Vehicle</u> Infrastructure Plan Update 2023 (wisconsindot.gov)

³⁹ Ibid.

vehicle registrations experienced a notable 13.7% growth in 2021, marking their largest annual increase since 2014.⁴⁰ While EV registrations increased at a faster pace, rising by an average of 51.9% annually in the state since 2013, they still represent less than 0.2% of the total passenger vehicle registrations in the state.⁴¹ Specifically, as of May 2022, EVs constitute 0.11% of all registered vehicles in Wisconsin, totaling 6,310 EVs.⁴² Wisconsin's charging infrastructure operates at a capacity 15 public charging ports per 100 EVs as of May 2022.⁴³ This metric indicates the current capacity relative to the rising number of registered electric passenger and commercial vehicles in the state. The adoption of EVs by residents of Milwaukee County follow a similar consistent upward trajectory from 2019 to 2022, with increase of 668 to 1,921 registered EVs, respectively.⁴⁴ These local adoption trends, in conjunction with statewide EV growth, emphasize the importance of continued efforts to enhance EVSE and meet the rising demand for EVs in Wisconsin and Milwaukee County specifically.

Total Electric Vehicle Registrations – Milwaukee County					
Year	2019	2020	2021	2022	
Vehicles	668	879	1.320	1.921	
Registered	000	019	1,520	1,021	
Source: WisDOT (City of Milwaukoo Proliminary EV Poadinoss Plan)					

Table 1 - Registered EVs in Milwaukee County by Year

Source: WisDOT (City of Milwaukee Preliminary EV Readiness Plan)

Anticipated Growth and Projections: Projections from the Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan indicate an optimistic outlook for EV adoption in Milwaukee County. Based on the increasing trend in EV registrations in Wisconsin, Wisconsin anticipates a substantial rise in the prevalence of electric light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles.⁴⁵ From this, The Wisconsin Department of Transportation forecasts a significant increase, with an anticipated total of more than 334,000 EVs on Wisconsin's roads by 2030 and 1,863,585 by 2050.⁴⁶ This forecast suggests an increase from the current 0.1% of the total registered fleet to an estimated 31% by the year 2050.⁴⁷ These projections suggest a promising trajectory for the continued growth of the EV market in the region. According to local data from the 2018 Report, Plugging In: Readying America's Cities for the Arrival of Electric Vehicles, there is projected to be 17,000 EVs within the city limits by 2030. Given this, the estimated charging infrastructure needed in the City of Milwaukee by 2030 consists of 381 Level 2 charging ports in workplaces, 237 Level 2 charging ports in public places, and 27 public DC Fast Charging ports.⁴⁸

Finding and Access to Public EVSE

The accessibility of public charging infrastructure plays a pivotal role in facilitating convenient and widespread EV adoption. Drivers seeking public charging stations can leverage various

41 Ibid.

⁴⁰ Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan

⁴² City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

⁴³ Ibid.

⁴⁴ Ibid.

⁴⁵ Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan

⁴⁶ Ibid.

⁴⁷ Ibid.

⁴⁸ Plugging In Readying America's Cities for the Arrival of Electric Vehicles. (2018). Frontier Group. WISPIRG Foundation. <u>Plugging In (publicinterestnetwork.org)</u>

online resources and mobile applications, such as those offered by charging networks like Blink, ChargePoint, and eVgo.⁴⁹ These networks, each with its dedicated application, allow members to locate network-specific charging points. Current collaborative efforts aim to foster cross-network compatibility, such as CollaboratEV with an effort to unify the two largest charging networks, ChargePoint and Blink.⁵⁰

Access to these public charging stations often involves membership requirements managed by the service members, such as ChargePoint and Blink.⁵¹ Open standards for EVSE, like the Open Charge Point Protocol (**OCPP**), aim to promote the interoperability between charging stations and networks by enabling an EV driver to charge and any charger using any network's membership card, eliminating the need for proprietary hardware.⁵²

Legislation (Senate Bill 791)

Senate Bill 791, introduced in Wisconsin and passed on March 12, 2024, aims to streamline the process for expanding EV charging infrastructure in the state while addressing regulatory and taxation aspects.⁵³ The bill proposes several key provisions that affect EVSE and EV charging operations.

One significant aspect of the bill involves exempting individuals supplying electricity through EV charging stations from being regulated as public utilities.¹ However, this exemption applies only if the electricity supplied originates from the person's own utility or electric cooperative. This exemption seeks to encourage private investment in EV charging infrastructure by reducing regulatory hurdles.

Most pertinent to this report, the bill also outlines regulations regarding EV charging stations owned or operated by local governmental units and state agencies. It restricts these entities from owning or operating public EV charging stations, except under certain conditions. For instance, local governments can operate private charging stations for their own vehicles or authorize others to operate public ones. Specifically, "The bill also prohibits a local governmental unit that does not operate an electric utility from owning, operating, managing, or leasing an electric vehicle charging station at which Level 1 or Level 2 chargers are available to the public, unless all Level 1 or Level 2 charges are available for public use free of any charge. However, the bill also allows a local governmental unit to own, operate, manage, or lease an electric vehicle charging station containing a Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3 charger if the charger is not available to the public and is used solely to charge vehicles owned or leased by the local governmental unit."⁵⁴

Furthermore, the bill addresses taxation by imposing an excise tax on electricity delivered by EV charging stations, excluding residential stations.⁵⁵ This tax, set at 3 cents per kilowatt-hour, is intended to support the expansion of EVSE and fund transportation initiatives.⁵⁶ Additionally, the

⁴⁹ Charging Networks. U.S. Department of Transportation. (2023). <u>Charging Networks | US Department of Transportation</u>

⁵⁰ San Diego Regional Plug-In Electric Vehicle (PEV) Readiness Plan

⁵¹ Ibid.

⁵² Ibid.

⁵³ Wisconsin S.B. 791, Reg. Sess. 2023-2024 (2024). (23-2813/1) (wisconsin.gov)

⁵⁴ Wisconsin S.B. 791

⁵⁵ Ibid.

⁵⁶ Ibid.

bill provides exemptions from sales and use taxes for electricity sold through EV charging stations.⁵⁷

To ensure compliance and oversight, the bill introduces a permit requirement for individuals delivering electricity through EV charging stations for compensation, except at residential locations.⁵⁸ This requirement helps regulate commercial charging operations and ensures that operators meet certain standards and obligations.

These provisions aim to provide regulatory clarity, establish taxation mechanisms, and promote private investment in EV charging infrastructure in Wisconsin.

Funding

The National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (**NEVI**) program, a federal initiative, aims to bolster the development and expansion of EVSE across the United States. With a total allocation of \$7.5 billion from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (**BIL**) in November 2021, \$5 billion is earmarked for the NEVI program.⁵⁹ Under NEVI, states like Wisconsin receive funding to implement their own EVSE initiatives, such as the Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (**WEVI**) program. However, WEVI funding is currently not available for Milwaukee County or other Wisconsin local governments.

The WEVI program operates within the framework of the NEVI initiative and focuses specifically on deploying EV charging stations throughout Wisconsin. With approximately \$78 million allocated over five years, NEVI funds support the installation, operation, maintenance, and reporting of EV charging stations under the WEVI Program.⁶⁰ During its durations, the WEVI Program aims to establish about 65 charging locations along designated transportation systems, including five Interstates, seven U.S. highways, and one state highway.⁶¹

Equity and community engagement are central to the NEVI and WEVI programs. NEVI funding emphasizes the equitable deployment of EV charging infrastructure, prioritizing locations that positively impact disadvantaged communities. Similarly, the WEVI Program encourages proposers to consider sites in Justice40 areas, where at least 40% of federal investment benefits are directed toward disadvantaged communities.⁶² This focus ensures that underserved communities have access to EV charging infrastructure and can benefit from the transition to electric transportation.

To apply for WEVI funding, applicants need to put together detailed proposals with all the necessary documents, and then proposals are submitted online through WisDOT's portal. WisDOT carefully reviews each proposal, looking at things like how much the project will cost, where the charging stations will be located, and how they will involve the community.⁶³ If a proposal is chosen, the applicants are informed, and a list of selected projects is published. Chosen applicants then work with WisDOT to finalize agreements and move forward with

⁵⁷ Wisconsin S.B. 791

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ Wisconsin Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan

⁶⁰ Ibid.

⁶¹ Ibid.

⁶² Ibid.

⁶³ Ibid.

factors like getting environmental approval, building the charging stations, and ensuring that they are up and running efficiently. Throughout the process, applicants can ask for reimbursement for certain expenses, given they follow all the rules and regulations. Ultimately, the goal is to make electric vehicle charging more accessible across Wisconsin.

In summary, the NEVI program provides substantial funding support for nationwide EVSE development, while initiatives like the WEVI program in Wisconsin focus on deploying charging stations within the state. NEVI funding covers various aspects of the WEVI program, including installation, operation, maintenance, and reporting, with a strong emphasis on equity and community engagement.

Environmental and Health Impacts

Reduced GHG Emissions

Within Milwaukee County, transportation, the second largest sector of community emissions, made up 30% of total greenhouse gas (**GHG**) emissions in 2018.⁶⁴ EVs play a pivotal role in reducing GHG and carbon emissions in the transportation sector. They achieve this by mitigating direct emissions associated with traditional ICE vehicles, reducing dependence on fossil fuels, and enabling cleaner energy sources to power transportation. Here is a breakdown of how EVs contribute to emission reductions:

Tailpipe Emissions: EVs produce zero tailpipe emissions during operation, unlike ICE vehicles that emit pollutants like carbon dioxide (CO_2), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulate matter (PM).⁶⁵ In the United States, light-duty vehicles accounted for 58% of emissions in 2021, a significant portion of which comes from tailpipe emissions.⁶⁶ As EVs continue to replace conventional vehicles, especially in areas with low-carbon electricity grids, the reduction in tailpipe emissions on a broader scale.⁶⁷ Life cycle emissions of EVs refer to the GHG emissions produced throughout the vehicle's entire life cycle, encompassing manufacturing, operation, and eventual disposal or recycling. While acknowledging that emissions associated with EV manufacturing are higher than those of conventional vehicles, as EV technology advances and production becomes more efficient, these emissions are expected to decrease significantly over time.⁶⁸ The life cycle emissions of EVs are notably lower than those of traditional ICE vehicles owing to their absence of tailpipe emissions during operation.⁶⁹

⁶⁴ Milwaukee County, WI 2018 Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory (2020). Milwaukee County. ICLE CO

⁶⁵ Leard, B., & McConnell, V. (2020). Progress and Potential for Electric Vehicles to Reduce Carbon Emissions (Report 20-24). Resources for the Future. <u>EV Report (rff.org)</u>

⁶⁶ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). Fast Facts on Transportation Greenhouse Gas Emissions. <u>Fast</u> <u>Facts on Transportation Greenhouse Gas Emissions | US EPA</u>

⁶⁷ Progress and Potential for Electric Vehicles to Reduce Carbon Emissions

⁶⁸ Ellingsen, L. A.-W., Singh, B., & Strømman, A. H. (2016). The size and range effect: lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions of electric vehicles. Environmental Research Letters, 11(5), 054010. https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/5/054010

⁶⁹ Ibid.

Reduction in Greenhouse Gases: The transition from gasoline or diesel vehicles to EVs decreases GHG emissions. On average, EVs produce approximately 50-70% fewer greenhouse gas emissions than traditional internal combustion engine vehicles over their entire lifecycle when charged with a mix of electricity from various sources, including renewable energy.⁷⁰ In Wisconsin throughout 2022, PHEVs generated 56% less and all electric vehicles generated 70% less carbon emissions than traditional Internal combustion engine vehicles.⁷¹ Replacing all US light-duty vehicles (LDVs) with a combination of BEVs and PHEVs could potentially reduce GHGs by 25% and oil consumption by 24%.⁷² Moreover, replacing all LDVs with hydrogenpowered FCEVs could achieve a greater reduction in GHGs by 44% and nearly eliminate oil consumption.⁷³ The operation of EVs does not produce direct emissions of GHGs or local pollutants.⁷⁴ While emissions might occur indirectly in the case of EVs due to electricity generation, the overall impact is significantly lower compared to ICEVs, particularly when the electricity is sourced from low-carbon or renewable energy sources.⁷⁵ Overall, the adoption of electric vehicles is a critical component in the efforts to reduce GHG emissions from the transportation sector. As technology improves, costs decrease, and policy support strengthens, the role of EVs in achieving substantial emission reductions becomes more prominent.

Reduced Noise Pollution

Noise pollution's profound impact on health reveals multifaceted implications on various aspects of well-being. Consistent findings highlight the detrimental effects on cardiovascular health, with prolonged exposure to high noise levels correlating significantly with hypertension, irregular heart rate, and heightened risks of severe cardiac events like heart attacks or stroke.^{76,77}

Mental well-being emerges as another prominent domain affected by noise pollution, contributing to an array of psychological issues such as nervousness, depression, and disruptions in overall mental equilibrium.^{78,79} Sleep disturbances resulting from noise interference further compound these mental health challenges.⁸⁰

Noise pollution's tangible impact on physical health is evident in the decline of hearing ability due to prolonged exposure to high sound levels, accompanied by other health concerns like headaches, distractions, and hypertension. Further, children, notably vulnerable to its effects,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319329633_Noise_Pollution_Human_Health_A_Review

⁷⁰ The size and range effect: lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions of electric vehicles

 ⁷¹ U.S. Department of Energy. (2022). Emissions from Electric Vehicles. Alternative Fuels Data Center. <u>Alternative Fuels Data Center: Emissions from Electric Vehicles (energy.gov)</u>
 ⁷² Weiss, M., Dekker, P., Moro, A., Scholz, H., & Patel, M. K. (2015). On the electrification of road transportation – A

⁷² Weiss, M., Dekker, P., Moro, A., Scholz, H., & Patel, M. K. (2015). On the electrification of road transportation – A review of the environmental, economic, and social performance of electric two-wheelers. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2015.09.007</u>

⁷³ Thomas, C. E. S. (2012). How green are electric vehicles? International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 37(7), 6053-6062. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.118</u>

⁷⁴ On the electrification of road transportation

⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁶ Geravandi, S., Takdastan, A., Zallaghi, E., Vousoghi Niri, M. J., Saki, H., & Naiemabadi, A. (2015). Noise pollution and health effects. Jundishapur Journal of Health Sciences, 7(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.5812/jjhs.225357</u>

⁷⁷ Stansfeld, S. A., & Matheson, M. P. (2003). Noise Pollution: Non-auditory effects on health. British Medical Bulletin, 68(1), 243-257. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg033</u>

⁷⁸ Jariwala, H. J., Syed, H. S., Pandya, M. J., & Gajera, Y. M. (2017). Noise Pollution & Human Health: A Review. L.D. College of Engineering, 1-4.

⁷⁹ Wokekoro, E. (2020). Public awareness of the impacts of noise pollution on human health. World Research Journal and Review (WJRR), 10(6), 27-32. (wjrr.org)

⁸⁰ Noise Pollution & Human Health

exhibit compromised cognitive performance and increased stress levels due to chronic exposure to noise.^{81,82} Furthermore, noise pollution disrupts communication, induces aggressive behavior, and fosters social conflicts, impairing social interactions.⁸³

The collective evidence underscores the urgency of implementing robust measures to mitigate noise pollution's pervasive and detrimental impacts on public health, emphasizing the need for comprehensive strategies encompassing noise control and heightened public awareness.

EVs offer a significant potential for reducing noise pollution, and potentially related adverse health impacts, in urban environments owing to their operational quietness.⁸⁴ These vehicles operate silently due to their electric engines, ultimately reducing noise emissions compared to traditional ICEs.⁸⁵ Therefore, the absence of engine noise significantly decreases overall vehicle-generated noise, particularly in high-traffic urban areas.⁸⁶ Therefore, EV's quiet operation and reduced mechanical noise at lower speeds typical of city driving suggest a promising approach for mitigating urban noise pollution.⁸⁷ From this, EVs represent a hopeful solution for curbing noise pollution and contributed adverse health impacts in high-traffic areas, fostering quieter surrounding and contributing to a more peaceful urban environment.

Climate Change Impacts

Climate change is already impacting Milwaukee County, with flooding and extreme heat events becoming more frequent and intense, posing a significant threat to the region. The County's Climate Action 2050 Plan Vulnerability Assessment highlights how historically low exposure to extreme heat does not insulate the county from the rising risks.⁸⁸ Heat waves have emerged as a critical concern due to their immediate impact on human health.⁸⁹ The assessment underscores that vulnerable populations, including older adults, low-income individuals, and those with limited access to resources and infrastructure like air conditioning, face the highest risk during extreme heat events. This increased risk is compounded by existing systemic inequities, including disparities in access to cooling centers and healthcare services, exacerbating the health impacts on underserved communities.⁹⁰

Moreover, the assessment warns of increased mortality and morbidity linked to extreme heat, projecting a potential rise in heat-related deaths by significant numbers annually if measures are not taken to address the issue.⁹¹ The interplay between social vulnerabilities and the physical consequences of climate change is crucial in understanding the compounded risks during extreme temperature events in Milwaukee County. Susceptible infrastructure, such as old

⁸¹ Noise Pollution: Non-auditory effects on health

⁸² Noise Pollution & Human Health

⁸³ Ibid.

⁸⁴ Patella, S. M., Aletta, F., & Mannini, L. (2019). Assessing the impact of autonomous vehicles on urban noise pollution. Noise Mapping, 6(1), 72-82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/noise-2019-0006</u>

 ⁸⁵ Campello-Vicente, H., Peral-Orts, R., Campillo-Davo, N., & Velasco-Sanchez, E. (2017). The effect of electric vehicles on urban noise maps. Applied Acoustics, 116, 59-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2016.09.018
 ⁸⁶ Assessing the impact of autonomous vehicles on urban noise pollution

⁸⁷ Ibid.

⁸⁸ Milwaukee County Department of Administrative Services. (2023). Milwaukee County Climate Action 2050 Plan Vulnerability Assessment. Milwaukee County Department of Administrative Services. <u>Milwaukee County Climate</u> <u>Action 2050 Plan Vulnerability Assessment</u>

⁸⁹ Ibid.

⁹⁰ Ibid.

⁹¹ Ibid.

buildings and limited green spaces, contributes significantly to the formation of urban heat islands, creating localized areas with higher temperatures.⁹² These pockets of heat often align with neighborhoods where social vulnerabilities are more pronounced.⁹³

Another concerning impact highlighted in the assessment is acute air quality hazards. Climate change is expected to worsen air quality, particularly with the rise in ground-level ozone due to increased heat and sunny days.⁹⁴ This poses a serious threat, especially for vulnerable groups with respiratory conditions and limited access to healthcare. Further, the proximal location of neighborhoods to high traffic areas that generate greater rates of air pollution, such as highways and interstates, poses a greater threat to communities residing nearby. These neighborhoods often have compounded vulnerabilities such as being categorized as lower-income and having inadequate infrastructure.⁹⁵ The concentration of vulnerable populations in areas most affected by urban health island effects compounds those risks, revealing an intersection between social vulnerabilities and the environmental impacts of climate change.

Climate change also poses a multifaceted challenge to mental health. Ecological disruptions triggered by climate change, such as extreme weather events, environmental degradation, and forced migration, significantly impact mental well-being. These disruptions disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, intensifying psychological distress and contributing to a myriad of mental health challenges.^{96,97} The psychological toll of climate change is evident, as responses to severe climate-related life altering occurrences, encompassing elements like loss of life, resources, social networks, and substantial relocation, manifest as post-traumatic stress disorder (**PTSD**), depression, heightened general anxiety, elevated substance use, and suicidal thoughts.⁹⁸ Furthermore, climate induce migration exacerbates the mental health burden, amplifying the feelings of displacement, loss, and uncertainty, leading to heightened psychological distress and trauma.⁹⁹

In addressing the impacts of climate change on both human health and the environment, a holistic approach merging infrastructure adaption and social vulnerabilities emerges Milwaukee County's cornerstone for safeguarding community well-being.

EVs represent a pivotal solution in mitigating climate change effects.^{100,101}EVs have emerged as a compelling tool in reducing direct emissions from the transportation sector, notably cutting down on CO₂ emissions compared to traditional ICEs. The widespread adoption of EVs could

⁹⁷ Hrabok, M., Delorme, A., & Agyapong, V. I. O. (2020). Threats to Mental Health and Well-Being Associated with Climate Change. Journal of anxiety disorders, 76, 102295. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102295</u>

⁹² Milwaukee County Climate Action 2050 Plan Vulnerability Assessment

⁹³ Ibid.

⁹⁴ Ibid.

⁹⁵ Ibid.

⁹⁶ Cianconi, P., Betrò, S., & Janiri, L. (2020). The Impact of Climate Change on Mental Health: A Systematic Descriptive Review. Frontiers in psychiatry, 11, 74. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00074</u>

⁹⁸ U.S Global Change, Research Program. The Impacts of Climate Change on Human Health in the United States: A Scientific Assessment. Washington: U.S Global Change Research Program (2016). <u>The Impacts of Climate Change on Human Health: A Scientific Assessment (globalchange.gov)</u>

⁹⁹ Palinkas, L. A., & Wong, M. (2020). Global climate change and mental health. Current opinion in psychology, 32, 12–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.023</u>

¹⁰⁰ Li, C., Cao, Y., Zhang, M., Wang, J., Liu, J., Shi, H., & Geng, Y. (2015). Hidden benefits of electric vehicles for addressing climate change. Scientific reports, 5, 9213. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09213

¹⁰¹ Desreveaux, A., Bouscayrol, A., Trigui, R., Hittinger, E., Castex, E., & Sirbu, G.M. (2023). Accurate energy consumption for comparison of climate change impact of thermal and electric vehicles. Energy. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2023.126637</u>

significantly contribute to this emissions reduction by leveraging the advancements in technology, transitioning from fossil fuel-driven vehicles to electric one.¹⁰² However, the efficacy on EVs in curbing climate change relies heavily on the decarbonization of the power sector that energizes these vehicles.¹⁰³ While EVs themselves generate minimal or zero emissions during use, the source of electricity powering them determines the overall impact on emissions. This interdependence between the transportation sector and energy systems underscores the necessity of parallel efforts: not only electrifying transport but also ensuring a transition to low-carbon renewable energy sources. Such a transition is crucial in effectively reducing the total GHG emissions associated with transportation.¹⁰⁴ Moreover, stringent policies and incentives aimed at boosting EV adoption play a significant role in accelerating the transition away from fossil-fuel dependent transportation methods, aligning with goals to mitigate climate change.¹⁰⁵ While EVs offer a promising avenue for reducing direct emissions from the transportation sector, their full potential in mitigating climate change hinges upon the broader transportation of the energy landscape toward cleaner and more sustainable sources.

Air Pollution

ICEs, commonly found in vehicles and machinery, contribute significantly to air pollution by emitting various harmful pollutants. These engines burn fossil fuels, releasing carbon monoxide (**CO**), NO_X, volatile organic compounds (**VOCs**), PM, and hydrocarbons into the atmosphere.¹⁰⁶ When these pollutants are released into the air, they undergo chemical reactions. For instance, NO_X can react with other compounds in the presence of sunlight to form ground-level ozone, a major component of smog.¹⁰⁷ VOCs, when exposed to sunlight and other pollutants like NO_X, can also contribute to the formation of ground-level.

These reactions ultimately create secondary pollutants that exacerbate air quality issues, impacting both human health and the ecosystem.¹⁰⁸ The harmful effects of these emission on health are widespread, impacting various systems within the human body. These adverse health outcomes attributed to air pollution include, but are not limited to:

Respiratory System Effects

I. Respiratory Infections: Air pollutants, particularly PM and NO_X, can irritate the respiratory tract, making it more susceptible to infections. These pollutants compromise the body's

¹⁰³ Zhang, R., & Fujimori, S. (2020). The role of transport electrification in global climate change mitigation scenarios. Environmental Research Letters, 15. <u>https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6658</u>

¹⁰² Hidden benefits of electric vehicles for addressing climate change

¹⁰⁴ Cornell, R. (2019). The Climate Change Mitigation Potential of Electric Vehicles as a Function of Renewable Energy. The International Journal of Climate Change: Impacts and Responses. <u>Global climate change mitigation</u> potential from a transition to electric vehicles (theicct.org)

 ¹⁰⁵ Accurate energy consumption for comparison of climate change impact of thermal and electric vehicles
 ¹⁰⁶ Gaidar, S., Karelina, M., Laguzin, A., & Quang, H. D. (2020). Impact of operational factors on environmental safety of internal combustion engines. Transportation Research Procedia, 50, 136-144. https://doi.org/10.1016.j.trpro.2020.10.017

¹⁰⁷ Kelly, F. J., & Fussell, J. C. (2015). Air Pollution and Public Health: Emerging Hazards and improved understanding of risk. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 37(4), 631-649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-015-9720-1</u>

¹⁰⁸ Almetwally, A. A., Bin-Jumah, M., & Allan, A. A. (2020). Ambient air pollution and its influence on human health and welfare: An overview. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27 (20), 24815-24830. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09042-2

natural defense mechanisms in the respiratory system, increasing vulnerability to infections such as bronchitis and pneumonia.¹⁰⁹

- II. Exacerbation of Asthma: For individuals with asthma, exposure to air pollution can trigger inflammation and airway constriction, leading to asthma. Pollutants like ozone and PM are known to worsen asthma symptoms as well. These pollutants contribute to increased asthma severity, more frequent attacks, a decline in lung function over time, and even a higher risk of developing asthma in the first place.¹¹⁰
- III. Chronic Respiratory Diseases: Long-term exposure to pollutants like fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is associated with the development and exacerbation of chronic respiratory conditions such as chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).¹¹¹

Cardiovascular System Effects

- I. Heart Diseases: Air pollutants can enter the bloodstream through the lungs, causing inflammation and oxidative stress, which contribute to the development of heart diseases. Long-term exposure to pollutants like PM_{2.5} is linked to an increased risk of heart attacks, strokes, and cardiovascular mortality.¹¹²
- II. Hypertension: Exposure to air pollution, especially traffic-related pollutants such as PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀, has been associated with and increased risk of high blood pressure, or hypertension, potentially contributing to cardiovascular issues.¹¹³

Cancer

I. Lung Cancer: Prolonged exposure to carcinogenic air pollutants like PM_{2.5}, NO₂, VOCs, and benzene increase the risk for developing lung cancer.¹¹⁴ These pollutants can damage lung tissue and lead to the growth of cancerous cells over time.¹¹⁵ This relationship is paramount, as lung cancer not only stands as one of the most prevalent cancers diagnosed globally but is also the leading cause of all cancer deaths.¹¹⁶

 ¹⁰⁹ Santos, U. P., Arbex, M. A., Braga, A. L. F., Mizutani, R. F., Cançado, J. E. D., Terra-Filho, M., & Chatkin, J. M. (2021). Environmental air pollution: respiratory effects. Jornal brasileiro de pneumologia : publicacao oficial da Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisilogia, 47(1), e20200267. https://doi.org/10.36416/1806-3756/e20200267
 ¹¹⁰ Tiotiu, A. I., Novakova, P., Nedeva, D., Chong-Neto, H. J., Novakova, S., Steiropoulos, P., & Kowal, K. (2020). Impact of Air Pollution on Asthma Outcomes. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(17), 6212. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176212.

¹¹¹ Viegi, G., Maio, S., Pistelli, F., Baldacci, S., & Carrozzi, L. (2006). Epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: health effects of air pollution. Respirology (Carlton, Vic.), 11(5), 523–532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1843.2006.00886.x</u>

¹¹² Ambient air pollution and its influence on human health and welfare

¹¹³ Qin, P., Luo, X., Zeng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Wu, Y., Han, M., Qie, R., Wu, X., Liu, D., Huang, S., Zhao, Y., Feng, Y., Yang, X., Hu, F., Sun, X., Hu, D., & Zhang, M. (2021). Long-term association of ambient air pollution and hypertension in adults and in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. The Science of the total environment, 796, 148620. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148620</u>

¹¹⁴ Hvidtfeldt, U. A., Severi, G., Andersen, Z. J., Atkinson, R., Bauwelinck, M., Bellander, T., Boutron-Ruault, M. C., Brandt, J., Brunekreef, B., Cesaroni, G., Chen, J., Concin, H., Forastiere, F., van Gils, C. H., Gulliver, J., Hertel, O., Hoek, G., Hoffmann, B., de Hoogh, K., Janssen, N., ... Fecht, D. (2021). Long-term low-level ambient air pollution exposure and risk of lung cancer - A pooled analysis of 7 European cohorts. Environment international, 146, 106249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106249

¹¹⁵ Ibid.

¹¹⁶ Leiter, A., Veluswamy, R. R., & Wisnivesky, J. P. (2023). The global burden of lung cancer: current status and future trends. Nature reviews. Clinical oncology, 20(9), 624–639. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-023-00798-3</u>

Neurological Effects

١. Cognitive Decline: Studies suggest that long-term exposure to air pollution, particularly PM_{2.5} and other traffic-related pollutants, may contribute to cognitive decline and impairment, impacting memory, attention, and overall brain health.¹¹⁷ Some research indicates and association between air pollution and an increased risk of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.¹¹⁸

Reproductive Health Impacts

Ι. Adverse Birth Outcomes: Air pollutants, such as PM_{2.5} and ozone, can deeply penetrate the respiratory system, entering the bloodstream and affecting fetal development. These pollutants trigger inflammation and oxidative stress in the mother's body, leading to complications in the placenta, restricting oxygen and nutrients to the developing fetus.¹¹⁹ This disruption can impair fetal growth, increase the risk of preterm birth, and contribute to low birth weight or stillbirth due to compromised oxygen transport and placental damage.

Deploying a widespread network of EVs holds promise of addressing local air pollution, particularly in Milwaukee County, where communities of color and underserved population face disproportionate impacts.¹²⁰ Research has revealed that Wisconsin's people of color, especially in Milwaukee, experience substantial disparities in exposure to PM_{2.5}, ranking among the highest nationwide.¹²¹ The primary source of PM_{2.5} pollution in Milwaukee stems from transportation and industrial activity.¹²² Transitioning to electrified transportation not only plays a vital role in reducing GHG emissions but also serves a critical purpose in mitigating harmful air pollution in Milwaukee County, particularly in underserved neighborhoods with residents of color, often situated near major transportation routes.

The excessive exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ pollution, along with other pollutants such as ozone, contributes to poor air quality county-wide. In 2021, Milwaukee ranked fourth among the top 10 "Asthma Capitals" in the United States, with the highest asthma prevalence in the entire Midwest according to the Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America.¹²³ These pollutants have been identified as contributors to heightened asthma severity, more frequent attacks, a gradual decline in lung function, and an elevated risk of developing asthma.¹²⁴ Milwaukee experiences elevated rates of asthma-related emergency hospital visits, particularly among Black children, whose rates were nearly double those of Hispanic, Asian, and White children combined.¹²⁵ The

¹¹⁷ Schikowski, T., & Altuğ, H. (2020). The role of air pollution in cognitive impairment and decline. Neurochemistry international, 136, 104708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2020.104708

¹¹⁸ Delgado-Saborit, J. M., Guercio, V., Gowers, A. M., Shaddick, G., Fox, N. C., & Love, S. (2021). A critical review of the epidemiological evidence of effects of air pollution on dementia, cognitive function and cognitive decline in adult population. The Science of the total environment, 757, 143734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143734 ¹¹⁹ Bekkar, B., Pacheco, S., Basu, R., & DeNicola, N. (2020). Association of Air Pollution and Heat Exposure With Preterm Birth, Low Birth Weight, and Stillbirth in the US: A Systematic Review. JAMA network open, 3(6), e208243. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8243

¹²⁰ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

¹²¹ Tessum, C. W., Paolella, D. A., Chambliss, S. E., Apte, J. S., Hill, J. D., & Marshall, J. D. (2021). PM2.5 polluters disproportionately and systemically affect people of color in the United States. Science advances, 7(18), eabf4491. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf4491 ¹²² City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

¹²³ Ibid.

¹²⁴ Impact of Air Pollution on Asthma Outcomes

¹²⁵ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

transition to EVs is expected to reduce sources of air pollution, benefiting low-income individuals and Black, Indigenous, People of Color (**BIPOC**) living in neighborhoods with poor air quality.¹²⁶




Figure 9 – Adults with Asthma in Milwaukee County by U.S. Census Tract (2021)

Health Compass Milwaukee :: Indicators :: Adults with Current Asthma

As EVs become more prevalent, the replacement of traditional ICE vehicles is anticipated to contribute to improved air quality, ultimately benefiting the health of Milwaukee County residents, including those vulnerable to respiratory issues such as asthma.

Gaps and Barriers to EVSE Implementation

The transition to widespread EV adoption is pivotal for sustainable and environmentally conscious transportation. The following section explores key gaps and barriers to EVSE implementation.

¹²⁶ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

Access

As the growth of EV adoption continues, ensuring equitable access to charging infrastructure emerges as a critical concern. Disparities in EV charging infrastructure access contribute significantly to social inequity, creating hurdles for certain communities in embracing widespread EV adoption^{127,128}. The uneven distribution of charging infrastructure can have profound consequences. Communities that lack sufficient charging points face practical challenges, such as limited accessibility and inconvenient locations of public charging stations.¹²⁹ This results in residents encountering difficulties in finding suitable places to charge their EVs, potentially leading to longer travel distances for charging purposes. Moreover, the scarcity of charging infrastructure in these areas can contribute to increased costs associated with reaching available public charging points.

The impact of these challenges is particularly pronounced in communities that are already facing socio-economic difficulties, as a pronounced imbalance exists in the distribution of public EV charging stations within urban landscapes¹³⁰. Despite efforts to expand the deployment of EV charging infrastructure, access remains significantly influenced by socio-demographic factors^{131,132}. The distribution of EV charging stations is notably skewed, revealing lower accessibility in low-income, Black-identifying, and disinvested neighborhoods¹³³. From this, residents in underserved areas may find it harder to transition to EVs based on the limited access to EVSE these neighborhoods, perpetuating existing disparities in transportation options.¹³⁴ The inability to access the necessary charging infrastructure ultimately becomes a barrier that hinders the benefits of EV adoption from reaching this population.¹³⁵

This discrepancy in EVSE access is further exacerbated by a positive correlation with the presence of highways and traffic corridors in specific areas, indicating that certain communities are being left behind in the broader push for EV adoption.¹³⁶ There is a rural-urban divide in EVSE, revealing a concentration of charging stations in urban, costal states across the United States, such as California.¹³⁷ In contrast, rural America and areas with lower population density face challenges in establishing adequate public EV charging infrastructure.¹³⁸

¹³¹ Inequitable access to EV charging infrastructure

 ¹²⁷ Shi, L., Hao, Y., Lv, S., Cipcigan, L., & Liang, J. (2021). A comprehensive charging network planning scheme for promoting EV charging infrastructure considering the chicken-eggs dilemma. Research in Transportation Economics, 88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2020.100837

¹²⁸ Li, G., Luo, T., & Song, Y. (2022). Spatial equity analysis of urban public services for electric vehicle charging implications of Chinese cities. Sustainable Cities and Society, 76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103519</u>

¹²⁹ Krishna, G. (2021). Understanding and identifying barriers to electric vehicle adoption through thematic analysis. Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2021.100364</u>

¹³⁰ Khan, H. A., Price, S., Avraam, C., & Dvorkin, Y. (2021). Inequitable access to EV charging infrastructure. The Electricity Journal, 35(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tej.2022.107096</u>

¹³² Farr, S. (2023). Infrastructure challenges facing widespread EV adoption. WardsAuto. Industry-Watchers See Better Times Ahead for Automotive (wardsauto.com)

¹³³ Ibid.

¹³⁴ Inequitable access to EV charging infrastructure

¹³⁵ Ibid.

¹³⁶ Inequitable access to EV charging infrastructure

¹³⁷ Infrastructure challenges facing widespread EV adoption

¹³⁸ Ibid.

These disparities underscore the importance of addressing the inequities in charging infrastructure distribution, as it is imperative for promoting social equity in the adoption of EV technology and implementation.

Vehicle Cost and Affordability

The perceived high cost of EVs remains a significant obstacle to their widespread adoption.¹³⁹ Despite a gradual reduction in EV prices and the anticipation of price parity with ICE vehicle in the next 5 to 10 years, potential buyers are often deterred by the upfront investment required for purchase even while acknowledging the long-term operational cost benefits associated with EVs.^{140,141} Additionally, concerns about the total cost of ownership, including maintenance and charging expenses, may deter potential buyers, particularly those who are not accustomed to considering long-term costs when purchasing a vehicle.¹⁴² This financial apprehension acts as a substantial barrier to EV adoption, limiting the accessibility of EVs to a broader consumer base.¹⁴³ The absence of a mature second-hand market and low resale values compared to ICE vehicle alternatives also contribute to this financial barrier for potential EV buyers.¹⁴⁴ Subsidies for new vehicles exist, but the lack of incentives for second-hand EVs limits accessibility for a considerable portion of the population.¹⁴⁵ Bridging the affordability gap is crucial to expanding the consumer base for EVs.

Infrastructure Implementation Costs

The establishment and expansion of EV charging infrastructure face challenges related to the overall cost of deployment. The process of increasing the capability of the electric network within a particular area to accommodate EV charging infrastructure incurs costs that are distributed across all electricity users.¹⁴⁶ This distribution of costs could potentially have delayed impacts on households with lower incomes, as those in this population tend to be late adopters of EVs.¹⁴⁷ Despite the existence of measures to mitigate risks for energy providers and to decrease market prices, there remains an affordability gap preventing the most economically disadvantaged consumers from accessing cheaper electricity. Additionally, disparities in the availability of charging infrastructure due to implementation and associated costs contribute to social inequity, as certain communities may lack the necessary infrastructure to support widespread EV adoption.¹⁴⁸

 ¹³⁹ Krishna, G. (2021). Understanding and identifying barriers to electric vehicle adoption through thematic analysis.
 Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives, 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trip.2021.100364</u>
 ¹⁴⁰ Ibid.

¹⁴¹ Lee, R., & Brown, S. (2021). Social & Locational impacts on electric vehicle ownership and charging profiles. Energy Reports, 7, 42–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.02.057</u>

 ¹⁴² Implementation challenges and evolving solutions for Rural Communities. U.S. Department of Transportation.
 (2023). <u>Implementation Challenges and Evolving Solutions for Rural Communities | US Department of Transportation</u>
 ¹⁴³ Understanding and identifying barriers to electric vehicle adoption through thematic analysis

¹⁴⁴ Berkeley, N., Jarvis, D., & Jones, A. (2018). Analysing the take up of Battery Electric Vehicles: An investigation of barriers amongst drivers in the UK. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 63, 466–481. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.06.016</u>

¹⁴⁵ Social & Locational impacts on electric vehicle ownership and charging profiles

¹⁴⁶ Ibid.

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ Hopkins, E., Potoglou, D., Orford, S., & Cipcigan, L. (2023). Can the equitable roll out of electric vehicle charging infrastructure be achieved? Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113398</u>

Safety Concerns

Safety concerns regarding EV charging stations, particularly among women, present a significant barrier to widespread EV adoption. Research indicates women express apprehensions about the safety of charging locations, highlighting the need for well-lit and less remote stations to alleviate these concerns.¹⁴⁹ One key aspect of these safety concerns is the perception of charging station locations. Individuals who identify as women may feel uncomfortable using charging stations in poorly lit or remote areas, especially during nighttime charging sessions.¹⁵⁰ The lack of visibility and isolation in such locations can contribute to feelings of vulnerability and raise safety apprehensions.¹⁵¹ Furthermore, the presence of adequate security measures around charging stations is crucial for addressing safety concerns. Women may prioritize charging locations with increased security measures, such as surveillance cameras or security personnel, to ensure their safety while charging their EVs.¹⁵²

Overall, addressing safety concerns related to EV charging stations is essential for promoting EV adoption, particularly among women. Providing well-lit, easily accessible charging locations with adequate security measures can help alleviate apprehensions and create a safer environment for all users, thus encouraging more individuals to consider EVs as their next vehicle option.¹⁵³

Consumer-Awareness and Education

Consumers in various regions exhibit lack of familiarity with EV technology. Current research indicates that a substantial portion of the population is not well-versed in the fundamentals of EVs. Moreover, there is an evident gap in awareness concerning the available incentives and the broader benefits associated with EVs.

Lack of consumer awareness and education can act as a significant barrier to EV adoption. This gap in knowledge was displayed by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy's Vehicle Technologies Office by initiating three projects aimed at organizing PEV showcases. These showcases were designed to demonstrate available technologies and offer a hands-on consumer experience at various locations, ranging from long-term stationary storefront settings to one- or two-day events at regional venues.¹⁵⁴ One of the regions was the Midwest region, which included Wisconsin, and was the focal point of the American Lung Association's initiative known as the Midwest (EVOLVE) Electric Vehicle Opportunities: Learning, eVents, Experience project.¹⁵⁵ Attendees had the opportunity to engage with the technology through ride-and-drives and extended test drives. Respondents expressed concerns and misconceptions about various aspects of EV technology, such as overall vehicle performance, charging infrastructure availability, and the cost-effectiveness of EV

¹⁴⁹ Study reveals safety concerns may be hindering EV adoption by women. Geotab. (2023). <u>Study reveals safety</u> concerns may be hindering EV adoption by women | Geotab

¹⁵⁰ Study reveals safety concerns may be hindering EV adoption by women

¹⁵¹ Ibid.

¹⁵² Ibid.

¹⁵³ Ibid.

¹⁵⁴ Ride & Drive Roadshow Design, Impact & Practitioner's Guide (2020). SMRT Columbus. Ride n Drive Final Report <u>2019 A Practitioner's Guide to Ride N Drives</u>

¹⁵⁵ İbid.

ownership.¹⁵⁶ These concerns likely stem from a limited understanding of EVs and their benefits among consumers.



Figure 10 – Midwest EVOLVE Ride & Drive with Static Car Display

Midwest EVOLVE - Wisconsin Clean Cities (wicleancities.org)

Before participating in events and educational programs, respondents may have held certain misconceptions about EVs, contributing to their reluctance to consider purchasing one.¹⁵⁷ These misconceptions could include doubts about EV performance, concerns about charging infrastructure availability, and skepticism about the economic viability of EV ownership.¹⁵⁸ Education initiatives can play a crucial role in addressing these misconceptions by providing accurate information about EV technology, debunking myths, and showcasing the capabilities and benefits of EVs through real-world experiences.¹⁵⁹

Findings from this also highlight that respondents' top reasons for considering PEVs included environmental impact and fuel costs.¹⁶⁰ However, these reasons were not always fully understood or appreciated by all respondents ¹. Education initiatives can help consumers better understand the environmental and economic benefits of EVs, such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions and lower operating costs over the vehicle's lifetime.¹⁶¹ Providing concrete examples and data on cost savings and environmental impact can help consumers grasp the value proposition of EVs more effectively.

Furthermore, concerns about charging infrastructure availability decreased after participation in events, indicating that lack of awareness about charging infrastructure may have been a barrier

¹⁵⁶ Ride & Drive Roadshow Design, Impact & Practitioner's Guide

¹⁵⁷ Ibid.

¹⁵⁸ Ibid.

¹⁵⁹ Ibid.

¹⁶⁰ Ibid.

to adoption for some respondents.¹⁶² Education initiatives can inform consumers about the growing network of charging stations, advancements in charging technology (such as fast-charging options), and the convenience of home charging. Providing maps or directories of charging stations and highlighting their accessibility can address concerns about charging infrastructure and alleviate range anxiety among potential EV buyers.

Respondents' concerns about overall vehicle performance and charging time also indicate a lack of understanding about EV technology and its advancements.¹⁶³ Education initiatives can educate consumers about the latest advancements in EV technology, such as improvements in battery range, charging speed, and vehicle performance. Hands-on demonstrations, workshops, and informational materials can help consumers feel more comfortable with EV technology and its capabilities, ultimately encouraging them to consider EVs as viable alternatives to traditional vehicles.¹⁶⁴

Education initiatives have the potential to address the lack of consumer awareness and education regarding EVs, thereby overcoming significant barriers to adoption. By providing accurate information, dispelling myths, and showcasing the benefits and capabilities of EVs, education initiatives can empower consumers to make informed decisions about transitioning to electric mobility, ultimately contributing to the acceleration of EV adoption.

Recommendations

EV Charger Standards

According to recent provisions made to the 2021 International Energy Conservation Code (**IECC**), developed by the Building Energy Codes Program (**BECP**) within the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, outlined requirements for new construction to accommodate EVs will provide information and guidance for spaces equipped with electrical infrastructure for EV charging.¹⁶⁵ The code mandates the inclusion of EV-ready spaces with specific electrical circuits and labeling to facilitate future installation of EV chargers¹⁶⁶.

This code describes EVSE as the components, including wiring conductors, connectors, plugs, outlets, and other devices, installed specifically to transfer energy between the building's electrical system and the electric vehicle¹⁶⁷. According to this code, an EV-capable space is a designated parking area equipped with the necessary electrical infrastructure, including panel capacity and raceways, for installing EVSE, to support each electric vehicle parking space.¹⁶⁸ An EV-ready space is defined as a designated parking spot provided with a dedicated electrical

¹⁶² Ride & Drive Roadshow Design, Impact & Practitioner's Guide

¹⁶³ Ibid.

¹⁶⁴ Ibid.

¹⁶⁵ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes: A Strategy for Greenhouse Gas Reductions. International Code Council. (2021). <u>2021 ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND BUILDING CODES: A STRATEGY FOR GREENHOUSE GAS</u> <u>REDUCTIONS | ICC DIGITAL CODES (iccsafe.org)</u>

¹⁶⁶ Ibid.

¹⁶⁷ Ibid.

¹⁶⁸ Ibid.

circuit capable of handling a minimum 40-ampere, 208/240-volt load for future installation of Level 2 EV charging equipment.¹⁶⁹ The circuit must terminate at a suitable point, such as a receptacle, junction box, or EV charging station, situated near the intended EV parking area¹⁷⁰. It should have no other outlets, be protected by an over-current device, and have adequate capacity in the service panel, located nearby, to support the circuit and protective device.¹⁷¹

From this, the code specifies the minimum number of EV-ready and EV-capable spaces based on the total number of parking spaces in the building. These recommendations for number of spaces with EVSE installed, EV-ready spaces, and EV-capable spaces for commercial buildings are as follows¹⁷²:

- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 1
 - o Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 1
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 1
 - o Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: -
- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 2 10
 - Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 1
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 2
 - o Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: -
- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 11 15
 - o Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 1
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 2
 - Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: 1
- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 16 19
 - Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 1
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 2
 - Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: 2
- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 21 25
 - Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 2
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 3
 - Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: 2
- Total Number of Parking Spaces: 26 or more
 - Minimum Number of Spaces with EVSE Installed: 5% of total parking spaces
 - Minimum Number of EV-Ready Spaces: 10% of total parking spaces
 - Minimum Number of EV-Capable Spaces: 10% of total parking spaces

These requirements are aimed at supporting the growing trend of EV adoption, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and ensuring that the infrastructure keeps pace with the increasing number of EVs on the road. While these provisions may increase construction costs, they are more cost-effective when implemented during new construction compared to retrofitting existing buildings.

¹⁶⁹ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes

¹⁷⁰ Ibid.

¹⁷¹ Ibid.

¹⁷² Ibid.

Case Studies

MCTS Administrative Offices (1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI 53205, United States)

The Milwaukee County Transit System (MCTS) is a \$163 million not-for-profit public transportation network operating in Wisconsin, covering 242 square miles across 18 municipalities.¹⁷³ MCTS operates over 318 clean-diesel buses and employs approximately 1,000 staff members, including drivers, mechanics, and administrative personnel.¹⁷⁴

MCTS provides transportation services for residents across the region, facilitating travel to various destinations such as work, school, medical appointments, and entertainment venues. The transit system plays a crucial role in connecting people from diverse backgrounds and communities, bridging geographical distances, and providing mobility options for millions of riders annually. MCTS operates an extensive route network, offering coverage throughout Milwaukee County and beyond.¹⁷⁵ This network serves as a vital link for residents, providing access to essential services and opportunities across urban centers, suburban neighborhoods, and rural areas.



Figure 11 – MCTS Administrative Offices Building

Contact MCTS (ridemcts.com)

Looking ahead, MCTS continues to focus on modernizing its operations and infrastructure to better meet the evolving needs of the community, making it a viable location for the recommendation of EVSE implementation. Specifically, the MCTS Administrative Offices, located at 1942 N 17th St, provides an optimal location for the implementation of publicly accessible EV chargers. Its aptness stems from several advantageous features and amenities tailored to meet the needs of EV drivers and the surrounding community.

Firstly, this location employs various safety measures that help foster a confident charging environment. The presence of a security vehicle patrolling the parking lot from 8:00am to 4:00pm ensures a safe and secure environment for EV users and their vehicles. In addition, the

¹⁷³ 2022 Annual report MILWAUKEE COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM. Milwaukee County Transit System. (2022). 2022-Annual-Report.pdf (ridemcts.com) ¹⁷⁴ Ibid.

¹⁷⁵ Ibid.

advanced lighting structure within the parking lot, featuring light-emitting diode (**LED**) lighting from newly installed light posts, contributes to enhanced visibility and safety, facilitating charging activities even during nighttime hours. Moreover, the presence of surveillance cameras in the parking lot and on the top of the building further bolsters security measures. These security measures instill confidence in users and helps deter potential safety concerns, enhancing EV users overall charging experience.

Beyond this, the parking lot at the MCTS Administrative Offices is in excellent condition, providing ample space and accessibility for installation of EV charging stations. With well over 100 parking sports available, there is sufficient capacity to accommodate both charging infrastructure and other parking needs, ensuring convenience for users.

The location of the MCTS Administrative offices also serves as an asset to the implementation of EV charging infrastructure. With two access points to the parking lot from the road, this location ensures easy entry and exit for EV drivers. Furthermore, the proximity to a Bublr bike station across the street, along with multiple bus stops around the parking lot, allows users to access nearby services such as stores and restaurants while their vehicles are charging, maximizing the efficiency of their time. The presence of Johnsons Park across the street also adds to the appeal of the location, providing additional amenities for EV users and their families during charging sessions.

Based on standards for EV charging stations from the IECC, a proposal for the MCTS Administrative Offices location to designate at least 6 parking spaces for the implementation of EVSE consisting of 3 dual-port DC Fast Chargers is recommended.¹⁷⁶

Further, it is advisable to designate at least 8 parking spaces as EV-Ready, according to the specifications outlined in the 2021 IECC². It is also recommended that these EV charging spaces be located within the lot so they can be seen from W Fond Du Lac Avenue, W Vine Street, and N 17th Street to ensure safety and visibility. Further, it is recommended that these spaces be located near an access point to enhance accessibility and convenience for EV drivers.

Wilson Park Senior Center (2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI, 53221, United States)

The Wilson Park Senior Center is a welcoming hub for older adults in suburban Milwaukee, offering convenient access to diverse activities and amenities, including exercise classes, social programs, and educational workshops, fostering community connection and personal growth for its members aged 50 and above.¹⁷⁷

The Wilson Park Senior Center boasts a range of amenities and surrounding attractions designed to cater to the diverse needs and interests of its members.¹⁷⁸ With a focus on promoting physical health, mental well-being, and social connection, the Wilson Park Senior Center offers a variety of daily activities and programs, such as exercise classes and educational workshops. The center prides itself on fostering a diverse and inclusive environment where older adults of all backgrounds feel valued and respected ¹.

¹⁷⁶ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes

 ¹⁷⁷ Senior Centers - Wilson Park Senior Center. Serving Older Adults. (2024). <u>Serving Older Adults</u>
 ¹⁷⁸ Ibid.

Figure 12 – Wilson Park Senior Center Building



Senior center on Milwaukee's south side (fox6now.com)

The Wilson Park Senior Center presents an optimal location for EVSE due to several factors. Firstly, the large parking lot estimated to have around 200 spots offers ample space for the installation of multiple EVSE units, accommodating the potential demand from EV drivers visiting the center. Nearby conveniences such as a grocery store and recreation center offer opportunities for leisure and relaxation. Additionally, the center's proximity to a hospital ensures easy access to healthcare services when needed. Furthermore, considering the presence of amenities such as the pool, park, and baseball field in Wilson Park on the same property, installing EVSE at the senior center will encourage visitors to utilize electric transportation while enjoying these recreational facilities, promoting sustainability and environmental stewardship within the community.

Based on the standards outlined for EV charging stations in the 2021 IECC, a proposal is put forth for the Wilson Park Senior Center to allocate designated parking spaces for the implementation of EVSE.¹⁷⁹ It is recommended that a minimum of 6 parking spaces be designated for this purpose, accommodating the installation of 3 dual-port DC Fast charging stations¹⁸⁰. It is also recommended that a minimum of 10 parking spaces be deemed EV-Ready, as defined by the 2021 IECC.¹⁸¹ These charging spaces should be strategically located within the parking lot to ensure visibility and safety for EV drivers and other visitors.

However, to better suit the installation of EVSE and infrastructure, several recommendations can be made. Firstly, addressing the maintenance of the parking lot, such as repairing potholes, cracks in cement, and repainting parking lines, will enhance the overall accessibility and safety of the area for both EV drivers and other visitors.

Moreover, upgrading the lighting in the parking lot to LED fixtures not only improves visibility and safety but also contributes to energy efficiency and cost savings in the long term.

¹⁷⁹ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes

¹⁸⁰ Ibid.

¹⁸¹ Ibid.

Additionally, installing lighting along the access road leading to the senior center will address safety concerns and improve accessibility, especially during evening hours.

Overall, by implementing these recommendations, the Wilson Park Senior Center can become a more welcoming and accessible location for EV drivers, supporting the transition to electric transportation and fostering a sustainable environment for all visitors.

Fleet Garage & Milwaukee County Department of Transportation Headquarters (10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI, 53226, United States)

The Milwaukee County Department of Transportation (**MCDOT**) is an organization dedicated to providing accessible, reliable, and safe transportation services while enhancing the quality of live for the communities it serves.¹⁸² MCDOT's vision is to achieve racial equity. Aiming to make Milwaukee the healthiest county in Wisconsin.¹⁸³ This vision aligns with its mission to deliver an accessible transportation system that is fiscally secure, dependable, and ensures the safety of the travelling public.¹⁸⁴

MCDOT comprises of five divisions: Airport, Highway Maintenance, Fleet Management, Transit/Paratransit System, and the Director's Office.¹⁸⁵ The Fleet Management Division is responsible for procuring, maintaining, and managing the vehicles and equipment used by various county departments, including the sheriff's office, medical examiner, county zoo, park system, and highway department.¹⁸⁶

The Fleet Management Division operates with a focus on efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability.¹⁸⁷ They prioritize user departments' needs, regularly assessing equipment functionality and usage to optimize the fleet size and composition.¹⁸⁸ The Fleet Management Division also emphasizes collaboration and engagement, involving staff members in decision-making processes and fostering a culture of loyalty and teamwork. Recognized as one of the top 100 fleets in North America, the division's achievements reflect its commitment to accountability, efficiency, and staff development.¹⁸⁹

Overall, MCDOT and its Fleet Management Division play crucial roles in ensuring the smooth functioning of Milwaukee County's transportation infrastructure, contributing to the community's wellbeing.¹⁹⁰

¹⁸² Milwaukee County Department of Transportation | MCDOT. Milwaukee County Department of Transportation. (2024). <u>county.milwaukee.gov</u>

¹⁸³ Ibid.

¹⁸⁴ Ibid.

¹⁸⁵ Ibid.

¹⁸⁶ Harris, J. (2017, January 12). Milwaukee County Department of Transportation Fleet Management Division. Transportation and Logistics International. <u>Milwaukee County Department of Transportation Fleet Management</u> <u>Division - Transportation and Logistics International (tlimagazine.com)</u>

¹⁸⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸⁸ Ibid. ¹⁸⁹ Ibid.

¹⁹⁰ Milwaukee County Department of Transportation Fleet Management Division

Figure 13 – Fleet Garage & MCDOT Headquarters Building



10320 W Watertown Plank Rd - Google Maps

The Fleet Garage and MCDOT Headquarters present a strategically located facility with various amenities and potential for future enhancement. The location has measured employed to promote EV drivers' safety with ample LED lighting and security cameras in the parking lot, along with the presence of sheriff vehicles on the property. The parking lot is also visible from major roads such as W Watertown Plank Rd, N Swan Blvd, and Interstate-41. Further, the proximity to key facilities such as the Medical College of Wisconsin, Froedtert Hospital, Mayfair Mall, various cafes and convenience stores, and the Watertown Plank Park and Ride adds to its appeal.

Given the promising visibility of electrical infrastructure on-site, the location provides a notable opportunity for the implementation of EVSE. Considering the parking lot size and location, it is recommended that a minimum of 6 parking spaces be designated for EV charging, consisting of 3 dual-port DC Fast chargers to cater to the growing demand for faster public charging options.¹⁹¹ Additionally, at least 8 parking spaces should be made EV-Ready, as defined by the 2021 IECC.¹⁹²

To facilitate public access to EV charging, a portion of the employee parking lot could be designated for this purpose. Ideally, this area would be located in the front portion of the lot for easy accessibility. Adequate signage should also be installed to clearly mark these spaces for public use, thereby minimizing confusion and ensuring a smooth experience for EV users.

By integrating EV charging infrastructure into its operations, the Fleet Garage and MCDOT Headquarters can not only enhance accessibility and convenience but also contribute to sustainable transportation practices. This initiative aligns with the department's commitment to promoting safety, inclusivity, and environmental responsibility within the community it serves.¹⁹³

¹⁹¹ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes

¹⁹² Ibid.

¹⁹³ Milwaukee County Department of Transportation

Community Reintegration Center (8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI 53132, United States)

The Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center (**CRC**) serves as the county's house of correction, providing programming and resources to promote successful community reintegration for individuals sentences in Milwaukee County and other jurisdictions, in compliance with Wisconsin Statutes.¹⁹⁴

The CRC is pivotal in the criminal justice system, focusing on rehabilitating and reintegrating incarcerated individuals.¹⁹⁵ The CRC prioritizes addressing root causes of criminal behavior, offering tailored programs to equip residents for successful reentry into society. These programs encompass education, vocational training, substance abuse treatment, mental health counseling, job readiness, and life skills development, providing essential support systems for community reintegration.¹⁹⁶

With an average population of 978.5 residents and an average of 950 days served, the CRC aims to provide a safe and supportive environment for residents, fostering positive change and growth.¹⁹⁷ Through a staff of dedicated professionals, the CRC serves as a crucial link between incarceration and community reintegration.¹⁹⁸ Through its comprehensive approach to rehabilitation, the CRC contributes to public safety and the overall well-being of the community.



Figure 14 – Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center Building

MKE County: Community Reintegration Center » Urban Milwaukee

Situated adjacent to the Sheriff Department training academy, the CRC enhances the safety of the surrounding area while also ensuring security through ample LED overhead lighting in the parking lot. However, due to its location and nature, it is recommended that the CRC implements both public and private EVSE charging. This dual approach will not only benefit employees by allowing them to charge their vehicles during work hours but also provide

¹⁹⁴ Milwaukee County 2024 Adopted Operating Budget . Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center. (2024). <u>county.milwaukee.gov</u>

¹⁹⁵ Milwaukee County Community Reintegration Center Facility Master Plan. Department of Administrative Services Milwaukee County. (2023). <u>Milwaukee-County-CRC-facility-master-plan-RFP.pdf</u>

¹⁹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁹⁸ Ibid.

essential charging facilities for visitors and rural community members. Further, this publicprivate approach can also support the growth of Milwaukee County Sherriff's Office (**MCSO**) electric squad vehicles, as there is an expected increase in privately owned EVSE need as squad vehicles transition to EVs.

In line with these recommendations, it is proposed that at least 4 parking spaces be designated for public EV charging, comprising 2 dual-port DC fast chargers in the lot adjacent to S 68th St. Additionally, a minimum of 8 spaces should be designated as EV ready, conforming to the 2021 IECC.¹⁹⁹ Furthermore, to cater to employee needs, at least 4 spaces in the employee parking lot located at the back of the building should be designated for employee EV charging, featuring 2 dual-port level 2 chargers. Moreover, at least 10 spaces in the employee parking lot should be EV ready as per the 2021 IECC.²⁰⁰

By implementing these recommendations based on the 2021 IECC, the CRC can enhance accessibility, promote sustainable transportation options, and contribute to a greener and more inclusive community in rural Milwaukee County.

Public EV Charging Infrastructure Locations

The growth EV adoption in Milwaukee County necessitates a strategic approach to the expansion of EV charging infrastructure.²⁰¹ The locations recommended for the implementation of EVSE aim to focus on enhancing accessibility, promoting equity, and ensuring scalability of the infrastructure.

An increase in publicly available EV charging stations is crucial to support the growing number of EV owners and visitors in Milwaukee. While early adopters often have private charging options, the broader community requires accessible charging infrastructure.²⁰² The County recognizes the importance of public charging stations for residents, visitors, and the tourism industry. Deploying EV charging infrastructure aligns with broader goals of reducing air pollution and GHG emissions, promoting equity, and fostering sustainable transportation options.²⁰³

The EV charging location plan integrates diverse criteria to identify suitable sites for the deployment of public charging infrastructure. By prioritizing accessibility, equity, and potential for future expansion, Milwaukee aims to support widespread EV adoption and contribute to sustainable transportation solutions for its residents and visitors.

Given this, 52 additional locations owned by Milwaukee County have been deemed to have potential for future EVSE installation. These locations have been selected based on the following criteria: location, parking lot condition, annual visits, traffic data, racial/ethnic demographics, median household income, and average vehicles per household. However, greater research and evaluation would have to be completed to create a strategic and detailed plan for EVSE implementation and operation. Please see Appendix A for list of locations for feasible future EVSE installation.

¹⁹⁹ 2021 Electric Vehicles and Building Codes

²⁰⁰ Ibid.

²⁰¹ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

²⁰² Ibid.

²⁰³ Ibid.




Figure 15 – Recommended Locations for Potential EVSE Installation

Public-Private Partnerships

The passage of Senate Bill 791 on March 20th, 2024, in Wisconsin marks a significant shift in the regulatory landscape for EVSE. By exempting entities supplying electricity through EV charging stations from regulation as public utilities, the bill encourages private sector involvement in deploying charging infrastructure.²⁰⁴ This deregulation paves the way for public-private partnerships (**PPPs**) an opportunity to play a crucial role in expanding EV charging networks across the state, and therefore throughout Milwaukee County.

At the federal level, the Infrastructure Bill, signed into law by President Biden, allocates \$7.5 billion specifically for EV charging stations as part of a broader effort to modernize

²⁰⁴ Wisconsin S.B. 791, Reg. Sess. 2023-2024 (2024). (23-2813/1) (wisconsin.gov)

transportation infrastructure.²⁰⁵ This funding represents a major step forward in realizing the vision of a comprehensive national network of EV charging stations, with the goal of deploying at least 500,000 stations nationwide. The bill also provides additional funding for transportation and transit systems, creating opportunities for supplementary components, including EV charging infrastructure.²⁰⁶

Private companies would help take the lead in implementing EV charging projects, drawing on their expertise and resources in the field. They would be responsible for deploying advanced charging technologies, such as Level 2 and DC fast chargers, to enhance the efficiency and convenience of EV charging. Meanwhile, Milwaukee County would provide support in securing permits, land acquisition, and regulatory compliance to expedite project implementation.²⁰⁷

Revenue generation is a key aspect of PPPs for EVSE. Private companies can earn their investment through user fees or charging costs, while local governments may benefit from revenue-sharing agreements or lease arrangements for hosting charging stations on public property.²⁰⁸ This financial sustainability ensures the long-term viability of EV charging infrastructure and incentivizes continued private sector investment.

Community engagement is essential in the PPP model, ensuring that EV charging infrastructure meets the needs of residents and businesses within the neighborhoods they are implemented.²⁰⁹ Stakeholder consultations, public forums, and feedback mechanisms can help facilitate the identification of demand, address concerns, and foster acceptance of EV technology.²¹⁰ By actively involving the community, PPPs can build trust and support for sustainable transportation initiatives.

PPPs for EVSE offer a collaborative approach to accelerating the deployment of charging infrastructure in Milwaukee and beyond. By combining public funding, private sector operation, and community engagement, these partnerships can overcome regulatory barriers, leverage financial resources, and drive the widespread adoption of electric mobility.

Design of Public EV Charging Infrastructure

Construction Considerations

Implementing EV charging stations involves several critical construction considerations to ensure their effectiveness, safety, and compliance with regulations. Firstly, assessing the existing electrical capacity and infrastructure is essential. This evaluation helps determine if upgrades or modifications are necessary to support EV charging stations adequately.²¹¹ It involves installing dedicated circuits and appropriate wiring to accommodate the power

²⁰⁵ Alfert, R. (2022). *Public-private partnership as a tool for EV Infrastructure*. American City and County. <u>Public-private partnership as a tool for EV infrastructure</u> - American City and County

²⁰⁶ Ibid.

²⁰⁷ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

²⁰⁸ Plug-In Electric Vehicle Handbook for Public Charging Station Hosts

²⁰⁹ McLean, D. (2022). Building EV charging through public-private partnerships. Smart Cities Dive. Building EV charging through public-private partnerships | Smart Cities Dive
²¹⁰ Ibid.

²¹¹ Cooke, C., & Ross, B. (2019). Summary of Best Practices in Electric Vehicle Ordinances. Great Plains Institute. <u>GPI_EV_Ordinance_Summary_web.pdf (betterenergy.org)</u>

requirements of charging stations. Additionally, ensuring proper grounding and electrical safety measures are in place is crucial to prevent hazards such as electric shocks or fires.²¹²

Location and site planning are equally important aspects of constructing EV charging stations. Selecting suitable locations within parking lots or structures that are convenient for users and comply with regulations regarding spacing, accessibility, and proximity to amenities is essential. ²¹³ Factors like visibility, ease of access, traffic flow, and pedestrian access should be considered when determining the placement of charging stations.

Further, Milwaukee County should conduct thorough assessments to identify governmentowned properties and other suitable sites that meet federal and state siting criteria.²¹⁴ Collaboration with community-based organizations and stakeholders can provide valuable insights into community needs and preferences, facilitating the selection of sites that align with equity and accessibility goals.²¹⁵ Additionally, incentivizing site hosts and providing funding opportunities can encourage the deployment of charging infrastructure in underserved areas, promoting equitable access to EV charging services.²¹⁶

Regarding physical infrastructure, it's vital to install charging equipment securely on stable foundations or mounts to withstand environmental conditions and prevent damage or theft. Providing weatherproof enclosures or shelters to protect charging equipment from exposure to elements like rain, snow, and sunlight is also essential.²¹⁷ Additionally, installing bollards or other protective barriers can safeguard charging stations from vehicle impacts and unauthorized access.²¹⁸ These shelters must be strategically positioned to avoid obstructing access to charging stations or interfering with accessibility features.²¹⁹

When integrating EV charging stations into parking lots structures, the location of existing electrical infrastructure, such as transformers and electrical panels, is important as this can significantly impact installation costs and feasibility²²⁰. Additionally, the layout of parking spaces, especially in sloping areas or basements, must be carefully evaluated to ensure accessibility for all users, including those with disabilities.²²¹

Lighting considerations are also essential for both safety and security in EV charging infrastructure. Adequate illumination levels must be maintained to ensure visibility during nighttime charging sessions and prevent potential tripping hazards caused by dim lighting. Furthermore, supplementary lighting may be necessary to enhance security and deter vandalism or theft of EV supply equipment.²²²

Effective signage placement is vital for directing users to EV charging stations and conveying essential information about parking regulations and accessibility. Following federal and local

²¹² Summary of Best Practices in Electric Vehicle Ordinances

²¹³ Ibid.

²¹⁴ Local Government Playbook. Electrification Coalition. (2022). Local-Government-Playbook. Reader-file.pdf (electrificationcoalition.org)

²¹⁵ Ibid.

²¹⁶ Ibid.

²¹⁷ Summary of Best Practices in Electric Vehicle Ordinances

²¹⁸ Ibid.

²¹⁹ Ibid.

²²⁰ Mayfield, D. (2012) Site Design for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations, Sustainable Transportation Strategies. <u>EV Z sitedesignchargingstations.pdf (solarroadmap.com)</u> ²²¹ Site Design for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

²²² Ibid.

signage standards, such as the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (**MUTCD**), ensures clarity and consistency in messaging, improving user experience and compliance with regulations.²²³

Furthermore, prompt snow removal around charging stations is crucial to facilitate access for individuals with mobility impairments, as plowed snow should not impede access or use of the charging station²²⁴. Proper engagement with both the building tenant and Operations and Maintenance staff should be prioritized to reach a mutual understanding for these services. Additionally, in regions with extreme sun/heat, precautions should be taken to prevent black charging cables from becoming hot enough to burn individuals with limited sensation, which may involve providing adequate shading or covering for the charging cables.²²⁵ During the construction phase, shelter supports such as columns and pylons must be carefully designed and placed to ensure they do not obstruct vehicle charging spaces, access aisles, clear floor or ground space, and accessible routes.²²⁶

Further, adherence to the American Disabilities Act (**ADA**) and Architectural Barriers Act (**ABA**) Accessibility Standards is paramount to ensure inclusivity in design and operation. Operational elements such as connectors, card readers, and electronic interfaces must align with reachable heights and operable force requirements.²²⁷ These specifications are critical for accommodating users with varying levels of mobility and ensuring equitable access to charging facilities.

According to the City of Milwaukee Preliminary EV Readiness Plan, specific dimensions and layout of EV charging spaces, each standard charging space should have a minimum width of nine feet and a length of 18 feet.²²⁸ For accessible charging spaces, a minimum width of 11 feet and a length of 20 feet is required, accompanied by a five-foot wide access aisle.²²⁹ This access aisle must be connected to an accessible route leading to the associated facility, potentially requiring a curb ramp for mobility from ground level to the sidewalk.²³⁰

Regarding charger installation, for standard charging spaces, the charger can be placed at least 12 inches behind the curb, provided that protective bollards are positioned at least six inches away from the front of the charger.²³¹ The accessible charger should be installed at the same grade level as the ADA charging spaces to facilitate mobility-impaired access, with its front facing the access aisle for easy operation.²³² Bollards for ADA charging spaces must be within ten inches of the charger, with a maximum separation of five feet between each pair, ensuring proper accessibility and safety measures.²³³

²²³ Site Design for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

²²⁴ Design Recommendations for Accessible Electric Vehicle Charging Stations. U.S. Access Board. (2023). <u>usab-evse-guide.pdf (access-board.gov)</u>

²²⁵ Ibid.

²²⁶ Ibid.

²²⁷ Ibid.

²²⁸ City of Milwaukee Preliminary Electric Vehicle Readiness Plan

²²⁹ Ibid.

²³⁰ Ibid. ²³¹ Ibid.

²³² Ibid.

²³³ Ibid.

ADA Recommendations

In constructing EV charging infrastructure, strict adherence to the ADA guidelines is indispensable to ensure optimal accessibility for all users. One pivotal recommendation is the designation of a "reasonable number" of accessible charging spaces, even in the absence of specific scoping requirements within the ADA standards.²³⁴ These accessible spaces must not only meet technical specifications but also be designed to effectively accommodate users with disabilities.

Furthermore, the adoption of a "use last" model for accessible charging spaces presents a practical solution to enhance utilization and flexibility.²³⁵ This approach involves designing more charging spaces with accessible features and allowing them to be used by non-disabled individuals when other spaces are occupied.²³⁶ By creating more charging spaces with accessible features and permitting their use by non-disabled individuals when other spaces are in use, this approach fosters greater accessibility and inclusivity within the charging infrastructure.

To navigate the complexities of ADA compliance effectively, leveraging technical assistance resources provided by the Access Board is essential. The Access Board offers valuable guidance and support through its helpline and email assistance, aiding stakeholders in ensuring their EV charging projects align with ADA accessibility guidelines.²³⁷

Moreover, while signage requirements for accessible EV charging spaces may vary by jurisdiction, it is generally advisable to refrain from using the International Symbol of Accessibility (ISA) unless mandated by local regulations. Instead, innovative signage solutions such as the proposed "use last" sign can provide clear guidance on the availability and accessibility of charging spaces without causing confusion about usage rights.²³⁸ Collaboration with relevant authorities is essential to ensure compliance with state and local signage regulations while incorporating innovative signage solutions to enhance accessibility.

Compliance with state and local accessibility requirements extends to signage regulations. Engaging with regulatory authorities and seeking technical assistance can aid in navigating these requirements effectively and ensuring that signage meets accessibility standards. By integrating clear and informative signage solutions into EV charging infrastructure, facilities can enhance user experience and promote inclusivity for individuals with disabilities.

To meet ADA requirements for EV charging spaces, the following standards should be adhered to:

1. Accessible Route: Ensure that an accessible route is provided from accessible parking spaces to the EV charging station. This route should be firm, stable, and slip-resistant, with a minimum width of 36 inches.²³⁹

²³⁴ Design Recommendations for Accessible Electric Vehicle Charging Stations. U.S. Access Board (2023). Design Recommendations for Accessible Electric Vehicle Charging Stations (access-board.gov)

²³⁵ Ibid.

²³⁶ Ibid.

²³⁷ Ibid.

²³⁸ Ibid.

²³⁹ Site Design for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

- 2. Parking Space Dimensions: Designate accessible parking spaces that comply with ADA standards, including a minimum width of 96 inches and an adjacent access aisle of at least 60 inches wide for van-accessible spaces or 36 inches for other accessible spaces.²⁴⁰
- 3. Vertical Clearance: Ensure a minimum vertical clearance of 114 inches for vanaccessible parking spaces to accommodate lift-equipped vehicles.²⁴¹
- 4. Proximity to Accessible Route: Situate accessible EV charging spaces within close proximity to accessible routes, ensuring ease of access for individuals with disabilities.²⁴²
- 5. Ground Surface: Ensure that the ground surface of the accessible route and parking spaces is firm, stable, and slip-resistant to facilitate safe maneuvering for individuals with mobility aids.²⁴³
- 6. Access Aisle: Maintain an access aisle adjacent to accessible parking spaces, allowing sufficient space for vehicle transfers and maneuvering of mobility devices.²⁴⁴
- 7. Accessibility Features: Design EV charging stations with accessible mobility features, such as operable parts within reach ranges and communication features for individuals with sensory impairments.²⁴⁵

By adhering to these standards, EV charging facilities can ensure compliance with ADA requirements and provide equitable access for individuals with disabilities. Construction and implementation considerations for EV charging infrastructure should encompass adherence to accessibility standards outlined in various articles. Attention to operational elements, signage design, and regulatory compliance are essential aspects of creating an inclusive and userfriendly charging environment.

Education and Outreach

Ride and Drive (R&D) events represent one of the most common and most effective forms of education and outreach initiative aimed at promoting EV adoption and awareness.²⁴⁶ R&D events serve as a vital tool for EV education and outreach due to their ability to provide firsthand experience to participants. By offering individuals the opportunity to test drive EVs, these events help dispel myths and misconceptions surrounding electric vehicles. Participants can directly experience factors like performance and range, addressing concerns such as range anxiety.²⁴⁷ This hands-on experience is invaluable in educating consumers about the practicality and benefits of EVs, fostering a deeper understanding and confidence in their viability as everyday vehicles.

²⁴⁰ Ada compliance brief: Restriping Parking Spaces. ADA.gov. (2024). ADA Compliance Brief: Restriping Parking Spaces | ADA.gov ²⁴¹ Ibid.

²⁴² Design Recommendations for Accessible Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

²⁴³ Ibid.

²⁴⁴ Ibid.

²⁴⁵ Ibid.

²⁴⁶ Singer, M. (2020). Plug-In Electric Vehicle Showcases: Consumer Experience and Acceptance. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Plug-In Electric Vehicle Showcases: Consumer Experience and Acceptance (energy.gov)

Moreover, R&D events have a broad geographic reach, attracting drivers from various locations across the United States.²⁴⁸ This diverse participation demonstrates the events' effectiveness in reaching a wide audience. However, it's crucial to recognize that despite this broad outreach, there are segments of the population, particularly in regions not directly targeted by the program, who may still lack awareness of EVs and their benefits.²⁴⁹ To address this, there is a need for widespread educational campaigns that extend beyond major metropolitan areas, reaching rural communities and smaller cities where EV awareness may be lower.

Additionally, R&D events play a role in addressing demographic disparities in EV awareness and interest. While attracting participants from diverse backgrounds, the data reveals disparities, such as a correlation between higher education, higher income, and opt-ins for dealer contact.²⁵⁰ This suggests that certain demographic groups may be more receptive to EV education initiatives. By tailoring educational strategies to resonate with different demographic segments, such as through targeted advertising campaigns and community workshops, R&D events can help bridge these disparities and ensure broader access to EV education.²⁵¹

Furthermore, the significant proportion of participants who opt to be contacted by dealerships for more information about the vehicles they test drove underscores the importance of post-test drive follow-up.²⁵² R&D events can leverage these opt-ins to provide additional resources, such as personalized EV purchasing guides and information sessions. This follow-up not only sustains consumer interest but also facilitates informed decision-making, addressing any lingering concerns or questions participants may have about EVs.²⁵³

Smart Columbus is another educational outreach initiative proven to be successful in not only increasing EV knowledge and awareness but also increasing interest in EV adoption.²⁵⁴ Smart Columbus is a collaborative initiative involving public and private sectors, aiming to accelerate EV adoption in the Columbus, Ohio region. It began after winning the U.S. Department of Transportation's Smart City Challenge in 2016, securing \$10 million to boost the EV market ². Initially, only 0.38% of new car sales in Columbus were EVs.²⁵⁵ Smart Columbus set out to increase ownership by nearly 500% to 1.8% of new vehicle purchases by 2020, a goal it has surpassed, with EV sales exceeding 2% of vehicles sold by 2018.²⁵⁶ The initiative focuses on EV education, awareness, and incentives.

Key strategies include partnering with large employers to promote EV adoption among their workforce, offering education, R&D events, and incentives through various programs.²⁵⁷ Financial incentives motivate associates to choose EVs, with notable success stories like Alliance Data and American Electric Power.²⁵⁸ Workplace charging infrastructure has been

²⁴⁸ Plug-In Electric Vehicle Showcases: Consumer Experience and Acceptance

²⁴⁹ Ibid.

²⁵⁰ Ibid.

²⁵¹ Ibid.

²⁵² Ibid.

²⁵³ Ibid.

²⁵⁴ Davis, J. (2024). Columbus Ohio's bold goal: Increasing EV adoption: SmartColumbus. Smart Columbus. Columbus Ohio's Bold Goal: Increasing EV Adoption | SmartColumbus

²⁵⁵ Ibid.

²⁵⁶ Ibid.

²⁵⁷ Ibid.

²⁵⁸ Ride & Drive Roadshow Design, Impact & Practitioner's

expanded, making charging more accessible, while the R&D Roadshow has exposed more people to EVs, changing perceptions positively.²⁵⁹

Collaborative efforts with large employers, workplace charging infrastructure expansion, and initiatives like the R&D Roadshow have raised awareness and interest in EVs.²⁶⁰ The Electrified Dealer program also empowers local dealerships to better serve EV shoppers, enhancing the overall purchasing experience. Smart Columbus serves as a model for promoting sustainable mobility solutions through partnerships and innovative initiatives, offering lessons for other cities and regions aiming to drive EV adoption.

Overall, education and outreach initiatives play a pivotal role in accelerating the adoption of EVs and shaping positive attitudes towards sustainable transportation. By providing firsthand experiences through test drives, these initiatives dispel myths and misconceptions surrounding EVs, addressing concerns like range anxiety and fostering confidence in their viability. Moreover, they reach a broad audience, including diverse demographic segments, thus bridging disparities in awareness and interest. Furthermore, post-event follow-up and tailored educational strategies sustain consumer interest and facilitate informed decision-making. The success of initiatives like Smart Columbus underscores the effectiveness of collaborative efforts and innovative approaches in promoting EV adoption. As Milwaukee County continues to implement sustainable mobility solutions, investing in comprehensive EV education and outreach initiatives remains crucial for driving widespread adoption and building a greener, more sustainable future.

Progress and Outcome Metrics

As the adoption of EVs continues to rise, it is essential to assess the progress and outcomes of EV initiatives. By examining progress and outcome metrics, stakeholders can gain insights into the effectiveness of EV programs and identify areas for improvement.²⁶¹ Monitoring progress and outcomes through established metrics is also vital for evaluating the success of EV initiatives.

Performance Metrics:

- 1. **Charging Station Utilization:** Measures the percentage of time that charging stations are in use. High utilization rates indicate high demand and potential expansion needs.²⁶² Utilization rates above 20% may indicate the need for network growth to accommodate growing customer needs.²⁶³
- Charging Session Duration: Monitoring the typical duration of charging sessions assists operators in estimating the duration of each charging event.²⁶⁴ This data enables the optimization of charging station availability and facilitates planning for maintenance activities.

²⁵⁹ Ride & Drive Roadshow Design, Impact & Practitioner's

²⁶⁰ Columbus Ohio's bold goal: Increasing EV adoption

²⁶¹ EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics. EV Auto Software. (2023). EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics - EV Auto Software 262 Ibid.

²⁶³ Top Metrics to Measure the Performance of Your EV Charging Stations. EV Charging Summit Blog. (2023). Top Metrics to Measure the Performance of Your EV Charging Stations - EV Charging Summit Blog

²⁶⁴ EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics

3. **Charging Speed:** Charging speed refers to the rate at which EVs charge may fluctuate based on factors like the vehicle's battery capacity and the power output of the charging station.²⁶⁵ Keeping track of charging speed metrics enables operators to verify that charging stations are meeting the anticipated charging rates.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):

- 1. **Charging Station Availability:** This KPI quantifies the proportion of time during which charging stations are functional and accessible for usage.²⁶⁶ Elevated availability rates signify a dependable charging infrastructure, whereas lower rates may signal the presence of maintenance or technical challenges requiring prompt resolution.²⁶⁷ Uptime, the term used to represent the availability of charging services throughout the year, is critical for customer satisfaction. Meeting uptime targets, set at 97% annually by federal regulations, enhances station dependability and business sustainability.²⁶⁸
- Customer Satisfaction: Gauges user experience to pinpoint areas for improvement. Tracking customer satisfaction via surveys or feedback ratings enables operators to comprehend the charging experience from the user's viewpoint.²⁶⁹
- 3. **Revenue Generation:** Assesses financial viability and identifies growth opportunities. Analyzing revenue streams on daily, weekly, or monthly bases helps identify opportunities for maximizing profitability, sealing revenue leaks, and enhancing revenue growth.²⁷⁰ It is important to note that due to Senate Bill 791, EV chargers owned and operated by Milwaukee County would not be allowed to generate revenue on publicly accessible chargers, however, PPPs could allow for potential revenue generation.

To gather and assess essential performance metrics and KPIs, charging platform operators frequently utilize data Application Programming Interfaces (**APIs**).²⁷¹ These APIs facilitate smooth integration with the platform's backend systems, enabling the retrieval and analysis of real-time data. Leveraging the charging platform data API enables operators to obtain valuable insights and generate customized reports tailored to their specific requirements.²⁷²

Moreover, the data API can streamline the incorporation of charging platform analytics with other software systems, such as those for energy management or billing.²⁷³ This integration fosters a comprehensive approach to EV charging operations, thereby improving overall efficiency.

Assessing performance metrics and KPIs is crucial for enhancing the efficiency of EV charging platforms. By monitoring metrics such as charging station utilization, session duration, and charging speed, operators can pinpoint areas for enhancement. Key performance indicators like availability, customer satisfaction, and revenue generation offer a holistic perspective on the platform's effectiveness. With the assistance of a charging platform data API, operators can

²⁶⁵ EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics

²⁶⁶ Ibid.

²⁶⁷ Ibid.

²⁶⁸ Top Metrics to Measure the Performance of Your EV Charging Stations

²⁶⁹ EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics

²⁷⁰ Top Metrics to Measure the Performance of Your EV Charging Stations

²⁷¹ EV Charging Platform Analytics: Measuring Performance Metrics

²⁷² Ibid.

²⁷³ Ibid.

gather and analyze real-time data, empowering them to make informed decisions and improve the charging experience for EV users. By prioritizing performance metrics, EV charging businesses can thrive, maintain a positive reputation, and sustain profitability amidst industry growth.

Future Goals

To achieve carbon neutral operations²⁷⁴, Milwaukee County will likely need to transition its fleet to low- to no-emission county vehicles by 2050. The County should aim to reflect this growth of EV adoption, not only from county-owned vehicles but also from the growing number of residential EV users, through apt EVSE implementation. By embarking on this journey, Milwaukee County endeavors to pave the way towards a sustainable and carbon-neutral future.

As Milwaukee County progresses with EVSE implementation and operation, it ought to remain steadfast in its commitment to achieving carbon neutrality by 2050. Through collaborative efforts with public and private stakeholders, continuous monitoring of EV adoption trends, and strategic investments in infrastructure and outreach, the county should aspire to foster widespread adoption of electric vehicles and pave the way towards a healthier, more sustainable future for all residents.

²⁷⁴ Milwaukee County Legistar. (2021, May 7). A resolution committing Milwaukee County to becoming carbon neutral by 2050 and requesting the Director of Sustainability, Department of Administrative Services, develop and administer a strategic plan to achieve carbon neutrality in Milwaukee County facilities and operations by 2050. <u>Milwaukee</u> <u>County - File #: 21-389 (legistar.com)</u>

Appendix A

Address	Annual Visits	Milwaukee County Department Owner	Median Household Income	Ethnicity	Average Vehicles Per Household
				Black: 57.6%	
1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI 53205, United States	853.1k	Department of Transportation	\$37,249.85	White: 19.3% Hispanic: 15.6% Other: 3.3% Asian: 4.2%	1.2
2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United States	1.4M	Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs	\$48,729.17	Black: 15.7% White: 44.1% Hispanic: 33.0% Other: 3.3% Asian: 3.8%	1.5
10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United States	1.3M	Fleet Management	\$55,258.28	Black: 26.6% White: 51.5% Hispanic: 14.8% Other: 3.3% Asian: 3.8%	1.48
8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI 53132, United States	301 8k	Sheriff's	\$56 137 88	Black: 16.1% White: 53.8% Hispanic: 23.9% Other: 3.0% Asian: 3.2%	1.56
524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United States	441.9k	Parks	\$53,297.98	Black: 26.0% White: 51.0% Hispanic: 15.9% Other: 3.4% Asian: 3.7%	1.45
4500 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United States	604.9k	Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs	\$35,113.27	Black: 75.5% White: 11.8% Hispanic: 4.9% Other: 3.4% Asian: 4.5%	1.19
6000 W Ryan Rd, Franklin, WI 53132, United		Parks		Black: 11.5% White: 51.9% Hispanic: 30.3% Other: 3.1%	1.51
	1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI S3205, United States2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United States10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United States8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI 53132, United States524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United States524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United States4500 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United States6000 W Ryan Rd, Franklin, WI	AddressVisits1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI S3205, United Statesassa.lk2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United Statesassa.lk10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United Statesassa.lk8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI S3132, Unitedassa.lk524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United Statesassa.lk524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United Statesassa.lk4500 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United Statesassa.lk6000 W Ryan Rd, Franklin, WI S3132, Unitedassa.lk	AddressAnnual VisitsCounty Department Owner1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI 53205, United Statesasa.1Department of Transportation2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United Statesasa.1Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United Statesasa.1Fleet Management8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI 53132, Unitedasa.1Sheriff's Department524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United Statesasa.1Sheriff's Department524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United Statesasa.1Administrative Services and Parks524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United Statesasa.1Administrative Services and Fleet Management6000 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United Statesasa.1Administrative Services and Fleet Parks6000 W Ryan Rd, Franklin, WI S132, Unitedasa.1asa.1	AddressAnnual VisitsCounty Department OwnerMedian Household Income1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, WI 53205, Unitedasa.bepartment of Transportationsara2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United Statesa.a.Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairssara10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United Statesa.a.fiseal Affairssara8885 S 68th St, Franklin, WI S13132, Uniteda.a.sheriff's Departments55,258.28524 S Layton Blvd, Milwaukee, WI 53215, United Statesa.a.sheriff's Departments56,137.884500 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United Statesa.a.Administrative Services and Departments53,297.984500 W Custer Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53218, United Statesa.a.Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairss53,297.986000 W Ryan Rd, Franklin, WI S3132, Uniteda.a.parkss35,113.27	AddressAnnual VisitsCounty Department OwnerMedian Household IncomeEthnicityAddressVisitsOwnerIncomeEthnicity1942 N 17th St, Milwaukee, Wi 53205, United StatesFerritorianDepartment of StatesBlack: 57.6% White: 19.3% Hispanic: StatesBlack: 57.6% White: 19.3% Hispanic: States2601 W Howard Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53221, United StatesAdministrative Services and Hispanic: StatesBlack: 15.7% Hispanic: StatesBlack: 15.7% Hispanic: States10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wi 53226, United States1.4MServices and Hispanic: Hispanic: Hispanic: StatesBlack: 16.1% White: 51.3% Hispanic: Hispanic: States10320 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, Wi 53226, United StatesFleet Hispanic:

		T				1
					Black: 55.7%	
					White: 25.5%	
	1220 W Vliet St,				Hispanic:	
Marcia Coggs	Milwaukee, WI		Administrative		11.4%	
Human	53205, United		Services and		Other: 3.5%	
Services Ctr.		170.2k		¢20 520 14		1.2
Services Ctr.	States	170.2K	Fiscal Affairs	\$39,529.14	Asian: 3.9%	1.2
					Black: 13.1%	
	215 Lake Dr ,				White: 57.5%	
	South				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				22.3%	
	53172, United		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Grant Park	States	312.6k	Department	\$55,395.39	Asian: 3.8%	1.47
				<i>400,000.000</i>	Black: 37.8%	
	2400 N Lincoln				White: 36.3%	
	Memorial Dr,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				18.8%	
Bradford	53211, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Beach	States	955k	Department	\$45,345.78	Asian: 3.8%	1.32
					Black: 16.2%	
					White: 52.6%	
	2900 S Shore				Hispanic:	
	Dr, Milwaukee,				24.5%	
South Shore	WI 53207,		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Park	United States	609.2k	Department	\$52,290.68	Asian: 3.3%	1.42
		000.21	Dopartmont	ψ02,200.00	Black: 19.9%	1.12
	10001 W					
					White: 59.9%	
	Bluemound Rd,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				13.5%	
Milwaukee	53226, United		Zoological		Other: 3.2%	
County Zoo	States	557.7k	Department	\$59,648.52	Asian: 3.5%	1.56
CFSPM					Black: 30.0%	
(Center for	9400 W Doyne				White: 46.1%	
Forensic	Ave,				Hispanic:	
Science and	Wauwatosa, WI		Administrative		17.1%	
Preventative	53226, United		Services and		Other: 3.4%	
Medicine)	States	49.6k	Fiscal Affairs	\$52,268.85	Asian: 3.5%	1.46
	3047 North	10.01		φ02,200.00	7.01011. 0.070	
	Doctor Martin					
	Luther King				Black: 60.9%	
	Junior Drive,				White: 24.4%	
	Milwaukee, WI		Administrative		Hispanic: 7.1%	
Clinton Rose	53212, United		Services and		Other: 3.4%	
Senior Center	States	499.7k	Fiscal Affairs	\$38,262.62	Asian: 4.2%	1.15
					Black: 29.5%	
	1010 N Lincoln				White: 47.4%	
	Memorial Dr,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				15.9%	
	53202, United		Parks		Other: 3.5%	
Veterans Park	States	492.4k	Department	\$52,366.86	Asian: 3.7%	1.43
VELEIAIIS FAIK	JIAICS	432.4K	Department	ψυ2,300.00	risiai 1. 3.170	1.40

		1			Disaly OF ON	1
					Black: 25.2%	
					White: 51.7%	
	910 E Michigan				Hispanic:	
	St, Milwaukee,				16.0%	
O'Donnell	WI 53202,		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Park	United States	296.7k	Department	\$54,704.12	Asian: 3.7%	1.46
					Black: 46.4%	
					White: 32.3%	
	4420 W Vliet St,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI		Administrative		13.8%	
Washington	53208, United		Services and		Other: 3.5%	
Senior Center	States	279.5k	Fiscal Affairs	\$43,702.32	Asian: 4.0%	1.26
					Black: 17.6%	
	9400 Boerner				White: 59.0%	
	Drive, Hales				Hispanic:	
Boerner's	Corners, WI				16.1%	
Botanical	53130, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Gardens	States	258.3k	Department	\$59,445.76	Asian: 4.0%	1.55
Cardono		200.01	Dopartinont	φου, ποπο	Black: 15.6%	1.00
	3500 W Forest				White: 36.1%	
	Home Ave,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				40.9%	
	53215, United		Parks		Other: 3.2%	
Jackson Park	States	226.9k	Department	\$47,613.74	Asian: 4.3%	1.47
Jackson Faik	Sidles	220.9K	Department	φ47,013.74	Black: 6.9%	1.47
					White: 54.5%	
Kelly Senior	6100 S Lake Dr,				Hispanic:	
Center (incl	Cudahy, WI		Administrative		32.9%	
Nutrition	53110, United		Services and		Other: 2.9%	
Building)	States	173.1k	Fiscal Affairs	\$55,513.31	Asian: 2.8%	1.61
bullaling)	Sidles	173.IK	FISCALAHAIIS	400,010.01	ASId11. 2.0%	1.01
	9305 W					
	Appleton Ave,					
	Milwaukee, WI					
Timmerman	53225, United		Department of			
Field	States	N/A	Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A
					Black: 30.7%	
	3233 E				White: 44.5%	
	Kenwood Blvd,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				17.8%	
	53211, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Lake Park	States	147.8k	Department	\$50,996.21	Asian: 3.7%	1.37
		111.00		φ00,000.21	Black: 18.2%	1.07
					White: 54.9%	
	2028 S 124th St,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				19.6%	
Greenfield	53227, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Park	States	138.8k	Department	\$55,464.22	Asian: 3.9%	1.49
Fair	JIAIES	130.0K	Department	ψ00,404.22	131a11. J.370	1.43

					Block: 19.00/	
	2201 S 7th				Black: 18.0% White: 24.3%	
	Street,				Hispanic:	
Kosciuszko	Milwaukee, WI				52.0%	
Community	53215, United		Parks		Other: 2.8%	
Center	States	133.2k	Department	\$39,377.75	Asian: 2.9%	1.42
Center	Sidles	133.ZK	Department	<i>ф39,311.13</i>	Black: 11.4%	1.42
					White: 42.7%	
	3717 W Howard				Hispanic:	
	Ave, Milwaukee,				11.4%	
	WI 53221,		Parks		Other: 2.9%	
Zablocki Park	United States	123.4k	Department	\$49,517.57	Asian: 3.4%	1.51
Zabiooki i aik		120.40	Department	φ+0,017.07	Black: 5.3%	1.01
	4600 E				White: 58.6%	
	Oakwood Road,				Hispanic:	
	Oak Creek, WI				29.5%	
	53154, United		Parks		Other: 3.1%	
Bender Park	States	117.2k	Department	\$59,079.74	Asian: 3.6%	1.65
				· · ·		
	1301 W				Black: 54.7%	
	Hampton Ave,				White: 29.1%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 8.7%	
	53209, United	400.01	Parks		Other: 3.5%	4.00
Lincoln Park	States	106.6k	Department	\$41,585.09	Asian: 4.0%	1.26
	7835 N Green				Black: 43.9%	
	Bay Road,				White: 40.5%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 7.1%	
Brown Deer	53209, United		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Park	States	96.6k	Department	\$51,220.75	Asian: 5.1%	1.36
					Black: 27.5%	
	1870 E Fox Ln,				White: 53.5%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 9.8%	
	53217, United		Parks		Other: 3.6%	
Doctors Park	States	92.9k	Department	\$58,032.69	Asian: 5.6%	1.38
				<i></i>		
					Black: 72.2%	
	6901 W Vienna				White: 14.4%	
	St, Milwaukee,				Hispanic: 5.1%	
	WI 53216,	05.01	Parks	#07 000 7 -	Other: 3.5%	4.00
Dineen Park	United States	85.3k	Department	\$37,300.75	Asian: 4.4%	1.22
	6560 N Milwaukee River				Black: 46.1%	
					White: 35.1%	
	Pkwy, Milwaukee, Wl				Hispanic: 10.3%	
	53209, United		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Kletzsch Park	States	80.9k	Department	\$48,934.57	Asian: 5.0%	1.35
MELLOUI Faik	JIAIES	00.3K	Department	ψ40,334.37	ASIAN. J.V /0	1.55

					Black: 27.2%	
	10201 W				White: 50.0%	
Vel R. Phillips	Watertown Plank				Hispanic:	
Youth and	Rd, Milwaukee,		Administrative		15.7%	
Family Justice	WI 53226,	72k	Services and	¢E4 419 00	Other: 3.4%	1 45
Center	United States	/ 2 K	Fiscal Affairs	\$54,418.90	Asian: 3.7% Black: 19.1%	1.45
					White: 51.8%	
	700 S 119th St,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				21.0%	
	53214, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Rainbow Park	States	58.7k	Department	\$51,922.79	Asian: 4.7%	1.49
					Black: 52.5%	
	1859 N 40th St,				White: 30.8%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 8.8%	
Washington	53208, United		Parks		Other: 3.3%	
Park	States	56.9k	Department	\$40,742.75	Asian: 4.6%	1.24
	40046 8				Black: 8.6%	
	108th & Coldspring Rd,				White: 67.7%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 16.2%	
	53228, United		Parks		Other: 3.4%	
Kulwicki Park	States	54.4k	Department	\$59,614.65	Asian: 4.1%	1.59
			•		Black: 5.1%	
					White: 58.1%	
	5400 S Lake Dr,				Hispanic:	
Warnimont	Cudahy, WI		Parks		31.5%	
Park	53110, United States	53.3k	Department	\$58,063.20	Other: 3.0% Asian: 2.3%	1.64
	Oldies	00.0K	Department	ψ30,003.20	Asian. 2.370	1.04
	12020 W				Black: 34.4%	
	Bradley Rd,				White: 50.0%	
	Milwaukee, WI 53224, United		Parks		Hispanic: 7.4% Other: 3.2%	
Dretzka Park	States	51.1k	Department	\$55,009.96	Asian: 5.0%	1.41
				,,		
					Black: 19.5%	
	3535 N Mayfair Rd, Milwaukee,				White: 65.1% Hispanic: 8.0%	
	WI 53222,		Parks		Other: 3.7%	
Currie Park	United States	46k	Department	\$64,841.01	Asian: 3.7%	1.44
			1	. ,		
	211 M/ Brown Ct				Black: 71.2%	
	311 W Brown St, Milwaukee, WI				White: 15.8% Hispanic: 5.3%	
	53212, United		Parks		Other: 3.5%	
Carver Park	States	44.3k	Department	\$36,243.87	Asian: 4.1%	1.22

						1
Gordon Park	1321 E Locust St, Milwaukee, WI 53212, United States	41.2k	Parks Department	\$42,420.18	Black: 52.3% White: 31.2% Hispanic: 9.1% Other: 3.7% Asian: 3.8%	1.23
Sheridan Park	4800 S Lake Dr, Cudahy, WI 53110, United States	40.7k	Parks Department	\$52,556.11	Black: 8.2% White: 49.4% Hispanic: 36.8% Other: 2.9% Asian: 2.7%	1.57
King Community Center	1531 W Vliet St, Milwaukee, Wl 53205, United States	33.8k	Parks Department	\$34,789.25	Black: 60.8% White: 16.8% Hispanic: 14.2% Other: 3.2% Asian: 5.0%	1.17
Training Academy	9225 S 68th St, Franklin, WI 53132, United States	33.5k	Sheriff's Department	\$52,723.45	Black: 23.1% White: 50.5% Hispanic: 19.1% Other: 3.2% Asian: 4.0%	1.48
Noyes Park	8235 W Good Hope Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53223, United States	31.9k	Parks Department	\$42,449.20	Black: 59.6% White: 25.3% Hispanic: 5.8% Other: 3.5% Asian: 5.8%	1.31
Medical Examiner	1004 N 10th St, Milwaukee, WI 53233, United States	664.5k	Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs	\$50,464.18	Black: 33.8% White: 42.4% Hispanic: 16.5% Other: 3.5% Asian: 3.8%	1.39
Courthouse	901 N 10th St, Milwaukee, WI 53233, United States	514.3k	Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs	\$50,636.64	Black: 31.2% White: 45.1% Hispanic: 16.5% Other: 3.4% Asian: 3.7%	1.4
Safety Building	821 West State Street, Milwaukee, WI 53233, United States	427.8k	Administrative Services and Fiscal Affairs	\$49,698.83	Black: 33.1% White: 42.7% Hispanic: 16.9% Other: 3.5% Asian: 3.8%	1.38

					Black: 58.9%	
Milwouless					White: 23.3%	
Milwaukee	612 W Atkinson				Hispanic:	
County	Ave, Milwaukee,				10.3%	
Transit	WI 53212,		Department of	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other: 3.4%	
System	United States	787.3k	Transportation	\$38,122.85	Asian: 4.1%	1.18
					Black: 25.2%	
	1710 S				White: 45.6%	
	Kinnickinnic Ave,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				22.7%	
KK Transit	53204, United		Department of		Other: 3.3%	
Complex	States	348.6k	Transportation	\$48,367.02	Asian: 3.2%	1.35
					Black: 11.9%	
					White: 50.3%	
	3000 S Howell				Hispanic:	
	Ave, Milwaukee,				31.3%	
Humboldt	WI 53207,		Parks		Other: 3.2%	
Park	United States	226.8k	Department	\$52,360.11	Asian: 3.2%	1.44
			•		Black: 17.9%	
					White: 48.7%	
	2567 S 79th St,				Hispanic:	
	Milwaukee, WI				26.3%	
	53219, United		Parks		Other: 3.5%	
McCarty Park	States	126.1k	Department	\$51,233.08	Asian: 3.6%	1.5
*						
	1900 W				Black: 74.1%	
	Fiebrantz Ave,				White: 11.4%	
	Milwaukee, WI				Hispanic: 7.4%	
Fiebrantz	53209, United		Department of		Other: 3.4%	
Complex	States	35.7k	Transportation	\$35,277.91	Asian: 3.7%	1.19

* Annual visits, Median household income, Ethnicity, and Average vehicles per household are based on Placer.ai data encompassing 70% of Potential Market.

* Cells highlighted in yellow indicate case study locations expanded upon on pages 29-35 of this guidebook.