MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: August 15, 2016				Original Fiscal Note								
			Subst	titute Fiscal N	Note							
(35-V27-13)	SUBJECT: Request for authorization to execute a three-year contract extension for consulting and actuarial services with Willis.											
FISCAL EFFECT:												
	No Direct County Fi	scal Impact		Increase Capital Expenditures								
		Time Required	П	Decrease Capital Expenditures								
	Increase Operating (If checked, check of	Expenditures ne of two boxes below)		Increase Capital Revenues								
	Absorbed Wi	thin Agency's Budget		Decrease Capital Revenues								
	☐ Not Absorbed	d Within Agency's Budget										
\boxtimes	Decrease Operating	Expenditures		Use of contingent funds								
☐ Increase Operating Revenues												
Decrease Operating Revenues												
Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.												
		Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year		Subsequent Year							
Operating Budget		Expenditure		0	8,000							
		Revenue										
		Net Cost										
Capital Improvement Budget		Expenditure	2000 A									
		Revenue	Blood Bl									
		Net Cost	(C)									

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.
- A.) Approval of this request would enable the Director of Human Resources to contract with Willis for consulting and actuarial services to begin in 2017, running through 2019.
- B.) There would be no impact to 2016. This contract would not require an increase over the historical org. 1950 professional services funding levels. The proposed contract is for \$173,000 annually. This compares to \$165,000 annually under the current agreement.
- C.) The current year and subsequent year costs will be paid from Agency 194, org 1950. The overall annual expenditure on fringe benefits professional services is contingent on the County's need for several types of services paid under this org. unit (e.g. system reconfiguration, legal services, consulting outside of scope, open enrollment updates, communications, etc.)
- D.) The projected costs assume that all projects undertaken will be within the scope of services document defined in the RFP. Significant projects beyond the scope of services would incur separate expense.

Department/Prepared By	Matthew Hanchek, Director of Benefits							
Authorized Signature 2000								
Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review	w? []	Yes	\boxtimes	No)		
Did CBDP Review? ²]	Yes		\boxtimes	No	Not Required ■	

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.