

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: April 30, 2015

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: A resolution to amend section 201.24(4.1)(2) of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee County to clarify qualification for eligibility for the rule of 75 as a member of the certified bargaining unit represented by the Wisconsin Federation of Nurses and Health Professionals, and to ensure consistency of treatment with previously adopted ordinance amendments that govern all other non-public safety worker employees.

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds</p> |
|---|---|

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure	0	0
	Revenue	0	0
	Net Cost	0	0
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. ¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Approval of this Resolution makes a technical correction to the ordinances intended to fulfill the intent of the Resolution in ORD 11-18, adopted on September 29, 2011, and the Resolution in ORD 11-19, adopted on November 3, 2011. It clarifies that only members of the FNHP collective bargaining unit on December 31, 2012 may qualify for eligibility for the rule of 75 benefit and therefore the Resolution will not have a fiscal impact on the County. An actuarial report from Buck Consultants dated September 15, 2011 was part of ORD 11-18 and is attached. Among other things, that report states that codification of the then-existing rules for qualification for the rule of 75 does not have an actuarial effect.

Department/Prepared By Mark A. Grady, Deputy Corporation Counsel

Authorized Signature Mark A. Grady

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

Did CDBP Review?² Yes No Not Required

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

² Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.