MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DA	ΓΕ:	<u>9/6/11</u>	Origi	nal Fiscal Note					
			Subs	titute Fiscal Note					
SUBJECT: A resolution providing for an advisory referendum on the plan by the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District to pay approximately \$41.1 million to the City of Franklin for the costs related to building the Ryan Creek Interceptor project									
FISCAL EFFECT:									
	No [Direct County Fiscal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures					
		Existing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital Expenditures					
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below)			Increase Capital Revenues					
		Absorbed Within Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues					
		Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget							
	Decrease Operating Expenditures Use of contingent funds								
	Increase Operating Revenues								
☐ Decrease Operating Revenues									
Indic incre	ate b ased	pelow the dollar change from budget for any Idecreased expenditures or revenues in the co	submi urrent y	ssion that is projected to result in ear.					

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	\$18,000
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	\$18,000
Capital	Expenditure	0	0
Improvements	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Approval of this resolution will authorize an advisory referendum to be held on the 2012 Spring General Election Day, April 3, 2012.

According to the manager of the County Election Commission, the pro-rata costs of holding an advisory referendum in 2008 were approximately \$17,200. This included ballots (\$4,800), counting machine programming (\$3,500) and advertising (\$8,900). According to the Department of Labor, the consumer price index has increased approximately five percent since 2008. For the purpose of this fiscal note, it is assumed that the cost related to holding an advisory referendum in 2012 will be \$18,000. This reflects an average of 17 contests on each ballot. The referendum will cost less or more on a pro rata basis depending on how many contests are on the Spring 2012 ballot.

Additional appropriations may need to be provided in the 2012 Budget in order to cover the cost of the advisory referendum, although that will not be determined until after all election costs are calculated.

Department/Prepared By	Steve Cady, Fiscal and Budget Analyst,	County Board
Authorized Signature	Stephen J. Cady	
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	v?	

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.