MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 04/16/2020			Original Fiscal Note							
			Subs	titute Fiscal Note						
•	SUBJECT:	Passive Review of Professional S	al Services Agreements Exceeding \$100,000 for							
Capital Projects: WP372 –McKinley Flushing Channel Seawalls and										
WP702 – McKinley/Veterans Park Seawalls										
FISCAL EFFECT:										
	No Direct C	ounty Fiscal Impact	\boxtimes	Increase Capital Expenditures						
	Exist	ing Staff Time Required		Decrease Capital E	ynenditures					
	Increase Operating Expenditures (If checked, check one of two boxes below) Absorbed Within Agency's Budget			Increase Capital Revenues						
				Decrease Capital Revenues						
	☐ Not A	Absorbed Within Agency's Budget								
	Decrease C	perating Expenditures		Use of contingent for	unds					
	Increase Operating Revenues									
	Decrease Operating Revenues									
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in										

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement	Expenditure	397,700.00	\$0
Budget	Revenue	0	\$0
	Net Cost	397,700.00	\$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- A. <u>Implementation of Wisconsin Statutes 59.21(31) with regard to purchase of professional service agreements (under the Milwaukee General Ordinance Chapter 56) for Capital projects requires County Board passive review for award the initial agreement, or fee extension(s) to the original agreement, when the total contractual fee exceeds \$100,000 but is less than \$300,000.</u>
- B. Award of professional services agreements to Collins Engineers for design services for capital projects WP372 McKinley Flushing Channel Seawall and WP702 McKinley/Veterans Park Seawall. This results in a not to exceed total fee of \$211,700.00 for WP372 and \$186,000 for WP702.
- C. The adopted 2020 capital budget included a total of \$440,447 for capital project WP372 and \$202,212 for WP702. There are sufficient funds in each respective capital budget to award the requested professional services agreements to Collins Engineers.

 The 2020 capital budget includes funding for design only in each project.
- D. None.

_

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

Department/Prepared By Karl Stave, Principal Civil Engineer, AE&ES Section Approved by:

			2020							
<u>X</u>	X Gregory G. High									
Greg										
Signed by: GregHigh Gregory G. High. P.E., Director AE&ES Section, DAS-FM Division Department of Administrative Services										
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?		Yes		No						
Did CBDP Review?2		Yes		No		Not Required				

 $^{^2\} Community\ Business\ Development\ Partners'\ review\ is\ required\ on\ all\ professional\ service\ and\ public\ work\ construction\ contracts.$