

Supervisor Theodore Lipscomb, Vice-Chairperson
From the Committee on Economic and Community Development, reporting on:

File No.10-400
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 1) A resolution by Supervisors Thomas and De Bruin requesting that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission expand its scope of services for Milwaukee County to include various studies pertaining to increasing economic development opportunities and improving air quality in Milwaukee County, by recommending adoption of the following:

AN AMENDED RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the 2011 budget for the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC) is \$2,370,245, per 66.0309(14)(b) of the Wisconsin Statutes; and

WHEREAS, according to SEWRPC's 2011 budget, the 2011 appropriation for SEWRPC will fund several programs including but not limited to the Land Use Planning Program, Transportation Planning Program, Water Quality Planning Program, Community Assistance Planning Program, Economic Development Assistance Program; and

WHEREAS, in addition to these programs, it is recommended that SEWRPC undertake the studies largely aimed at regional planning related to increasing opportunities for economic development and improving air quality; and

WHEREAS, unlike other counties in Southeastern Wisconsin, Milwaukee County does not have a comprehensive economic development strategy (CEDS) that provides a framework for the maintenance and expansion of economic development; and

WHEREAS, not having a CEDS in place is likely to result in a significant disadvantage to Milwaukee County in competing for new businesses and jobs; therefore, it is recommended that SEWRPC develop a Comprehensive Development Strategy (CEDS) to be the blueprint for the County's economic development activities and include actions to be taken by the County and also by local units of government within Milwaukee County, as well as the State and Federal governments and other organizations that could enhance and expand economic development within Milwaukee County; and

WHEREAS, the plan should also serve as a framework for more detailed plans, which may be subsequently prepared for municipalities in Milwaukee County, or for groupings of municipalities, such as a South Shore Blueprint for the south shore communities; and

APPROVED AS TO FORM
Timothy R. Schreurs
CORPORATION COUNSEL

IEC 16 2010
Judson moved
to refer back to
Committee
On Motion
11-8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 WHEREAS, the Milwaukee 7 Regional Economic Development Council has
44 expressed interest in the preparation of a regional comprehensive economic development
45 strategy, which could include recommendations from a Milwaukee County CEDS; and
46

47 WHEREAS, a good quality of life, including good air quality, is a key component of
48 any economic development strategy; and
49

50 WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) is the
51 designated air quality planning agency for the State of Wisconsin, and Milwaukee County
52 is in nonattainment for national ozone air quality standards along with Kenosha, Ozaukee,
53 Racine, Washington and Waukesha Counties; and
54

55 WHEREAS, although ozone monitoring data for 2006-2008 indicates those air
56 quality standards are being achieved, more stringent ozone standards are expected to be
57 promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) later this year, and it is
58 expected that Milwaukee County may not be in attainment of the new standards; and
59

60 WHEREAS, the new standards will include a schedule for the development of a new
61 state implementation plan for achieving attainment that would be prepared by the WDNR;
62 and
63

64 WHEREAS, Milwaukee County is also designate as being in nonattainment of
65 national fine particulate matter air quality standards along with Racine and Waukesha
66 Counties; and
67

68 WHEREAS, the WDNR has already initiated a state implementation planning
69 process for achieving fine particulate matter standards and expects completion will occur
70 in December of 2012; and
71

72 WHEREAS, it is recommended that SEWRPC, as the official area-wide regional
73 planning agency and designated metropolitan transportation planning agency for
74 Southeastern Wisconsin, monitors and reviews the WDNR's air quality planning process
75 and provide regular briefings to Milwaukee County staff and the County Board; and
76

77 WHEREAS, these briefings will provide county staff and elected officials with the
78 knowledge and information regarding findings of inventories, analyses and WDNR
79 planning, and to have input and opportunity to participate in and shape WDNR planning
80 for nonattainment of national air quality standards in Milwaukee County, Southeastern
81 Wisconsin and the State of Wisconsin; and
82

83 WHEREAS, the Committee on Economic and Community Development, at its
84 December 6, 2010 meeting, recommended approval of the resolution as amended to
85 request quarterly reports from county staff and SEWRPC as to progress on development of
86 the CEDS; now, therefore,

87 BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors hereby requests
88 that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission expand its 2011 scope of
89 services for Milwaukee County to include the development of a comprehensive economic
90 development study and serve as a liaison between Milwaukee County and the State of
91 Wisconsin's Department of Natural Resources regarding the planning and achievement of
92 national air quality standards.

93
94 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning
95 Commission and the director of Economic Development shall report back to the Economic
96 and Community Development Committee on a quarterly basis as to the progress being
97 made.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: November 10, 2010

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: Proposed development of the Milwaukee County Comprehensive Economic Development Plan by the SEWRPC.

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)
<input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
|--|--|

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	
	Revenue	0	
	Net Cost	0	
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

The development of the Milwaukee County Comprehensive Economic Development plan will be done by the SEWRPC, at no direct cost to Milwaukee County.

Department/Prepared By Damon M. Dorsey, Director of Economic Development

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.