

**MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM**

**DATE:** May 16, 2016

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

**SUBJECT:** A Resolution requesting authorization to execute a two-year Extension of Milwaukee County's Banking Services Contract with US Bank, as well as a two-year extension of Milwaukee County's State Contract Participation Agreement with US Bank, which allows the County to continue piggybacking onto the State of Wisconsin's Enterprise Banking Services Contract.

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact   | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required   | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures<br>(If checked, check one of two boxes below) | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget   | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures  | <input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues  |  |

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

	<b>Expenditure or Revenue Category</b>	<b>Current Year</b>	<b>Subsequent Year</b>
<b>Operating Budget</b>	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0
<b>Capital Improvement Budget</b>	Expenditure	\$0	\$0
	Revenue	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost	\$0	\$0

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. <sup>1</sup> If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

A. Approval of this resolution will authorize the Milwaukee County Treasurer to execute a two-year extension of Milwaukee County's Banking Services Contract with US Bank, as well as an extension of the County's State Contract Participation Agreement with US Bank, which allows the County to continue to piggyback onto the State of Wisconsin's Enterprise Banking Services Contract. The terms and conditions of both contracts will remain the same.

B. The 2016 Adopted Budget allocates \$200,000 for banking services fees, which is expected to be sufficient to cover the cost of banking service fees for 2016. According to analysis by US Bank, in 2015 the County paid a total of \$211,320 in banking service fees through participation in the State Contract. Without participation in the State's Contract, the fees would have been approximately \$274,400, resulting in the County paying approximately \$63,000 less in banking service fees.

C. The \$200,000 allocated for banking services fees are funds accounted for in the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget, and therefore no additional funds are needed to execute the contracts stated above.

D. No assumptions or interpretations were made.

---

<sup>1</sup> If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

<sup>2</sup> Community Business Development Partners' review is required on all professional service and public work construction contracts.

Department/Prepared By Katarina Lucas, Research and Policy Analyst, Office of the Comptroller

Authorized Signature

*Katarina Lucas*

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review?  Yes  No

Did CBDP Review?<sup>2</sup>  Yes  No  Not Required

