

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: 7/17/2014 (REVISED)

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: Retroactive Permission to Apply for the Justice Assistance Grant.

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures | <input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | |

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	\$220,679	\$156,819
	Revenue	\$220,679	\$156,819
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

This resolution would grant the Department of Administrative Services retroactive authority to apply for the 2014 Justice Assistance Grant, which is provided by the U.S. Department of Justice. The attached spending plan would provide \$377,498 in funding for Milwaukee County agencies under this grant. The projects include: funding for five community prosecutors in the Office of the District Attorney, purchase of personal illumination systems by the Office of the Sheriff, and funding for a consultant to study the County's 911 communications/dispatch system and make recommendations for improvements. The split in expenditures and revenues between 2014 and 2015 reflects the anticipated cost of the 911 system study (\$70,224), the purchase of personal illumination systems by the Office of the Sheriff (\$25,000), and four months of the Community Prosecution project (\$125,455) in 2014, with the remainder of the Community Prosecution project costs in 2015.

Department/Prepared By Josh Fudge, Director, DAS-PSB

Authorized Signature



Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No
Did CDBP Review?² Yes No Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.