

1 By Supervisor Thomas  
2  
3

4 **A RESOLUTION**  
5

6 Requesting that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, as the  
7 official areawide regional planning agency and designated metropolitan transportation  
8 planning agency for Southeastern Wisconsin, monitors and reviews the Wisconsin  
9 Department of Natural Resources air quality planning process and provide regular  
10 briefings to the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors.  
11

12  
13 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County is in nonattainment for national ozone air quality  
14 standards (along with Kenosha, Ozaukee, Racine, Washington and Waukesha  
15 Counties) and the American Lung Association rates Milwaukee County's air quality as  
16 "F" on a scale from "A" to "F" for the number of high ozone days and particulate matter  
17 in a 24-hour period, which leads to increased numbers of lung diseases including  
18 asthma, bronchitis and emphysema; and  
19

20 WHEREAS, although ozone monitoring data for 2006-2008 indicates air quality  
21 standards are being achieved, more stringent ozone standards are expected to be  
22 promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) later this year and  
23 it is expected that Milwaukee County may not be in attainment of the new standards;  
24 and  
25

26 WHEREAS, the new standards will include a schedule for the development of a  
27 new state implementation plan for achieving attainment that would be prepared by the  
28 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), as the designated air quality  
29 planning agency for the State of Wisconsin; and  
30

31 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County is also designated as being in nonattainment of  
32 national fine particulate matter air quality standards along with Racine and Waukesha  
33 Counties; and  
34

35 WHEREAS, the WDNR has already initiated a state implementation planning  
36 process for achieving fine particulate matter standards and expects completion will  
37 occur in December of 2012; and  
38

39 WHEREAS, it is recommended that SEWRPC, as the official areawide regional  
40 planning agency and designated metropolitan transportation planning agency for  
41 Southeastern Wisconsin, monitors and reviews the WDNR's air quality planning process  
42 and provide regular briefings to Milwaukee County staff and the County Board; and  
43

44 WHEREAS, these briefings will provide county staff and elected officials with the  
45 knowledge and information regarding findings of inventories, analyses and WDNR  
46 planning, and to have input and opportunity to participate in and shape WDNR planning

47 for nonattainment of national air quality standards in Milwaukee County, Southeastern  
48 Wisconsin and the State of Wisconsin; now, therefore,

49

50 BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors hereby  
51 requests that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, as the  
52 official areawide regional planning agency and designated metropolitan transportation  
53 planning agency for Southeastern Wisconsin, monitors and reviews the Wisconsin  
54 Department of Natural Resources air quality planning process and provide regular  
55 briefings to the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors.

56

57

58 JE

59 January 10, 2011

60 H:\Shared\Research Analysts\Esch\Pending Supervisor Approval\Air Quality Monitoring by SEWRPC-Thomas.doc

61

## MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** January 10, 2011

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

**SUBJECT:** Requesting that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, as the official areawide regional planning agency and designated metropolitan transportation planning agency for Southeastern Wisconsin, monitors and reviews the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources air quality planning process and provide regular briefings to the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors.

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures<br>(If checked, check one of two boxes below)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget<br><input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
|--|--|

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
<b>Operating Budget</b>	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
<b>Capital Improvement Budget</b>	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.<sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Adoption of this resolution will not require an expenditure of funds. Also, it will not require an expenditure of staff time

Department/Prepared By Julie Esch, Legislative Research Analyst

Authorized Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?  Yes  No

---

<sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.