

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 6/6/12

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: Request by the Sheriff too Execute a Professional Service Contract with Aramark Correctional Services, Inc. to provide Property Room Services at the Milwaukee County Correctional Facilities

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures | <input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | |

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	-120,772	-392,803
	Revenue	0	
	Net Cost	-120,772	-392,803
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

The Office of the Sheriff is requesting to enter into a professional services contract with Aramark Correctional Services, Inc. to provide property room services at the Milwaukee County Correctional Facilities.

The agreement will be for three (3) full years, estimated to be August 1, 2012 through July 31, 2015 and renewable separately for up to 2 additional 12 month periods. The agreement will automatically renew unless either party notifies the other of intent not to renew the contract 90 days prior to the contract anniversary date.

The 2012 Adopted Budget included annual expenditures of \$770,948 for 13 positions of Stores Clerk in the Office of the Sheriff. The projected savings from these positions for the remainder of the year is \$271,228 including anticipated unemployment compensation. The projected cost for the contract with Aramark for the remainder of 2012 is \$150,457 for a tax levy savings of \$120,772. The 2013 projected cost of the contract is \$361,096. The 2013 projected savings from the unfunding of the positions is \$753,899.

Department/Prepared By Molly Pahl, Fiscal Operations Manager

Authorized Signature



Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?

Yes

No

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.