MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: November 2, 2021

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

SUBJECT: By the Committee on Finance, relating to an informational report from the Executive Director of Milwaukee County Parks for Passive Review of a Professional Services Agreement Exceeding $100,000 but less than $300,000 for Capital Project WP0707 – Old Loomis Road

FISCAL EFFECT:

☐ No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☐ Existing Staff Time Required

☐ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☐ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☒ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☐ Use of contingent funds

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	$0	$0
	Revenue	$0	$0
	Net Cost	$0	$0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure	See narrative.	$0
	Revenue	$0	$0
	Net Cost	$0	$0

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.

B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.

C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.

D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. Approval of this resolution will reject the request from the Director, Department of Administrative Services regarding passive review of a Professional Services Agreement Exceeding $100,000 but less than $300,000 for Capital Project WP707 -Old Loomis Road. The item was reviewed by the Committee on Finance at its meeting on November 1, 2021 as a “passive review” item and the Committee voted to reject the professional services agreement.

B. The funding for the professional services agreement was appropriated via the 2021 Adopted Capital Budget with an estimated allocation of $170,000, to be financed with general obligation bonds. To the extent that these funds are not used for their intended purpose, they may be available to offset other eligible capital expenditures.

C. By rejecting the agreement, Capitol Project WP707 – Old Loomis Road will retain the money for the project.

D. No assumptions or interpretations were used.

Department/Prepared By Steve Cady, Research and Policy Analyst, Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature *Stephen J. Cady*

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Did CBDP Review? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required