

1  
2  
3 (ITEM 71) A resolution by Supervisor Bowen requesting the Facilities Management and  
4 Procurement staff of the Department of Administrative Services, the Department of  
5 Transportation, and the Department of Health and Human Services issue a Request for  
6 Proposals for the provision of housekeeping and janitorial services in County facilities,  
7 by recommending **REJECTION** of the following:  
8

9 **AN AMENDED RESOLUTION**

10  
11 WHEREAS, Facilities Management and Procurement staff of the Department of  
12 Administrative Services (DAS), the Department of Transportation, and the Department  
13 of Health and Human Services issued a Request for Proposals (RFP #928003) dated  
14 October 29, 2012, to provide housekeeping and janitorial services in County facilities;  
15 and  
16

17 WHEREAS, the submitted proposal from Encore One, d/b/a CleanPower, LLC,  
18 ("CleanPower") to provide housekeeping and janitorial services in the Courthouse  
19 Complex, the County grounds, Milwaukee County Department of Transportation's  
20 Highway Maintenance and Fleet Management buildings, the psychiatric hospital, the  
21 Behavioral Health Division, the community service center, the detention center, and the  
22 Marcia P. Coggs Human Service Center was accepted by DAS; and  
23

24 WHEREAS, DAS put forth a resolution (File No. 13-581), dated June 24, 2013,  
25 requesting the County Board's approval of an agreement with CleanPower; and  
26

27 WHEREAS, on November 7, 2013, the Milwaukee County Board voted 17-0-1 to  
28 reject the proposed agreement with CleanPower because of concerns related to the  
29 RFP procedures used by DAS; and  
30

31 WHEREAS, some of the concerns related to the RFP procedures included the  
32 weighting of the contract price as only 20 percent of the total evaluation score and the  
33 bundling of the contract to effectively deny minority firms an opportunity to be the  
34 primary contractor thus restricting their participation to that of a Disadvantaged  
35 Business Enterprise sub-contractor to another firm; and  
36

37 WHEREAS, on March 20, 2014, the Milwaukee County Board elected not to  
38 adopt a resolution (File No. 14-108) related to in-sourcing housekeeping and janitorial  
39 services for the Courthouse Complex; and  
40

41 WHEREAS, DAS put forth a resolution (File No. 14-302) dated March 28, 2014,  
42 requesting the County Board's approval of the originally-proposed agreement with  
43 CleanPower without incorporating the County Board's concerns related to the RFP  
44 procedures; and

45 WHEREAS, the Committee on Finance, Personnel, and Audit, at its meeting on  
46 April 17, 2014, recommended this resolution for adoption as amended (vote 7-0); and  
47

48 WHEREAS, the County Board, at its meeting on April 24, 2014, laid this  
49 amended resolution over for one meeting cycle (vote 11-7); and  
50

51 WHEREAS, the County Board, at its meeting on May 12, 2014, referred this  
52 amended resolution to the Committee on Transportation, Public Works, and Transit and  
53 back to the Committee on Finance, Personnel, and Audit, which was so ordered by the  
54 County Board Chairwoman; and  
55

56 WHEREAS, the Committee on Transportation, Public Works, and Transit, at its  
57 meeting on May 20, 2014, recommended **REJECTION** of this amended resolution  
58 based on a failed motion to recommend adoption (vote 3-4); now, therefore,  
59

60 BE IT RESOLVED, the Facilities Management and Procurement staff of the  
61 Department of Administrative Services, the Department of Transportation, and the  
62 Department of Health and Human Services shall issue a new Request for Proposals  
63 (RFP) to provide housekeeping and janitorial services in County facilities that complies  
64 with the living wage provision as defined in Chapter 111 of the Milwaukee County Code  
65 of General Ordinances; and  
66

67 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the new RFP shall incorporate recommended  
68 improvements made by the County Board during the 2013 committee hearings of the  
69 Finance, Personnel, and Audit Committee and the Transportation, Public Works, and  
70 Transit Committee that included weighting contract cost more than 20 percent of the  
71 total evaluation score and structuring the proposal (unbundling) so that Disadvantaged  
72 Business Enterprise firms could effectively compete as main contractors or as sub-  
73 contractors.  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85

86 jlm  
87 05/20/14  
88 H:\Shared\COMCLERK\Committees\2014\May\TPWT\Special Resolutions\14-350.docx