MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DA	TE:	11/14/11		Origir	nal Fiscal N	ote 🖂	
				Substitute Fiscal Note		Note	
CO SEI	<u>rvice</u>	CT BETWEEN S DIVISION (II	T AUTHORIZATION TO AM I JOXEL GROUP, LLC AND MSD) FOR 2012 IMPLEME L RECORDS (EMR) SYSTI	THE INFO	DRMATION SERVICES	MANAGEMENT	
FIS	CAL E	EFFECT:					
	No I	Direct County F	iscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures		
		Existing Staff Time Required			Decrease Capital Expenditures		
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)				Increase Capital Revenues		
	\boxtimes	Absorbed W	ithin Agency's Budget		Decrease	Capital Revenues	
		Not Absorbe	ed Within Agency's Budget				
☐ Decrease Operating			g Expenditures		Use of contingent funds		
	Incre	ease Operating	Revenues				
	Deci	ease Operating	g Revenues				
Indic	cate b eased	elow the dolla /decreased exp	r change from budget for penditures or revenues in th	any subm ne current y	ission that i ear.	is projected to result in	
			Expenditure or Revenue Category	Currer	nt Year	Subsequent Year	
Operating Budget			Expenditure		0	0	
			Revenue		0	0	
			Net Cost		0	0	
Capital Improvement Budget		mprovement	Expenditure	- 22 25		- e	
	uget		Revenue				

Revenue Net Cost

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

- A. Approval of the requested amendment to extend the professional services contract between Joxel Group, LLC (TJG) and the Information Management Services Division (IMSD) of Milwaukee County will result in an increased cost of \$615,685 bringing the value of the current contract from \$501,640 to \$1,117,325. The contract began in August of 2010 and will continue for 28 months through December 2012.
- B. The cost related to the proposed contract amendment is an additional \$615,685 for the 2012 Implementation Services of the broader project. IMSD and BHD are recommending that the additional funding of \$615,685 necessary to complete the professional services contract for the 2012 project management, business analyst and interface analyst services of the Implementation phase be funded through BHD operating funds.
- C. IMSD and BHD are recommending that the funding of \$615,685 necessary for the 2012 project management services, business analysis, and interface analysis work of the Implementation Phase of the EMR system be funded with BHD operating funds. The County Board and the County Executive approved a fund transfer in October 2011 recognizing one-time prior year revenue that included this additional expense.
- D. The expenditures provided above are estimated. It is assumed expenditures will be made from BHD operating budget. It is assumed that the overall Electronic Medical Records (EMR) project will require future budget appropriation requests to complete.

Department/Prepared By	Laurie Panella, Interim Chief Information Officer	
Authorized Signature	Maneo a	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Revie	w? ⊠ Yes □ No	