

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: September 4, 2012

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: Approve request to Abolish 1.0 FTE Ground Transportation and Parking Manager (Unfunded) in the Airport Division and Create 1.0 FTE Transportation Grants Development Manager in the Director's Office.

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures | <input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | |

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$26,858	\$107,452
	Revenue	\$26,858	\$107,452
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

- A. The Director's Office of the Milwaukee County Department of Transportation is requesting to abolish 1.0 FTE Ground Transportation and Parking Manager (Unfunded) within the Airport Division and create 1.0 FTE Transportation Grants Development Manager within the Director's Office.
- B. The Department has indicated that costs will be charged to Airport (50 percent) and Transit (50 percent) in 2012 and 2013.
The total cost for the remainder of 2012 is estimated at \$26,858.
This assumes that the Airport portion of cost for the remainder of 2012 estimated at \$13,429 can be absorbed within its existing appropriation and is eligible for 100 percent reimbursement revenue of \$13,429.
This assumes that the Transit portion of cost for the remainder of 2012 estimated at \$13,429 can be absorbed within its existing appropriation.
Again, both the Airport and Transit would need to absorb the cost for the remainder of 2012 to ultimately result in a \$0 tax levy impact.
- C. The requested position action assumes that the additional cost during the remainder of 2012 would be absorbed within the existing budgeted appropriations for both the Airport and Transit.
The cost for the 2013 is estimated at \$107,452 and is a \$0 net cost overall assuming this position is included in the 2013 Budget.
- D. The cost associated with creating this position was calculated assuming the position will be placed at the mid-range of 901E and will be filled for 6 ½ pay periods during the remainder of 2012. Refer to additional assumptions in Sections B and C above.

Department/Prepared By James H. Martin, Fiscal & Management Analyst, DAS-Fiscal

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.